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#### Abstract

This paper models the effect of malaria on the homozygous for the normal gene (AA), heterozygous for sickle cell gene (AS) and homozygous for sickle cell gene (SS) using the first order ordinary differential equation. The Diseases Free Equilibrium (DFE) was obtained and used to compute the basic reproduction Number $\mathrm{R}_{0}$. The local stability of the (DFE) was analyzed.
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## Introduction.

The sickle cell gene is caused by a single amino acid mutation (valine instead of glutamate at the 6th position) in the beta chain of the hemoglobin gene. Inheritance of this mutated gene from both parents leads to sickle cell disease and people with this disease have shorter life expectancy. On the contrary, individuals who are carriers for the sickle cell disease (with one sickle gene and one normal hemoglobin gene, also known as sickle cell trait) have some protective advantage against malaria. As a result, the frequencies of sickle cell carriers are high in malaria-endemic areas. It was found that that the sickle cell trait provides $60 \%$ protection against overall mortality. Most of this protection occurs between 2-16 months of life, before the onset of clinical immunity in areas with intense transmission of malaria.

The natural history of malaria involves cyclical infection of humans and female Anopheles mosquitoes. In humans, the parasites grow and multiply first in the liver cells and then in the red cells of the blood. The infected mosquito carries the disease from one human to another (acting as a "vector"), while infected humans transmit the parasite to the mosquito, in contrast
to the human host, the mosquito vector does not suffer from the presence of the parasites (CDC, 2018).

## Methodology

## Model Formulation.

In modelling diseases using Ordinary Differential Equation, Akinwande (2018) precludes an assumption of vertical transmission, in which those who recover from infection are returned into the Susceptible class making room for possible re-infection as in the case of malaria fever and some other diseases.

In modelling theeffect of malaria on the heterozygous and homozygous genes, the total population is subdivided in to four main subgroup namely the susceptible homozygous(AA) $S_{1}(\mathrm{t})$, the infected homozygous(AA) $I_{1}(\mathrm{t})$, the Susceptible heterozygous(AS) $S_{2}(\mathrm{t})$, infected heterozygous(AS) $I_{2}(\mathrm{t})$, the Susceptible homozygous(SS) $S_{3}(\mathrm{t})$, the infected homozygous(SS) $I_{3}(\mathrm{t}), \quad M_{1}(\mathrm{t})$ the non-plasmodium carrier mosquito and $M_{2}(\mathrm{t})$ the plasmodium carrier mosquito. People enter the susceptible class through birth and recovery from the infected class and leaves the susceptible class through infection, natural death and death due infection. It was assumed in this work that infants are not infected with malaria from birth and that the mosquito are non-plasmodium carrier from birth they become infected through contact with infected human. The transmission dynamics of malaria as it affects the heterozygous and homozygous sickle cell genes is given by the equations 1-8 below

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d S_{1}}{d t}=\omega_{1} \beta_{1}+\theta_{1} \beta_{2}+\gamma_{1} I_{1}-\alpha_{1} S_{1} M_{2}-\left(\mu_{1}+\delta_{1}\right) S_{1} \\
& \frac{d I_{1}}{d t}=\alpha_{1} S_{1} M_{2}-\gamma_{1} I_{1}-\left(\mu_{1}+\delta_{1}\right) I_{1}  \tag{2}\\
& \frac{d S_{2}}{d t}=\omega_{2} \beta_{1}+\theta_{2} \beta_{2}+\rho_{1} \beta_{3}+\gamma_{2} I_{2}-\alpha_{1} S_{2} M_{2}-\left(\mu_{1}+\delta_{2}\right) S_{2} \\
& \frac{d I_{2}}{d t}=\alpha_{1} S_{2} M_{2}-\gamma_{2} I_{2}-\left(\mu_{1}+\delta_{2}\right) I_{2} \\
& \frac{d S_{3}}{d t}=\theta_{3} \beta_{2}+\rho_{2} \beta_{3}+\gamma_{3} I_{3}-\alpha_{1} S_{3} M_{2}-\left(\mu_{1}+\delta_{3}\right) S_{3}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d I_{3}}{d t}=\alpha_{1} S_{3} M_{2}-\gamma_{3} I_{3}-\left(\mu_{1}+\delta_{3}\right) I_{3} \\
& \frac{d M_{1}}{d t}=\beta_{4}-\alpha_{3} M_{1}\left(I_{1}+I_{2}+I_{3}\right)-\mu_{2} M_{1} 7 \\
& \frac{d M_{2}}{d t}=\alpha_{3} M_{1}\left(I_{1}+I_{2}+I_{3}\right)-\mu_{2} M_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Notation and definition of variables and parameter

