

EFFECT OF INSURGENCY ON FOOD CROP FARMERS' PRODUCTIVITY IN BORNO AND GOMBE STATES, NIGERIA

Ojo M. A, Usman M. A. Mohammed U. S, ,Ojo A. O. and Oseghale A. I.

Department of Agricultural Economics and Farm Management, Federal University of Technology, P.M.B. 65, Minna, Niger State.

<u>akindele.ojo@futminna.edu.ng</u> +2348033674308

ABSTRACT

The study analysed the effect of Insurgency on food crop Farmers' productivity in Borno and Gombe States, Nigeria. Data used for the study were obtained from primary source using *questionnaire administered to randomly selected*240 *food crop farmers. Descriptive statistics* such as percentages, mean, and standard deviation were used to describe farmers' access to farm inputs and output of the farmers in the study area. The ordinary least square regression model was used to analyse the effect of insurgency on food crop farmers' productivity in the study area. The results revealed that the in Borno State, the mean pesticides, fertilizer, seed and farm size required were 3.37 litres, 88.58kg, 3.30kg and 2.83ha, while the quantity used were 0.58 litres, 11.51kg, 0.06kg, and 1.35ha respectively and the differences were statistically significant (p<0.05).Also in Gombe State, the mean pesticides, fertilizer, seed and farm size required were 3.5litres, 178.6kg, 6.6 and 5.3ha, while the quantity used were 1.3 litres, 39.3kg, 1.7kg, and 2.4ha respectively and the differences were statistically significant (p<0.05). The study also revealed a significant difference between the output produced in Borno and Gombe States with a mean value of 706.85kg/ha and 2846.19kg/ha respectively. The result of the regression analysis showed that farm size (p<0.10), fertilizer (P<0.01), farming experience (P<0.05), level of education (p<0.10) and age of the farmer (P<0.01) increased to productivity. While insurgency (P<0.01) was inversely related to productivity in the study area. The study therefore recommended that programmes aimed at enhancing easy and timely access to production inputs especially fertilizer should be strengthened in order to enable farmers improve their productivity. Also, government should provide peaceful atmosphere to boost food crop production and improve farmers' productivity in the study area.

Keywords: Insurgency, Food Crops, Input Use, Production, Productivity,

INTRODUCTION

Agriculture in Nigeria is the most important sector of the economy from the standpoint of rural employment, sufficiency in food and fibre, and export earning prior to the discovery of oil (Towobola *et al.*, 2014). Economically, agriculture contributes almost 40 % of Nigeria's GDP, and

employs about 70 % of the workforce, including almost 37 % of youths with mean age of 27years (48 % males, 52 % females) [National Bureau of Statistics (NBS) and the Nigerian Federal Ministry of Youth Development, 2013]. The incidence of insurgency has destabilized agricultural and socioeconomic activities of the nation



especially, the northern part of the country (Ezema, 2013). The instability generated by the insurgency has caused an exact and substantial increase in prices for key agricultural crops produced insurgency control areas and exports to other regions of the country, Chad, Niger and Cameroon. This increase in prices is mainly due to a decrease in agricultural output, unavailability of labour, scarcity of farm input, uncertainties at markets and an increase in transportation costs. There is large fall in the production of cowpeas, maize, millet, rice and sorghum by 40% in the areas affected by the insurgency, which has affected overall food supply to other parts of the country (Kegna et al., 2014). This reduction in the output of crops is due to reduction in the availability of labour due to the threat of attacks on farmers on their ways to the farm land. Both farmers and farm labourers are scared of attacks on the farms or bombs planted on the roads to farms. The lack of labour has caused insufficient and inappropriately timing of weeding and harvesting. This insurgency has made many farmers relocate to other places where there is peace in other to save their lives, causing them to leave their farmlands behind. Some of the crops they produced are also perishable and get spoiled leading to wastages (Adebisi et al., 2017).

