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#### Abstract

The incidence of footrot infection in sheep and goats was studied in the University Research Farm, Federal University of Technology, Minna, Nigeria for a period of one ycar. The percentage number of animals affected in the herd was $32.6 \%$ and $32.4 \%$ for both sheep and goats respectively. Infection was found to be more prevalent during the wet season of the year. The source of infection was linked to different market areas within Niger state where the animals were purchased and brought to the farm at different times. All the animals used in the experiment were managed through semi-intensive system. The experiment tends to reveal that through supplementary feeding coupled with the treatment, the weight of the animals appreciated. It was discovered that treatment methods using antibiotics, like penicillinstreptomycin at a higher dose combined with feet trimming gave the best result of $62.5 \%$ and $66.6 \%$ cure respectively, while the other method using same antibiotic at a lower dose combined with foot bath gave a lower result of $28.5 \%$ and $50 \%$ cure for both sheep and goats respectively.
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## INTRODUCTION

Footrot is an infectious disease of ruminants particularly sheep, cattle and goats which causes severe lameness and economic loss from decreased flock production.

It is caused by an interaction of two anaerobic gram negative (G-ve) bacteria, Bacteroides nodosus (formerly Fusiformis nodonus) and Fusobacterium necrophonam (fonmerly Spaherophoras necrophorus). Fiusobacterium necrophorum is a normal inhabitant of the ruminant digestive tract and in wet weather may interact wilh another organism, corynebacterium pyogenes, to produce foot scald, an infection of the skin between the toes. This infection sets up the foot for invasion by bacteroides nodosus, which working in conjunction with the fusobacterium, produces the condition referred to as footrot.

Footrot is a costly disease in ruminant livestock population particularly during the wet season. Treatment, costs of labour, drugs and equipment, decreased flock productivity, losses from sales of breeding stock etc make this disease of economic importance for producers (Dee, 1996).

Introducing an infected animal into a non-contaminated herd can create herd contamination. The causative agents can also be carried to the soil on visitors' boots. The disease causes stress to the animals and can affect weight gain, reproductive rates and wool production. Therefore, such conditions as this which tend to limit livestock production ought to be given adecquate attention in terms of control and preventive measures such that livestock production particularly in Niger State will be able to meet up the protein needs of the society (FDLPC, 1992).

This study was therefore carried out to determine the best treatment approach to footrot and equally to observe the response of the animals to supplementary feed, as indicated by Said and Tolera (1993) that good quality roughage and legume tends to increase intake, and digestibility (Roger et al., 1999).

## MATERIAIS AND METHODS

This study was carried out in the University Research Farm, Federal University Technology, Minna, Nigeria from May, 2007 to April, 2008. The animals were purchased from Beji, Mariga and Tunga Mallam livestock markets in

Niger state. The animals were managed through semi intensive system. They were usually released for grazing from 10 am to 3.30 pm and retumed to their pen: daily and supplementary feed was usually supplied ad-libitum along with salt licks. The pen was well ventilated through side windows and illumination was enhanced by use of electricity. The animals were routinely dewormed using albendazole.

A total of 46 sheep (Yankasa breed) and 37 goats (Sokoto brown breed) were kept in the farm. Each specie of animals were kept soparately in different pons. The floors of the pens were not (cemented as such they were muddy particularly during the raining season.

In all these animals, particular attention was paid to the following clinical signs, limping, holding of limbs above the ground, reluctance to walk, presence of pus and foul smell from the interdigital spaces and possible loss of appetite. Samples of pus obtained from the interdigital spaces were taken to the state veterinary centre Bosso, Minna for bacteriological examination using Gram stain method. The affected animals were culled from the various pens and divided into two treatment groups. Each of the treatment group was further divided into two replicates. 15 sheep were divided into two replicates of 8 and 7 sheep while 12 goats were divided into two replicates of 6 goats each.

Two treatment methods were used in the management of the affected animals using penicillin-streptomycin ( $4 \mathrm{ml} / 10 \mathrm{~kg}$ body weight) combined with feet trimming and at ( $3 \mathrm{~m} / / 10 \mathrm{~kg}$ body weight) of the same drug combined with foot bath using $10 \% \mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$ respectively for 2 weeks. Responses to treatment were monitored for about 4 weeks in order to assess the level of response of the animals to each of the treatment methods.

At the end of the experiment, the results obtained were subjected to descriptive statistical analysis using percentage to determine the extent of cure of each of the treatment methods.

Table 1 Composition of Supplementary Feed

| Ingredients | \% Level of Inclusion |
| :--- | :--- |
| Groundnut hay | 25 |
| Maize bran | 35 |
| G/N cake | 10 |
| Beans haulms | 30 |
| Total | 100 kg |

Table 2 Average Feed Intake of Sheep and Goats (kg)

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { GRP A (Sheep) } \\ & \hline \text { Period } \end{aligned}$ |  | GRP B (Goats) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R1 | R2 | $X$ | FCE | R1 | R2 | $\bar{X}$ | FCE |
| $1^{4}$ Week | 4.1 | 3.9 | 4.0 | 0.30 | 3.1 | 2.8 | 2.95 | 0.32 |
| May-July $2^{-4}$ Week | 5.2 | 5.4 | 5.3 | 0.38 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 0.44 |
| Aug. Sept. $3^{\text {nit }}$ Week | 6.5 | 5.4 6.6 | 6.6 | 0.48 | 4.3 | 4.4 | 4.35 | 0.43 |
| Nov. - Jan. $4^{\text {th }}$ Week <br> Feb. - April | 8.3 | 8.4 | 8.35 | 0.59 | 5.6 | 5.7 | 5.65 | 0.54 |
| Total | 24.1 | 24.3 | 24.2 | 0.31 | 17.2 | 17.1 | 17.15 | 0.30 |