$S_{1}(\mathrm{t})$ Number of susceptible AA
$I_{1}(\mathrm{t})$ Number of Infected AA
$S_{2}(\mathrm{t}) \quad$ Number of susceptible AS
$I_{2}(\mathrm{t})$ Number of Infected AS
$S_{3}(\mathrm{t}) \quad$ Number of susceptible SS
$I_{3}(\mathrm{t})$ Number of Infected SS
$M_{1}(\mathrm{t}) \quad$ Number of non-plasmodium carrier mosquitoes
$M_{2}(\mathrm{t})$ Number of plasmodium carrier mosquitoes
$\beta_{1} \quad$ normal birth rate in human (AA)
$\beta_{2} \quad$ normal birth rate in human (AS)
$\beta_{3} \quad$ normal birth rate in human (SS)
$\beta_{4} \quad$ normal birth rate in mosquitoes
$\mu_{1} \quad$ normal death rate in human
$\mu_{1} \quad$ normal and induced death rate in mosquitoes
$\delta_{1} \quad$ death due to infection in AA
$\delta_{2} \quad$ death due to infection in AS
$\delta_{3}$ death due to infection in SS
$\alpha_{1} \quad$ infection rate from mosquitoes to human
$\alpha_{2} \quad$ infection rate from infected human to mosquitoes
$\gamma_{1} \quad$ recovery rate in AA
$\gamma_{2} \quad$ recovery rate in AS
$\gamma_{3} \quad$ recovery rate in SS
$\theta_{1} \quad$ proportion of birth of AA by AS
$\theta_{2} \quad$ proportion of birth of AS by AS
$\theta_{3} \quad$ proportion of birth of SS by AS
$\omega_{1} \quad$ proportion of birth of AA by AA
$\omega_{2} \quad$ proportion of birth of AS by AA
$\rho_{1} \quad$ proportion of birth of AS by SS
$\rho_{2} \quad$ proportion of birth of SS by SS

Note that i)

$$
\omega_{1}+\omega_{2}=19
$$

ii) $\quad \theta_{1}+\theta_{2}+\theta_{3}=1$
iii) $\rho_{1}+\rho_{2}=1$

## Existence of Equilibrium State of the Model

At equilibrium,

$$
\frac{d S_{1}}{d t}=\frac{d I_{1}}{d t}=\frac{d S_{2}}{d t}=\frac{d I_{2}}{d t}=\frac{d S_{3}}{d t}=\frac{d I_{3}}{d t}=\frac{d M_{1}}{d t}=\frac{d M_{2}}{d t}=0
$$

Let

$$
\left(S_{1}, S_{2}, S_{3}, \mathbf{I}_{1}, \mathbf{I}_{2}, \mathbf{I}_{3}, \mathbf{M}_{1}, \mathbf{M}_{2}\right)=\left(S_{1}^{0}, S_{2}^{0}, S_{3}^{0}, \mathbf{I}_{1}^{0}, \mathrm{I}_{2}^{0}, \mathbf{I}_{3}^{0}, \mathbf{M}_{1}^{0}, \mathbf{M}_{2}^{0}\right)
$$

