ASSESSMENT OF BENEFITS OF PARTICIPATION OF RURAL WOMEN IN COOPERATIVE SOCIETIES IN SHIRORO AREA OF NIGER STATE, NIGERIA

IBRAHIM. M2, MUHAMMAD2 H.U, 3OLATINWO L.K AND ADESIJI. G.B¹, TYABO. I.S² KEHINDE F. B1

¹Department of Agricultural Extension and Rural Development, University of Ilorin, Kwara State, Nigeria.

²Department of Agricultural Economics and Extension Technology, Federal University of

Technology Minna, Niger State, Nigeria

³Department of Agricultural Economics and Extension, Federal University Dutsin-ma, Katsina State.

Corresponding Author's E-mail: drgbolaadesiji@yahoo.com. Telephone: +2348033817180

ABSTRACT

Despite the significant role of cooperative societies in the economic development of rural areas, many cooperative societies still face several challenges which hinder full participation of individuals and enjoy the benefits of its formation. With this problem one may be in doubt as to whether the objectives of the cooperative societies are achieved or not. It is the light of this the study was conducted to analyze the benefits of participation of rural women in cooperative societies in Shiroro area of Niger state, Nigeria. One hundred and fifty respondents were randomly selected and interviewed in line with the objective of the study. Descriptive statistics and inferential statistical tools were used for data analysis. The findings indicate that younger people (58.7%) within the age range of 21-40 years participate more in cooperative societies in the study area and have taken combination of farming and trading (57.3%) as their major occupation. The study revealed that majority of the respondents belongs to marketing cooperative societies (76.7%) and acquisition of credit facilities (68.0%) was the highest benefit derived by members participating in cooperative societies in the study area. The findings of the study also revealed that major problems encountered by respondents participating in cooperative societies in the study area include bad leadership style (69.33%), inadequate working capital (65.33%) and inadequate benefit accrued to participating members. The results of analysis of variance (ANOVA) that was used test the formulated hypothesis revealed that there is no significant relationship between selected socio-economic (age, educational level and household) characteristics and benefits derived by respondents participating in cooperative societies in the study area. Women should be given more access to education, especially by going beyond secondary school level. The government and nongovernmental organization should create more avenues for cooperative societies to access funds either inform of grants or loans to boost the cooperative activities.

Key words: Women, Cooperative society, Participation, Benefits, Constraints.

Journal of Scientific Reports and Developmental Issues VOL 7 2015

INTRODUCTION

Cooperative forms an indispensable component of rural and Cooperative forms an indispensable confidence of the cooperative forms and platform for bringing together the economic development that serve as the basis and platform for bringing together the economic forms and platform for bringing together the economic forms are confidence of the cooperative forms and platform for bringing together the economic forms are confidence of the cooperative forms and platform for bringing together the economic forms are confidence of the cooperative forms and platform for bringing together the economic forms are confidence of the cooperative forms are confid development that serve as the basis and platform development that serve as the basis are the basis and the basis and the basis are the basis and the weak members of the society with a view of countries, especially in the provision contribution to the economy of developing countries, and marketing with a view of capacital provision with a contribution to the economy of developing countribution to the economy of developing countribution and marketing, wealth its members in agricultural production, micro processing and marketing, wealth its members in agricultural production, micro processing and marketing, wealth its members in agricultural production. its members in agricultural production, interest in agricultural production and as well promote the socio-economic well being of its and production and as well promote the socio-economic well being of its agricultural production and as well as wel (Alkali, 1991; Lawal, 2006).

Cooperative societies play significant roles in agricultural activities at box Cooperative societies play significant cooperative societies in rural Africaliincreased participation of women in rural economic activities and overall increase output. Women cooperative societies have proven to be successful in channeling n women engaged in agricultural production, micro processing and marketing 1994; Toponzi, 1990). Similarly, Cooperative societies have played the role of played. small groups with little financial means into larger groups through provision of film which individual members take loans to meet respective needs. Many cooperatives make investments in business ventures, stocks or real property to generate reliant could be shared as dividends to members periodically, depending on surplus thates to the fund, through this individual have the opportunities to do on his own whatener done as a group (Akinwunmi, 2006). Cooperative societies have also serve as instruct social transformation, especially in rural areas and have proved to be useful in ang increased domestic production of food, industrial raw materials and equitable distron of farm inputs, farm products and other commodities (Asaolu, 2004).