Key
$\mathrm{R} 1=$ Replicate 1, R2 $=$ Replicate 2, GRPA - Sheep, GRPB $=$ Goats, $X=$ Average

Table 3 Average Weekly Weight Gain (kg)

| GRP A (Sheep) |  |  |  | GRP B (Goats) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Period | R1 | R2 |  | R1 | R2 |  |
| $1^{2 r}$ Week <br> May-July | 13.1 | 13.20 | $\begin{aligned} & X \\ & 13.15 \end{aligned}$ | 9.01 | 9.10 | $\begin{aligned} & X \\ & 9.10 \end{aligned}$ |
| $2^{\text {nid }}$ Week <br> Aug, - Sept. | 13.80 | 13.70 | 13.75 | 9.40 | 9.50 | 9.45 |
| $3^{\text {et }}$ Week <br> Nov. Jan. | 14.4 | 14.1 | 14.25 | 10.01 | 10.03 | 10.02 |
| $4^{\text {W Week }}$ <br> Feb.-April | 14.7 | 14.5 | 14.6 | 10.30 | 10.40 | 10.35 |

## RESUITS AND DISCUSSION

The response of the animals in terms of feed intake and feed conversion efficiency is shown in Table 2. It revealed that as the treatment progresses feed intake progressively increased. This agrees with the findings of Ayoade et al (1999) that goat's performance is enhanced when fed solely or partially on legurne feed or forage allowance.

Table 3 revealed that as the feed conversion efficiency amongst the animals tends to increase in this experiment, it thus translates to weight increase relatively, such that, for the sheep it increase from the initial weight of 13.15 kg to 14.6 kg , while for the goats it increase from 9.10 kg to 10.25 kg , this positive response might be similar to the findings of Galyean and Goestsch, (1993), that legume digestibility might be attributed to histological make up of legumes in that the majority of cell wall matrix of legumes are casily degraded and penetrated by microbial enzymes than that of the grasses which constimte majority of the feed picked up by the animals when released.

The result of the bacteriological examination obtained in Table 4 is similar to the earlier findings reported (Gyang et al, 1986), in which fusobacterium spp was observed from the pus that was cultured using Gram stain method.

The results of the two treatment methods used in this study for sheep and goats affectod with footrot were expressed in simple percentages (Table 5). The use of penicillin-streptomycin at $4 \mathrm{mV} / 10 \mathrm{~kg}$ body weight combined with feet trimuring gave the best result of 62.5 and $66.6 \%$ cure for sheep and goats while the use of the same antibiotic combined with foot bath using $10 \% \mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$ gave a lower result of $28.5 \%$ and $50 \%$ cure for sheep and goats respectively. The above findings agrees with earlier reports (Cascy, 1988; Leite-Browning, 2007), that keeping feet trimmed of overgrown tissues will reduce mud and manure packing and decrease the chances of the survival of microorganisms since anacrobic environment will develop. Therefore, combining feet trimming with high dose of antibiotic at $4 \mathrm{mi} / 10 \mathrm{~kg}$ body weight has proven to give the best result. However, Helen (1990) reported that approximately $75 \%$ of the affected feet of sheep were completely healed when given antibiotic treatment without feet trimming with in 4 weeks.

Since the animals used in the study were purchased form different sources and introduced into the farm at different times, the infection might have been introduced through infected animals from where such animals may have been in the last 2 weeks before purchase (Egerton et al, 2002).

Envirommental factors of rainfall, topography and soil type are known to influence the outbreak of footrot. The situation is such that the research farm is located in a muddy soil environment and the incidence of the disease was found to be high during the prining season which is in agreement with earlier findings (Dee, 1996), that footrot outbreaks occur often during persistent raining weather along with high temperature, when animals walk across wet pustures and muddy soil which are favourable for microbial growth and possible transmission.
Table 4 Morphological and Biochemical characteristics of Bacteria Isolates Found in Pus

|  |  |  |  |  | Sugar |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Morphology | Colour | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gram } \\ & \text { rxn } \end{aligned}$ | Catalase | Coagulase | Lactose | Sucrose | Glucose | Fructose | Maltose | orgamisms |
| Cocci in shape | Grayish | + | - | - | A | A | A | A | A | Streptococus ${ }^{\text {spp }}$ |
| Long rod in chain and single | Whitisk and pink | + | + | - | + | - | + | - | + | Lactobacillus spp |
| Cocci in cluster | Yellowish | $+$ | $+$ | $+$ | $+$ | A | A | A | A | Staphylococcus spp |
| Rod shaped | Reddish | - | + | - | A | A | A | A | A | Fusobacterium spp |
| Short rod | Grayish white | - | + | - | AG | A | AG | AG | A | e. COLI |


| Animal sp | Total No Herds | Total affected | Penstrep + hoof trimıning Grp A | Penstrep + Foot bath. Grp B | No cured Gip A | No cured Grp B | \% cured Grp A | \% cured Grp B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sheep | 46 | 15 | 8 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 62.5 | 28.5 |
| Goats | 37 | 12 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 66.6 | 50 |

In conclusion, with the influx of livestock population, particularly small ruminants into Niger state from semi arid regions of the country as a result of desertification, more research efforts are needed in order to curb the rate, of spread of diseases of economic importance such as footrot within the state thereby creating an enabling environment for optimal performance in livestock production.
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