Agricultural production in Nigeria according to Oladele *et al.*(2008) is largely in the hand of peasants' farmers and the characteristics of these peasant farmers predispose them to low productivity. The crucial issue in the Nigerian agriculture is that of low productivity. The problem of declining crop productivity in Nigeria is compounded again with insurgency in the Northern part of the country. It has led to

mass rural-urban migration and most of those migrating are at their productive age which serves as the primary source of labour to the Agricultural sector. Therefore, the insurgency has led to scarcity of labour to Agricultural sector which have affected the productivity of the farmers in the affected area. The role of productivity of food crop farms is no longer debatable but a great necessity in order to increase the efficiency of small holder farms in Nigeria, since food crops have the potential for bridging the food gap. It is to this end that this study was undertaken with the view to analyze the effect of insurgency on food crop farmers' productivity in Borno and Gombe States, Nigeria.

METHODOLOGY

The Study Area: This study was carried in Borno and Gombe States, Nigeria. The two States were selected for comparison purpose since Borno State is the most affected by insurgency, while Gombe State is among the least affected State in North East (Integrated Regional Information Networks (IRIN), 2013). Borno State is located between latitude 10°05'N and 14°05′N and longitude11°30′E and 14°45′E with an elevation of 350meters above sea level. Borno State shares international border with Republic of Niger to the North, Chad to the North East and Cameroon to the East. Within Nigeria it shares boundary with Adamawa to the South, Gombe to the West and Yobe to the North West. The State comprises of twenty seven Government Areas (LGAs) and a land mass of 50,778 square kilometres(University of Maiduguri (Unimaid), 2013). Gombe State is located between latitude 9°30'N and 12°30'N and longitude 8°45'E and 11°45'E with an elevation of 449 meters above sea



level and it shares boundary with Yobe State to the North, Adamawa and Taraba States to the South, Borno State to the East and Bauchi State to the West. The State comprises of eleven LGAs and a land mass of 20,625 square kilometre National Bureau of Statistics (NBS, 2015). The major crops grown in the two States are maize, rice, millet, sorghum, barley, groundnut, and beans among others. The States have three seasons the cool dry (harmattan) season from October – March, hot dry season (April –June) and rainy season (July – September),

Sampling **Procedure:** A two-stage sampling technique was used. The first stage involved random selection of one Local Government Area (LGA) each from the six Agro ecological zones in the States making six (6) LGAs altogether. In Borno State, Jere, Damboa and Kukawa LGAs and the farming communities such as Auno, Beneshiekh, Dalwa, Mallam Fatori, Kekeno and Bagawere selected. While Akko, Kaltungo and Kwami LGAs and the farming communities such as Kashere, Kalshingi, Bule, Kaltin, Bojude and Malleri were selected in Gombe State. The second stage involved random selection of twenty five (25) food crop farmers from each village in Borno State making one hundred and fifty (150) food crop farmers selected, while fifteen (15) food crop farmers were selected in each village of Gombe State making ninety (90) food crop farmers selected. The total number of food crop farmers selected in the study area was two hundred and forty (240).

Data Collection

The primary data for this study were collected using structured questionnaire designed in line with the study objectives. The farmers in the internally displaced persons (IDP) camps were contacted with the help of security agents for the data collection. The data that were collected include socio economic characteristics such as farmer's age, marital status, sex, and years of farming experience, household size, years of schooling. Others include outputs and inputs variable, quantities of farm inputs required and obtained, and constraints faced by the food crop farmers in the study area.

Analytical Techniques: The descriptive inferential statistics were used todescribe food crop farmers' access to farm inputs and to compare the output of crop farmers that were most and least affected by insurgency in the study area. The ordinary least square (OLS) regression analysis was used to determine the effect of insurgency food farmers' on crop productivity.

Partial Factor Productivity index: This was used to determine effect of insurgency on food crop farmers' resource productivity, the various farm inputs considered were:

| Farm | size | p | roductivity | | = |
|------------|------------|-------|--------------|---|---|
| TotalFood | ropoutpu | ıt | - | 1 | |
| Farms | izeuse | | | 1 | |
| Labour | | produ | ctivity | | = |
| Total Food | crop outp | ut | • | 2 | |
| Total La | bour use | | | | |
| Fertilizer | usag | ge | productivity | | = |
| TotalFood | ropoutpu | ıt | | 3 | |
| Totalfert | ilizer use | | | 3 | |
| Agrochen | nical ı | ısage | productivit | y | = |
| TotalFood | cropoutp | ut | | | 1 |
| Total Agro | chemical | use | | | 4 |
| Improved | see | d | productivity | | = |
| TotalFood | ropoutpu | ıt | | | 5 |
| Improved | l seed use | | | | J |

 $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_3 +$



The wheat grain equivalent table was used to aggregate the food crop outputs.