Agricultural cooperatives serve as sources of income through which enal all health insurance to sustain much the sources of income through which de health insurance to sustain much the health insurance to sustain rural livelihood. Members of cooperative societies in advantage of accessing cooperation advantage of accessing cooperative education, business development capacity bull be acquire the skills of running business and this is why rural development would gral, enhanced if people become members of agricultural cooperative in general (Chambert 2007: Volumen 2010). A conference of agricultural cooperative in general (Chambert 2007) are the cooperative in general (Chambert 2007). 2007; Volamen, 2010). A as far as market development is concerned, it has been age that agricultural cooperative have been responsible for introduction of the effor economy in remote areas of Africa. By doing so, cooperative provides a ready manallall farmers' crops and also absorb transaction cost which would otherwise hinder farmers from market and production integration (Holloway, 1999).

The formation of cooperative societies by women can provide an insight into the of these organizations as vehicles for development in various part of the agricultural cooperative societies by women can provide an insight the agricultural cooperative societies by women can provide an insight the agricultural cooperative societies by women can provide an insight the agricultural cooperative societies by women can provide an insight the agricultural cooperative societies by women can provide an insight the agricultural cooperative societies by women can provide an insight the agricultural cooperative societies by women can provide an insight the agricultural cooperative societies by women can provide an insight the agricultural cooperative societies by women can provide an insight the agricultural cooperative societies by women can provide an insight the agricultural cooperative societies by women can provide an insight the agricultural cooperative societies are societies by women can provide an insight the agricultural cooperative societies and the societies are societies as a second cooperative societies and the societies are societies as a second cooperative societies and the societies are societies as a second cooperative societies are societies as a second cooperative societies are societies as a second cooperative societies and the second cooperative societies are societies as a s developing countries, Nigeria inclusive, cooperative institutions and especial ved development of woman as agencies in the server as agencies in the server as agencies in the server as agencies. agricultural cooperatives serve as agencies that hold enormous potential can in the process of food produced particularly the development of women, and more particularly the rural women who are actively heir help accelerate the processing and in the process of food production, processing and marketing. Cooperative institutive organizational and business and development help accelerate the process of development and marketing. Cooperative institutive tools for development pervadices (Prakash 1992) organizational and business of development and participation of women of pervading all areas of rown. Cooperative societies are from productions of the cooperative societies are from productions. tools for development pervading all areas of rural economy ranging from professional professiona

miles financing, improved facilities for farming, marketing of scattered rural farm produce and information. Despite this fact, the level of participation of rural women in agricultural cooperative societies seems inadequate considering its existence in Nigeria for over half a century.

The percentage of membership of rural women especially in agricultural congenitives in developing countries of Africa including Nigeria is low, although they are very active in agriculture, trade and other activities. Prakash (1994) reported that in countries like India, Nepal, Bangladesh, Sri Lanka and Pakistan, women comprise just 7.5% as compared with men (92.5%) of the total membership. Over the years, the government of Meetic and many non-governmental organizations have encouraged the formation of congenitive societies especially by women in the rural areas because of the important role traines in the economy such as facilitation of quick transfer of technical knowledge and the efficiency of the cooperative approach to problem solving (Akinoomi, 2006). Despite the significant onle of conpectative societies in the economic development of rural areas, many congenitive societies still face several challenges which hinder women's full participation and benefits of its instruction. With this problem one may be in doubt as to whether the objectives of the congressitive societies in the study area are achieved or not. It is in the light of this the following research objective was raised to analyze the benefits of participation of rural women in congernative societies in Shiroro area of Niger State, Nigeria. The specific observes are to describe the socio-economic characteristics of women participating in conversative societies in the study area, determine the types of conperative societies in the study area; identify the benefits the benefits derived by members of various cooperative societies in the study area; examine the major problems that hinder the participation of women in cooperative societies and determine the relationship between some selected socia-economic characteristics (age, educational level and household size) and the number of benefits derived by participants in corperative societies.