Be arbitrary equilibrium points, therefore the system $1-8$ becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d S_{1}^{0}}{d t}=\omega_{1} \beta_{1}+\theta_{1} \beta_{2}+\gamma_{1} I_{1}^{0}-\alpha_{1} S_{1}^{0} M_{2}^{0}-\left(\mu_{1}+\delta_{1}\right) S_{1}^{0}  \tag{14}\\
& \frac{d I_{1}^{0}}{d t}=\alpha_{1} S_{1}^{0} M_{2}^{0}-\gamma_{1} I_{1}^{0}-\left(\mu_{1}+\delta_{1}\right) I_{1}^{0}  \tag{15}\\
& \frac{d S_{2}^{0}}{d t}=\omega_{2} \beta_{1}+\theta_{2} \beta_{2}+\rho_{1} \beta_{3}+\gamma_{2} I_{2}^{0}-\alpha_{1} S_{2}^{0} M_{2}^{0}-\left(\mu_{1}+\delta_{2}\right) S_{2}^{0}  \tag{16}\\
& \frac{d I_{2}^{0}}{d t}=\alpha_{1} S_{2}^{0} M_{2}^{0}-\gamma_{2} I_{2}^{0}-\left(\mu_{1}+\delta_{2}\right) I_{2}^{0}  \tag{17}\\
& \frac{d S_{3}^{0}}{d t}=\theta_{3} \beta_{2}+\rho_{2} \beta_{3}+\gamma_{3} I_{3}^{0}-\alpha_{1} S_{3}^{0} M_{2}^{0}-\left(\mu_{1}+\delta_{3}\right) S_{3}^{0} \\
& \frac{d I_{3}^{0}}{d t}=\alpha_{1} S_{3}^{0} M_{2}^{0}-\gamma_{3} I_{3}^{0}-\left(\mu_{1}+\delta_{3}\right) I_{3}^{0} \\
& \frac{d M_{1}^{0}}{d t}=\beta_{4}-\alpha_{3} M_{1}^{0}\left(I_{1}^{0}+I_{2}^{0}+I_{3}^{0}\right)-\mu_{2} M_{1}^{0} \\
& \frac{d M_{2}^{0}}{d t}=\alpha_{3} M_{1}^{0}\left(I_{1}^{0}+I_{2}^{0}+I_{3}^{0}\right)-\mu_{2} M_{2}^{0}
\end{align*}
$$

Let
$\mu_{1}+\partial_{1}=A_{1}, \mu_{1}+\partial_{2}=A_{2}, \mu_{1}+\partial_{3}=A_{3}$
$\omega_{1} \beta_{1}+\theta_{1} \beta_{2}+\gamma_{1} I_{1}^{0}-\alpha_{1} S_{1}^{0} M_{2}^{0}-A_{1} S_{1}^{0}=0$
$\alpha_{1} S_{1}^{0} M_{2}^{0}-\gamma_{1} I_{1}^{0}-A_{1} I_{1}^{0}=0$
$\omega_{2} \beta_{1}+\theta_{2} \beta_{2}+\rho_{1} \beta_{3}+\gamma_{2} I_{2}^{0}-\alpha_{1} S_{2}^{0} M_{2}^{0}-A_{2} S_{2}^{0}=0$
$\alpha_{1} S_{2}^{0} M_{2}^{0}-\gamma_{2} I_{2}^{0}-A_{2} I_{2}^{0}=0$
$\theta_{3} \beta_{2}+\rho_{2} \beta_{3}+\gamma_{3} I_{3}^{0}-\alpha_{1} S_{3}^{0} M_{2}^{0}-A_{3} S_{3}^{0}=0$
$\alpha_{1} S_{3}^{0} M_{2}^{0}-\gamma_{3} I_{3}^{0}-A_{3} I_{3}^{0}=0$ 28
$\beta_{4}-\alpha_{3} M_{1}^{0}\left(I_{1}^{0}+I_{2}^{0}+I_{3}^{0}\right)-\mu_{2} M_{1}^{0}=0$
$\alpha_{3} M_{1}^{0}\left(I_{1}^{0}+I_{2}^{0}+I_{3}^{0}\right)-\mu_{2} M_{2}^{0}=0$
Let
$I_{1}^{0}=I_{2}^{0}=I_{3}^{0}=0$
$\omega_{1} \beta_{1}+\theta_{1} \beta_{2}-\alpha_{1} S_{1}^{0} M_{2}^{0}-A_{1} S_{1}^{0}=0$32
$\alpha_{1} S_{1}^{0} M_{2}^{0}=0 \quad 33$
$\omega_{2} \beta_{1}+\theta_{2} \beta_{2}+\rho_{1} \beta_{3}-\alpha_{1} S_{2}^{0} M_{2}^{0}-A_{2} S_{2}^{0}=0$
$\alpha_{1} S_{2}^{0} M_{2}^{0}=0$ 35
$\theta_{3} \beta_{2}+\rho_{2} \beta_{3}-\alpha_{1} S_{3}^{0} M_{2}^{0}-A_{3} S_{3}^{0}=0$
$\alpha_{1} S_{3}^{0} M_{2}^{0}=0$
$\beta_{4}-\mu_{2} M_{1}^{0}=0$
$-\mu_{2} M_{2}^{0}=0$
39