Total factor productivity Index: This index was used to estimate the total factor productivity of the farmers in the two States. The results were compared to ascertain the most agricultural productive State during the period of the study. The total factor productivity indexas used by Fakayode *et al.* (2008) is expressed as follows:

| Total | Factor | Productivity | (TFP) |
|----------------------|--------|--------------|-------|
| _ <i>VOP</i> | | | 6 |
| $-\overline{_{VIE}}$ | ••••• | | 0 |

Let (TFP) index be Y.

VOP= Value of Output in Naira.

VIE= Value of Inputs Employed in Naira.

The effect of insurgency on food crop farmers' productivity was determined using the following regression model:

Where

Y = Total factor productivity index

 X_1 = Farm size (Ha)

 X_2 = Labour used (man-days)

 X_3 = Fertilizer applied in (kg)

 X_4 = seed used in (kg)

 X_5 = Agrochemical applied in (litres)

 $X_6 = Age (years)$

 X_7 = Household size (number of

people)

 X_8 = Years of education (years)

 X_9 = Farming Experience (years)

 X_{10} = Insurgency (1 if affected by

insurgency; 0, otherwise)

 X_{11} = Gender (1 for Male, 0 for female)

Linear Form:

$$\begin{array}{l} \beta_{4}X_{4} \dots \dots + \beta_{11}X_{11} + \\ e_{i} \dots \dots & 8 \\ \textbf{Exponential Form:} \\ \ln Y = \beta_{0} + \beta_{1}X_{1} + \beta_{2}X_{2} + \beta_{3}X_{3} + \\ \beta_{4}X_{4} \dots \dots + \beta_{11}X_{11} + \\ e_{i} \dots & 9 \\ \textbf{Semi-log Form:} \\ Y = \beta_{0} + \beta_{1}\ln X_{1} + \beta_{2}\ln X_{2} + \beta_{3}\ln X_{3} + \\ \beta_{4}\ln X_{4} \dots \dots + \beta_{11}\ln X_{11} + \\ e_{i} \dots & 10 \\ \end{array}$$

Double-log Form:

Y and X_i are as earlier defined

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Input Required and Obtained by Food Crop Farmers in both the States

The summary of inputs required and obtained by the food crop farmers in both States are presented in Tables 1, 2 and 3. The results indicated that food crop farmers required average of 14.29 litres but obtained 1.64litres of herbicide in the study area. Also, farmers' in the study obtained less than 15% of the seed they required and this was statistically significant at 1% (Table 1). Also the results in Tables 2 and 3 revealed that the in Borno State, the mean pesticides, fertilizer, seed and farm size required were 3.37 litres, 88.58kg, 3.30kg and 2.83ha, while the quantity used were 0.58 litres, 11.51kg, 0.06kg, and 1.35ha respectively and the differences were statistically significant (p<0.05).Also in Gombe State, the mean pesticides, fertilizer, seed and farm size required were 3.5litres, 178.6kg, 6.6 and 5.3ha, while the



quantity used were 1.3 litres, 39.3kg, 1.7kg, and 2.4ha respectively and the differences were statistically significant (p<0.05). This is an indication that farmers had less access to productive inputs and this might have been due to the conflict caused by the insurgency in the study area thereby productivity.More affecting their farmers sometimes had to travel far distances in order to purchase farm inputs and this could lead to a reduction in the quantity of inputs purchased. Similarly, differences between all inputs required and obtained were statistically significant (P<0.01). This finding agrees with Iliyasu et al. (2014), Stephen and Sharmarke (2014), Dell (2012) and Kegna et al. (2014), who reported that insurgency had affected farmers' access to farm inputs, reduced their productivity, destruction to their crops and livestock, conflict on land ownership and even forced the energeticfarmers to migrate to more secured places.