METHODOLOGY

The study was conducted in Shiroro local Government area of Niger State, Nigeria. The study area lies between latitudes \$21% and 1130% and longitudes 330% and 720%. The study area has a population of about 153,626 people (NPC, 2006). The study area has a Guinea Savannah ture of negetation with an annual rainfall range of between 110mm to trillium and temperature range of 25°C to 35°C. The major occupation of the people is agriculture and trading, the major crops grown include yam, sorghum, groundhut, maite, rice, millet and cownear vegetable grown include tumatres, pepper, garden egg and okra. For this study, multi-stage sampling technique was employed to purpositely select Shiroro Local Government area for the study based on the prior knowledge of high number of nomen cooperative societies in the area. The second stage involved random selection of ive communities namely. Brena, Bussico, Zumba, Owacia and Kuta for the study. Similarly, rundion sampling technique was also employed to select 150 respondents from the selected communities. A well structured interview schedule comprising of open and close questions was used as a primary source of data collection from the sampled respondents to meet up with the objectives of the study. Data analysis was done using descriptive statistics such as frequency distribution and percentages to determine the objectives of the study while one way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to achieve the formula hypothesis.

Results and Discussions

Age is one of the demographic characteristic that is used to classify rural popular into targetable groups. The result in Table 1 below revealed that more than happing respondents (58.7%) within the age range of 21-40 years actively participated of cooperative societies. This implies that younger people actively participate in cooperation cooperatio activities (Windapo and Oluwu, 2001). Hence, age is an important factor that influences ve cooperative activities of respondents as it determines the effectiveness and competer ne required to boost the movement of the cooperative towards achievement of its target ce required to boost the movement of the cooperative towards goals. The Table also shows that 10.7%, 32% and 16.7% of the respondents had primary. goals. The Table also shows that 10./%, 52% and 15..., secondary and tertiary education respectively. Although the categories of formal educational levels are very low, however, this could be an advantage in the decision making process, ability to accept responsibilities and leadership competency in running the cooperative. The Table further revealed that more than half of the respondents (57.3%) engage themselves in both farming and trading as their major occupation while only 30% are fully engaged in farming. Except for the civil servants, most of the respondents were engaged in risk-prone jobs and are likely to rely on cooperative societies for reimbursement in the event of loss.

Table 1: Socio – economic Characteristics of Respondents in the Study Area (n = 150)

Age (years)	Fraguence	ents in the Study Area (n =150)
Below 20	Frequency	Percentage
Below 20	2	1.3
21-40	88	1.5
41-60	00	58.7
41-00	45	20.0
>60	15	30.0
Total	13	10
	150	
Educational level		100
No formal education		
	41	
Quranic	14	27.3
Ault education		9.3
Duimana	6	2.3
Primary education	16	4.0
Secondary education		10.7
	48	10.7
Tertiary education	25	32.0
		167
		16.7

Total	150	100
Primary occupation		
Farming	45	30.0
Farming & trading	86	57.3
Civil servant	19	12.7
Total	150	100
Source: Field survey, 2011.		

The result in Table 2 shows that majority of the respondents (76.7%) belongs to marketing cooperative societies and it's the cooperative society that has the highest level of participation. Other major cooperative societies participated by respondents include Processing cooperative (58.0%), multipurpose cooperative (45.4%) and fisher/women cooperative (44.0%) societies. The higher level of participation of women in these cooperative societies may be explained by the risky nature of their occupation that made it imperative for them to join cooperative societies to avert risk or fall back to the cooperative societies in the case of eventuality.