From $39 M_{2}^{0}=0$,
From $38 \quad M_{1}^{0}=\frac{\beta_{4}}{\mu_{2}}$

From $32 \quad S_{1}^{0}=\frac{\omega_{1} \beta_{1}+\theta_{1} \beta_{2}}{A_{1}}$
From $34 S_{2}^{0}=\frac{\omega_{2} \beta_{1}+\theta_{2} \beta_{2}+\rho_{1} \beta_{3}}{A_{2}}$

From $36 S_{3}^{0}=\frac{\theta_{3} \beta_{2}+\rho_{2} \beta_{3}}{A_{3}}$

Thus, the $\operatorname{DFE}\left(E^{0}\right)$ exists at the points

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E^{0}=\left(S_{1}^{0}, S_{2}^{0}, S_{3}^{0}, \mathrm{I}_{1}^{0}, \mathrm{I}_{2}^{0}, \mathrm{I}_{3}^{0}, \mathrm{M}_{1}^{0}, \mathrm{M}_{2}^{0}\right) \\
& =\left(\frac{\omega_{1} \beta_{1}+\theta_{1} \beta_{2}}{A_{1}}, \frac{\omega_{2} \beta_{1}+\theta_{2} \beta_{2}+\rho_{1} \beta_{3}}{A_{2}}, \frac{\theta_{3} \beta_{2}+\rho_{2} \beta_{3}}{A_{3}}, 0,0,0, \frac{\beta_{4}}{\mu_{2}}, 0\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Computation of Basic Reproduction Number ( $R_{o}$ )

Somma et al (2017) applied next generation matrix operation to compute the Basic reproduction Number of the model as used by Diekmann et al(1990) and improved by Driessche (2002). The effective basic reproduction number is the largest Eigenvalue or spectral radius of $\left(F V^{-1}\right)$. The basic reproduction number of the model is given as the largest eigenvalue or spectral radius of $F V^{-1}$.

$$
F V^{-1}=\left\{\left[\frac{\partial F_{i}\left(\mathrm{E}^{0}\right)}{\partial x_{i}}\right]\left[\frac{\partial V_{i}}{\partial x_{i}}\right]^{-1}\right\}
$$

Where $F_{i}$ is the rate of appearance of new infection in compartment $i, V_{i}$ is the transfer of infections from one compartment $i$ to another and $E^{0}$ is the Disease-Free Equilibrium.

$$
f_{i}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
f_{1} \\
f_{2} \\
f_{3} \\
f_{4}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\alpha_{1} S_{1}^{0} M_{2}^{0} \\
\alpha_{1} S_{2}^{0} M_{2}^{0} \\
\alpha_{1} S_{3}^{0} M_{2}^{0} \\
\alpha_{3} M_{1}^{0}\left(\mathrm{I}_{1}^{0}+\mathrm{I}_{2}^{0}+\mathrm{I}_{3}^{0}\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