Output of Food Crop Farmers in Borno and Gombe States

The mean outputs of food crop farmers in Borno and Gombe Statesduring the study period are as presented in Table 4. The result showed that in Borno State a mean output of 706.85Kgwas obtained which was less than what was obtained in Gombe State (2,846.19kg) with a mean difference of 2139.34kg which is statistically significant at 1% level of probability. This could be as result of less insurgency experienced in Gombe State. This agrees with the findings of Awodola and Oboshi (2013), Kegna et al. (2014), IRIN(2013) and Metz (2007), who reported that areas with high insurgency activities always

produce low farm outputs since most of the farmers usually abandon their farm land as a result of insurgency thereby leaving their crop lands unattended to. Also, Kimenyi *et al.* (2014) reported that there was a drop in the output of some crops during the time of conflict in Borno State and this was attributed to inadequate supply of labour due to threats tofarmers' life.



Table 1: Summary statistics of food crop farmers' access to various farm inputs in Both States (n =238)

| Variable | Input Required | | | | | Input Obtained | | | | | |
|----------------------|----------------|--------------------|---------|---------|-------|--------------------|---------|--------|----------|--|--|
| | • | | | | | | Maximu | | | | |
| | Mean | Standard Deviation | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Standard Deviation | Minimum | m | t-value | | |
| Herbicide (litres) | 14.29 | 8.22 | 10.00 | 100.00 | 1.64 | 1.21 | 1.00 | 10.00 | 4.80*** | | |
| Insecticide (litres) | 3.40 | 1.18 | 5.00 | 50.00 | 0.84 | 0.08 | 1.00 | 10.00 | 2.80*** | | |
| Fertilizer (kg) | 122.62 | 70.24 | 25.00 | 1000.00 | 22.02 | 12.13 | 18.00 | 100.00 | 5.90*** | | |
| Farmyard manure (kg) | 299.28 | 110.20 | 30.00 | 750.00 | 123.5 | 40.00 | 100.00 | 250.00 | 4.10*** | | |
| Improved Seed (kg) | 4.54 | 1.21 | 3.00 | 30.00 | 0.67 | 0.12 | 0.50 | 30.00 | 11.00*** | | |
| Farm Size (Ha) | 3.78 | 2.54 | 1.00 | 15.00 | 1.80 | 1.18 | 1.00 | 7.00 | 4.70*** | | |

^{*** =} Significant at 1% level of probability.

Source: Field Survey, 2016

Table 2: Summary statistics of food crop farmers' access to various farm inputs in Borno State (n = 148)

| Variable | le Input Required | | | | | Input Obtained | | | | |
|----------------------|-------------------|--------------------|---------|---------|-------|--------------------|---------|---------|----------|--|
| | Mean | Standard Deviation | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Standard Deviation | Minimum | Maximum | | |
| Herbicide (litres) | 10.93 | 6.68 | 10.00 | 30.00 | 1.04 | 1.36 | 1.00 | 3.00 | 14.35*** | |
| Insecticide (litres) | 3.37 | 2.67 | 5.00 | 50.00 | 0.58 | 1.59 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 3.98*** | |
| Fertilizer (kg) | 88.58 | 50.07 | 25.00 | 250.00 | 11.51 | 5.18 | 25.00 | 50.00 | 10.21*** | |
| Manure (kg) | 262.63 | 122.01 | 30.00 | 750.00 | 62.97 | 13.54 | 100.00 | 150.00 | 3.15*** | |
| Improved Seed (kg) | 3.30 | 1.89 | 5.00 | 10.00 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 3.00 | 17.21*** | |
| Farm Size (Ha) | 2.83 | 1.04 | 2.00 | 6.00 | 1.35 | 0.65 | 1.00 | 4.00 | 13.30*** | |

^{*** =} Significant at 1% level of probability.

Source: Field Survey, 2016.