Table2: Distribution of Respondents According to Types of Cooperative Society they

belong to (n=150) Types of Cooperative	Frequency*	Percentage
Society Farmers cooperative	56	37.3
Consumers cooperative	18	12
Marketing cooperative Processing cooperative	115 87	76.7 58.0
Multipurpose cooperative	68 66	45.4 44.0
Fisher/women cooperative Thrift and credit cooperative	24	16.0

Source: Field survey, 2011

*Multiple responses

Cooperative societies have proved to be an important instrument of social transformation to meet the needs of the people in all spheres of development especially in the generation of funds from which individual members take loans, generate returns that could be shared as dividends to members periodically, transfer technical knowledge about the major commodities to groups of farmers, provide ready markets for members produce, provide available retail goods for the consumption of the members, etc. (Akinwumnmi (2006); Asaoulu, (2004). The result in Table 3 revealed that close to three quarter of the respondents (68.0%) benefited from the cooperative societies through acquisition of credit facilities, 46.7% and 25.3% of the respondents respectively

facilities, 46.7% and 25.3% of the respondent their cooperative societies. arket Table3: Benefits derived by Respondents for Participating in Cooperative

Percentage Frequency* (n=150)Reasons 68.0 102 Access credit facilities 25.3 38 Access agricultural inputs 46.7 70 Access market information 4.0 6 Access extension service 22.7 Access loans from financial 34 institutions 100 250 **Total**

Source: Field survey, 2011

*Multiple responses

Despite the significant role played by the cooperative societies in the sins t economic development of rural areas, many members encountered several probletuat hinder the effectiveness of its performance in fulfilling its major objectives. This ay. hampers the contribution of women to agriculture and other sectors of the econtation result in Table 4 shows that major problems encountered by respondents participeque cooperative societies in the study area include bad leadership style (69.33%), ins. O working capital (65.33%) and inadequate benefit accrued to participating memberstra problems encountered by respondents include experiences of complicated administration (46,70%) procedure (46.70%) in the process of seeking for financial or any other assner incidences of corruption and embezzlement by cooperative leaders (44%), unwillipicity cooperative to assist some members (35.33%) and discriminative attitude of the office the distribution of cooperative box of the office attitude of the office a the distribution of cooperative benefits. The critical problems highlighted above can performance and death of some poor performance and death of some cooperative societies. Bad leadership, instead working capital to execute cooperative working capital to execute cooperative programmes, corruption and embezzlene cooperative funds and other political and embezzlene cooperative funds and other political and socio-economic factors limits the efficience cooperative societies in meeting its ali cooperative societies in meeting its objectives (Asaolu, 2004; Akinwunmi, 2006).

Table 4: Problems encountered by respondents Participating in Cooperative Societies (n=150)

Problems of participation	Frequency	Percentage*	Rank
Complicated administrative procedures	70	46.67	4th
Corruption and embezzlement by cooperative leaders	66	44	5 th
Unwillingness of cooperative to assist	53	35.33	6 th
Discriminative attitude of cooperative officials	53	35.33	6 th
Inadequate benefit	80	53.33	3rd
Bad leadership style	104	69.33	1st
Inadequate working capital	98	65.33	2 nd

Source: Field survey, 2011

*Multiple responses

Testing of the Hypothesis

H_o: There is no significant relationship between some selected socio-economic characteristics (age, educational level and household size) and the number of benefits derived by participants in cooperative societies. The result in Table 5 revealed that F-calc. of 1.66, 1.818 and 1.717 at 0.05 level of significance are less than the estimated F-tab of (5.13, P = 0.05) of all the selected variables. Hence, there is no significant relationship between the socio-economic characteristics and the number of benefits derived by respondents participating in cooperative societies. This implies that age, educational level and household size of participants did not significantly influence the number of benefits enjoyed by respondents participating in cooperative societies in the study area. Therefore, other things being equal, the young and the old, literate and none-literate, small and large household size that participate in cooperative societies are likely to enjoy equal benefits from the cooperative societies.

Table 5: ANOVA Result of Relationship between Socio-economic characteristics (n=150)

Respondents in	Cooperativ	e Societies (I	Df	Mean	F cal	
Socio-		Sum	וע	square	- cui	Ftah
economic		squares				ie
characteristic				220000	1 664	
	Between groups	132.276	4	330.069	1.664	5,13
	Within groups	28766.584	145	198.390		
	Total	30086.860	149			
Educational level (No. of	Between groups	262.153	4	65.538	1.818	5.13
years spent in school)						
	Within groups	5227.341	145	36.051		
	Total	5489.493	149			
Household size	Between groups	245.392	4	61.348	1.717	5.13 al a ns t tuat ny. 7
	Within groups	5181.281	145	35.733		iting lequ :. Ot
	Total	5426.673	149			strat
Source: Field su	rvey, 20					nes
CONCLUSIONS						lead

Based on the findings of this study, it can be concluded that more thency respondents that participated in cooperative societies fall within the active age of 40 years, therefore younger people participate more in cooperative societies in area and have taken combination of farming and trading as their major occup study revealed that majority of the respondents belongs to marketing cooperative. The higher level of participation of women in this cooperative society may be expected to avert risk or fall back to the cooperative society in the case of event study concludes that acquisition of credit facilities was the highest benefit.