Table 3: Summary statistics of food crop farmers' access to various farm inputs in Gombe State (n=90)

| Variable | Input Required | | | | Input Obtained | | | | |
|----------------------|----------------|--------------------|---------|---------|----------------|--------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| | Mean | Standard Deviation | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Standard Deviation | Minimum | Maximum | t-value |
| Herbicide (litres) | 19.8 | 23.8 | 20.0 | 100.0 | 2.6 | 1.3 | 5.0 | 10.0 | 1.3** |
| Insecticide (litres) | 3.5 | 7.5 | 10.0 | 40.0 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 3.0 | 10.0 | 0.56 |
| Fertilizer (kg) | 178.6 | 120.5 | 50.0 | 1000.0 | 39.3 | 26.3 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 3.2*** |
| Manure (kg) | 359.6 | 118.3 | 30.0 | 2000.0 | 223.1 | 31.2 | 200.0 | 1500.0 | 3.3*** |
| Improved Seed (kg) | 6.6 | 3.2 | 5.00 | 30.0 | 1.7 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 12.0 | 5.8*** |
| Farm Size (Ha) | 5.3 | 3.4 | 1.0 | 15.0 | 2.4 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 7.0 | 7.3*** |

^{*** =} Significant at 1% level of probability.

Source: Field Survey, 2016.

^{**=} Significant at 5% level of probability.



Table 4: Summary statistics of food crop farmers output in the study area.

| Variables | Mean | Minimum | Maximum | Mean Difference |
|-------------------|---------|---------|----------|-----------------|
| Borno Output (Kg) | 706.85 | 225.00 | 3200.00 | 2139.34*** |
| Gombe Output (Kg) | 2846.19 | 400.00 | 31810.00 | |

*** = Significant at 1% level of probability

Source: Field Survey, 2016.

Partial Factor Productivity of Food **Crop Farmers in the Study Area**

The results of partial productivity indices of inputs such as farm size, labour, fertilizer, seed and agrochemical are presented in Table5 The result revealed that the mean partialproductivity of farm size BornoState (499.86kg/ha) was two times less than that of GombeState (1104.22kg/ha) and the difference was statistically significant (p<0.05). implies that land productivity in Borno was lower than that of Gombe. This agrees with the findings of Muhammad (2012), who reported that due to the insecurity caused by the insurgency, the farmers if possible only cultivated small farms. Also, the partial productivity of fertilizer, seed, agrochemical and labour were greater in Gombe State than Borno State and the differences were statistically significant. This differences in partial productivity could be attributed to the instability resulting from insurgencies experienced in Borno State because most farm operations are planned and timed, but with the challenges associated with insurgencies most of the farm resources werewasted due to improper timing of weeding and harvesting.

This agrees with the findings of Obasi *et al*. (2013), Chima et al. (2007), Fakayode et al. (2007) and Adesiyan (2015) who reported

age, level of education, years of farming experience, farm size, fertilizer use, planting materials and labour as the main determinants of productivity. Conversely, insurgency was indirectly related productivity and was statistically significant at 1%, level of probability. This indicates that incidence of insurgency led to a decrease in food crop farmers' productivity. This finding further corroborates the results in Table5which revealed that productivity of food crop farmers in States mostly affected by insurgency waslower than the productivity of food crop farmers in State less affected by the insurgency.



Table5: Summary statistics of the partialproductivity of inputs used by the food crop farmers in the study area.

| Factors | Borno State | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|-------------|-----------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|------------|
| | | PartialProducti | vity | I | ity | | |
| | Mean | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Minimum | Maximum | t-value |
| Farm Size (Ha) | 499.86 | 225 | 1170 | 1104.22 | 225 | 6500 | -5.6731*** |
| Labour (man day) | 11.08 | 5 | 31.25 | 15.38 | 3 | 122.35 | -2.4061** |
| Fertilizer (kg) | 10.94 | 3 | 33.75 | 29.39 | 4.5 | 212.07 | -5.7202*** |
| Seed (kg) | 217.79 | 75 | 505.62 | 459.84 | 27.96 | 3623.01 | -4.6090*** |
| Agrochemical (Litres) | 403.37 | 68 | 1700 | 1541.77 | 75 | 31810 | -2.9934*** |

^{*** =} Significant at 1% level of probability, ** = Significant at 5% level of probability

Source: Field Survey, 2016.



3

Effect of Insurgency on Food Crop Farmer's Productivity in the Study Area

The result showing the effect of insurgency on food crop farmers' productivity in the study area is presented in Table 6. The results indicate that the model has an F-ratio 13.55 which shows that the whole model is statistically significant at 1% level of probability. The coefficient of determination (R²) indicated that 52.48% variation in the productivity of the food crop farmers in the study area was explained by the explanatory

variables included in the model. Table 6 further revealed that age, quantity of fertilizer applied, farming experience, level of education and farm size were directly related to productivity and significant at 1%, 5% and 10% level of probability respectively. This indicates that a unit increase in these variables holding other factors constant led to increase in the productivity of the farmers by 0.7520, 1.086, 0.1695, 0.4925 and 0.2535 respectively.

Table 6: Effect of insurgency on food crop farmers' productivity in the study area (double-log as the lead equation)

| Variables (n=238) | Coefficients | t values |
|--------------------------------|--------------|----------|
| Constant | 4.1434 | 4.95*** |
| Farm Size (ha) | 0.2535 | 1.74* |
| Labour (man-days) | 0.3577 | 0.45 |
| Fertilizer (kg) | 1.0861 | 7.32*** |
| Seed (Kg) | -0.0046 | -0.05 |
| Agrochemical (Litre) | -0.0689 | 1.34 |
| Age (Years) | 0.7520 | 4.72*** |
| Household Size | 0.0667 | 1.10 |
| Level of Education (Years) | 0.4925 | 1.81* |
| Farming Experience | 0.1695 | 2.24** |
| Insurgency | -0.4971 | -8.96*** |
| Gender | -0.0850 | -0.77 |
| R ² Square | 0.5248 | |
| Adjusted R ² Square | 0.5017 | |
| F- Ratio | 13.55*** | |

^{*** =} Significant at 1% level of probability, ** = Significant at 5% level of probability, * = Significant at 10% level of probability.

Source: Field survey, 2016



SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

The study analysed the effect of Insurgency on food crop Farmers' productivity in Borno and Gombe States, Nigeria. The findings of this study revealed that farm inputs such as pesticides, fertilizer, seed and farm lands used by the farmers were lower than the amount required. The maximum pesticides, fertilizer, seed and farm size required were 150 litres, 1000kg, 30kg and 15ha, while the quantity used were 20 litres, 100kg, 30kg, and 7 ha respectively and the mean differences were statistically significant (p<0.05). The study also revealed a highly significant difference between the output produced in Borno and Gombe States with a mean value of 706.85kg/ha 2846.19kg/ha and respectively. The result of the regression analysis showed that farm size (p<0.10), fertilizer (P<0.01), farming experience (P<0.05), level of education (p<0.10) and age (P<0.01) of the farmer were directly related to productivity. While insurgency (P < 0.01)was inversely related productivity in the study area. conclusion, the study revealed insurgency had negative and significant the food crop farmers' effect on productivity in the study area. Based on findings,the these study therefore recommends that government intensify efforts at restoring peace and security in areas affected by insurgency so as to improve the food crop farmers' productivity in the study area. Also, programmes aimed at enhancing easy and to production inputs access especially fertilizer should be strengthened in order to enable farmers improve their productivity.

REFERENCES

- Adebisi S. A., Azeez O. O., and Oyedeji R. (2017). Appraising the effect of Boko Haram insurgency on the agricultural sector of Nigerian business environment. *Journal of Law and Governance*, 11(1): 14-25.
- Adesiyan, A. T. (2015). Economic analysis of maize production in Osun State: A