Table 4: Problems encountered by respondents Participating in Cooperative Societies (n=150)

Problems of participation	Frequency	Percentage*	Rank
Complicated administrative procedures	70	46.67	4th
Corruption and embezzlement by cooperative leaders	66	44	5 th
Unwillingness of cooperative to assist	53	35.33	6 th
Discriminative attitude of cooperative officials	53	35.33	6 th
Inadequate benefit	80	53.33	3rd
Bad leadership style	104	69.33	1st
Inadequate working capital	98	65.33	2 nd

Source: Field survey, 2011

*Multiple responses

Testing of the Hypothesis

H_o: There is no significant relationship between some selected socio-economic characteristics (age, educational level and household size) and the number of benefits derived by participants in cooperative societies. The result in Table 5 revealed that F-calc. of 1.66, 1.818 and 1.717 at 0.05 level of significance are less than the estimated F-tab of (5.13, P = 0.05) of all the selected variables. Hence, there is no significant relationship between the socio-economic characteristics and the number of benefits derived by respondents participating in cooperative societies. This implies that age, educational level and household size of participants did not significantly influence the number of benefits enjoyed by respondents participating in cooperative societies in the study area. Therefore, other things being equal, the young and the old, literate and none-literate, small and large household size that participate in cooperative societies are likely to enjoy equal benefits from the cooperative societies.

Table 5: ANOVA Result of Relationship between Socio-economic characteristics and the number of benefits design between Societies (n=150)

educational le	vel, nousen	Cociotios (n=	150)			del	b
Respondents in Socio- economic characteristic	Cooperative	Sum of squares	Df	Mean square	F cal	F tab	D
	Between groups	132.276	4	330.069	1.664	5.13	N s: n
	Within groups	28766.584	145	198.390			
	Total	30086.860	149				
Educational level (No. of years spent in school)	Between groups	262.153	4	65.538	1.818	5.13	N s n
	Within groups	5227.341	145	36.051			
	Total	5489.493	149				T
Household size	Between groups	245.392	4	61.348	1.717	5.13	S
	Within groups	5181.281	145	35.733			
	Total	5426.673	149				

Source: Field survey, 20

CONCLUSIONS

Based on the findings of this study, it can be concluded that more that 2 respondents that participated in cooperative societies fall within the active age ratu 40 years, therefore younger people participate more in cooperative societies in T area and have taken combination of farming and trading as their major occupieti study revealed that majority of the respondents belongs to marketing cooperative d. The higher level of participation of women in this cooperative society may be expand the risky nature of their occupation that made it imperative for them to join consistent to avert risk or fall back to the cooperative society in the case of eventual study concludes that acquisition of credit facilities was the highest benefit described.

members participating in cooperative societies in the study area. The most severe constraints encountered by respondents participating in cooperative societies in the study area include bad leadership style, inadequate working capital and inadequate benefit accrued to participating members. The study also revealed that there is no significant relationship between the selected socio-economic characteristics and the number of benefits derived by respondents participating in cooperative societies in the study area. Women should be given more access to education, especially by going beyond secondary school level. The government and non-governmental organization should create more avenues for cooperative societies to access funds either inform of grants or loans to boost the cooperative activities. Administrative mechanism should be set not only to check the activities of cooperative societies but also to ensure that embezzlement of cooperative funds are controlled and people of questionable characters are not allowed to lead the cooperative societies in the study area.

REFERENCES

Akinwunmi, J. (2006): Road map to re-engineering Cooperative in Nigeria. A paper at the South West Cooperative Leaders Conference, organized by Cooperative of Nigeria South West Zone at Obisesan Hall, Ibadan, 7th September, 2006.

Alkali, R.A. (1991): The Rise and Development of Cooperative Movement in Bauchi State, Nigeria, Nigerian Journal of Agricultural Extension. 7:182

Asaolu, T.O.(2004): Evaluation of the Performance of Cooperative Investments and CreditSocieties (ICS) in Financing Small-scale Enterprises in Osun State, Nigeria. Retrieved 2ndMay, 2006 from <u>www.wikipaedia</u>.

Chambo, S., Mwangi, M. and Oloo. 0. (2007): An Analysis of the Socio-economic Impact of Cooperative in Africa and their Institutional Context, ICA Regional Office for Africa, Nairobi

Chukwu, S.C.(1990): Economics of Cooperative Business Union, Holt Rinehart and WinstonInc. Manchester: 483-569

Hollowway, G., Nicholson, C and Delgado, C. (1999): Agro industrialization through Institutional Innovation: Transaction, Cost, Cooperatives and Milk-market Development in the Ethiopian high lands. International Food Policy Research, Washington DC.

Lawal T.O. (2006): Introduction to Modern Cooperative Management, Alibi-Eyo & Co Ltd:

Oguoma, N.N (1994): Effective Rural Farm Labour Mobilizations through Cooperative. A Paper Presented at the 8th Annual Conference of the Nigerian Rural Sociological Association, Ayo-Iwoyu, Ogun State, Nigeria. March 13-17: 6-7

Prakash, D. (1999): Rural Women, Food Security and Agricultural Cooperatives: A theme

Journal of Scientific Reports and Developmental Issues VOL 7 2015

Paper presented at the 4th Asian-African International Conference on Ward Agricultural Cooperatives in Asia and Africa organized jointly by the ICA, Agricultural Cooperatives in Asia and Africa organized jointly by the ICA, Agricultural Cooperatives in Asia and Africa organized jointly by the ICA, Agricultural Cooperatives in Asia and Africa organized jointly by the ICA, Agricultural Cooperatives in Asia and Africa organized jointly by the ICA, Agricultural Cooperatives in Asia and Africa organized jointly by the ICA, Agricultural Cooperatives in Asia and Africa organized jointly by the ICA, Agricultural Cooperatives in Asia and Africa organized jointly by the ICA, Agricultural Cooperatives in Asia and Africa organized jointly by the ICA, Agricultural Cooperatives in Asia and Africa organized jointly by the ICA, Agricultural Cooperatives in Asia and Africa organized jointly by the ICA, Agricultural Cooperatives in Asia and Africa organized jointly by the ICA, Agricultural Cooperatives in Asia and Africa organized jointly by the ICA, Agricultural Cooperatives in Asia and Africa organized jointly by the ICA, Agricultural Cooperatives in Asia and Africa organized jointly by the ICA, Agricultural Cooperatives in Asia and Africa organized jointly by the ICA, Agricultural Cooperatives in Asia and Africa organized jointly by the ICA, Agricultural Cooperatives in Asia and Africa organized jointly by the ICA, Agricultural Cooperatives in Asia and Africa organized jointly by the ICA, Agricultural Cooperatives in Asia and Africa organized jointly by the ICA, Agricultural Cooperatives in Asia and Africa organized jointly by the ICA, Agricultural Cooperatives in Asia and Africa organized jointly by the ICA, Agricultural Cooperatives in Asia and Africa organized jointly by the ICA, Agricultural Cooperatives in Asia and Africa organized jointly by the ICA, Agricultural Cooperatives in Asia and Africa organized jointly by the ICA, Agricultural Cooperatives in Asia and Africa organized jointly by the ICA, Agricultural Cooperati	On RA
Toponzi, O. (1990): Women Farmers Emerge from the shadows. Journal of the Farmers 3:23-31	4
Volamen, R. (2010): Towards Multi-functional Global Food Systems COGECA, L_{UX} Agricultural Council. March 7^{th} , 2010.	5
Windapo, O. and Olowu, T. (2001): The Relationship between Extension Agents's and	n
Job-related Characteristics of Contact Farmers' Knowledge-Implication Accuracy	