 Case Study of Ilesa East and
 West of Osun State Journal of
 Economics and Sustainable
 Development, 6(9):268-279.
- Awodola, B. And Oboshi, A. (2013). Terrorism in Northern Nigeria: A Threat to Food Security Maiduguri, *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences*, 2 (6):11-16.
- Bizwatch Nigeria. (2013). Boko Haram and Food Insecurity.Retrieved on 19th September, 2015 from: http://bizwatchnigeria.ng/boko-haramand-food-insecurity.
- Chima, I. E., Clinton E. A., and Patrick O. O. (2007). Determinants of technical efficiency in arable crops production and policy implications in Imo State, Nigeria. *International Journal of Bioflux Society*, 1(8):239-244.
- Dell, M. (2012). Path defence in development: evidence from Mexican revolution. Paper presented at Canadian institute in advance research Harvard, MIT.pp18-19.
- Ezema O.O. (2013). The socio-economic implications of the "Boko Haram" insurgence in Nigeria: 2009-2013. *International Journal of Innovation and Scientific Research.* 11(1).



- Fakayode, S. B., Babatunde R. O. and Ajao, R. (2007). Productivity Analysis of Cassava-Based Production Systems in the Guinea Savannah: Case Study of Kwara State, Nigeria *American-Eurasian Journal of Scientific Research*, 3(1):39.
- Iliyasu D., Lawan A., Ibrahim Y., Omonike O. S., and Muktar, A. (2014). Repercussionof insurgence activities of "Boko Haram" on management of livestock and production inNorth eastern part of Nigeria. *Journal of Animal Production Advances*, 5(3):624-628.
- Integrated Regional Information Networks (IRIN). (2013). Impact of Syria upraising on the economy.Pp.23-28.
- Kegna, A., Djire, M., Adibe, J. AndJirgi, A.J. (2014). The impact of conflict and political instability onagricultural investments in Mali and Nigeria. African Growth Initiative 2014 at Brookings. Pp. 4-27.
- Kimenyi, M., Adibe, J., Jirgi, A. J., Kergna, A., Deressa, T. T., Pugliese, J. E., and Westbury, A. (2014). The impact of conflict and political instability on agricultural investments in Mali And Nigeria. Africa Growth Initiative Working Paper 17
- Metz, S. (2007). Rethinking Insurgency. U.S Government Publication.Retrieved on 23th February, 2016 from: http://www.strategicstudies Instituteazony.
- Muhammad, W. C. (2012). The impact of rising terrorism and military operationson socio economic culture of Federally Administered Tribal Areas (FATA) of Pakistan. Pp.19- 21.

- National Bureau of Statistics. (2015): Annual Abstract Report. Pp 45
- National Bureau of Statistics (NBS) and the Nigerian Federal Ministry of Development. (2013).

 National Baseline Youth Survey. Abuja: National Bureau of Statistics.
- Obasi, P. C., Henri-Ukoha, A., Ukewuihe, I. S., and Chidiebere-Mark, N. M. (2013). Factors affecting agricultural productivity among arable crop farmers in Imo State, Nigeria *American Journal of Experimental Agriculture*, 3(2):443-454.
- Ojo, S. O. (2001). Effect of socio-economi variables on technical efficiency of small-scale oil palm farmers in Ondo State, Food and Fibre Production in Nigeria in the 21st century Proceedings of the first annual conference of the College of Agriculture and Veterinary Medicine, Abia State, University, held on 10th 13thSeptember.
- Oladele, O. I, Oladipo O. A. and Ogunlade I. (2008) Unintended consequences of arable crop technology within farming systems in Oyo state, Nigeria. *Indian Journal of Traditional Knowledge* 7(3): 429-43.
- Olayide, S.O. and Heady, E. O. (1982): Introduction to Agricultural ProductionEconomic. Ibadan University Press, Ibadan, Nigeria. Pp. 24-117.
- Prokopenko, J. (1987). Productivity management: Practical handbook. International Labour Organization, Geneva. Oxford University press. Pp. 3437.



Stephen, H. and Sharmarke, F. (2014).

Assessment Report on Somalia
Economic Growth Strategic

Assessment. Pp. 5-8.

Towobola, W. L., Luqman, R., Kolade, T. T., Ogunwale, A. B. and Olakojo, S. A. (2014). Entrepreneurship: A vehicle

for sustainable food production in Nigeria. *Journal of Poverty, Investment and Development*. 5:23-24 University of Maiduguri (2013). University of Maiduguri Handbook.University of Maiduguri Press. Pp. 1-2.