Differentiating (47) partially with respect to time gives

$$
F=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha_{1} S_{1}^{0} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha_{1} S_{2}^{0} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha_{1} S_{3}^{0} \\
\alpha_{3} M_{1}^{0} & \alpha_{3} M_{1}^{0} & \alpha_{3} M_{1}^{0} & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

$F=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{\alpha_{1}\left(\omega_{1} \beta_{1}+\theta_{1} \beta_{2}\right)}{A_{1}} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{\alpha_{1}\left(\omega_{2} \beta_{1}+\theta_{2} \beta_{2}+\rho_{1} \beta_{3}\right)}{A_{2}} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{\alpha_{1}\left(\theta_{3} \beta_{2}+\rho_{2} \beta_{3}\right)}{A_{3}} \\ \frac{\alpha_{3} \beta_{4}}{\mu_{2}} & \frac{\alpha_{3} \beta_{4}}{\mu_{2}} & \frac{\alpha_{3} \beta_{4}}{\mu_{2}} & 0\end{array}\right]$
$K_{1}=\omega_{1} \beta_{1}+\theta_{1} \beta_{2}$,
$\mathrm{K}_{2}=\omega_{2} \beta_{1}+\theta_{2} \beta_{2}+\rho_{1} \beta_{3}$,
$\mathrm{K}_{3}=\theta_{3} \beta_{2}+\rho_{2} \beta_{3}$,
$\mathrm{K}_{4}=\frac{\beta_{4}}{\mu_{2}}$
$F=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{\alpha_{1} K_{1}}{A_{1}} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{\alpha_{1} K_{2}}{A_{2}} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{\alpha_{1} K_{3}}{A_{3}} \\ \alpha_{3} K_{4} & \alpha_{3} K_{4} & \alpha_{3} K_{4} & 0\end{array}\right] \quad V=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}A_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A_{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & A_{3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \mu_{2}\end{array}\right] 52$
$\operatorname{det} V=A_{1} A_{2} A_{3} \mu_{2}$
$\operatorname{Adj} V=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}A_{2} A_{3} \mu_{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A_{1} A_{3} \mu_{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & A_{1} A_{2} \mu_{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & A_{1} A_{2} A_{3}\end{array}\right]$

$$
V^{-1}=\frac{\operatorname{AdjV}}{\operatorname{det} V}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{1}{A_{1}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{A_{2}} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{A_{3}} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\mu_{2}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
F V^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{K_{1} \alpha_{1}}{A_{1} \mu_{2}} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{K_{2} \alpha_{1}}{A_{2} \mu_{2}} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{K_{3} \alpha_{1}}{A_{3} \mu_{2}} \\
\frac{K_{4} \alpha_{3}}{A_{1}} & \frac{K_{4} \alpha_{3}}{A_{2}} & \frac{K_{4} \alpha_{3}}{A_{3}} & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

The characteristics equation of (56), gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|F V^{-1}-\lambda I\right|=057 \\
& \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{cccc}
-\lambda & 0 & 0 & \frac{K_{1} \alpha_{1}}{A_{1} \mu_{2}} \\
0 & -\lambda & 0 & \frac{K_{2} \alpha_{1}}{A_{2} \mu_{2}} \\
0 & 0 & -\lambda & \frac{K_{3} \alpha_{1}}{A_{3} \mu_{2}} \\
\left|\begin{array}{llll}
K_{4} \alpha_{3} \\
A_{1} & \frac{K_{4} \alpha_{3}}{A_{2}} & \frac{K_{4} \alpha_{3}}{A_{3}} & -\lambda
\end{array}\right|=0 \\
-\lambda\left[-\lambda\left(\lambda^{2}-\frac{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{3} K_{3} \mathrm{~K}_{4}}{A_{3}^{2} \mu_{2}}\right)\right]-0+\frac{\alpha_{1} K_{1}}{A_{1} \mu_{2}}(0)=0
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\lambda^{2}-\frac{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{3} \mathrm{~K}_{3} \mathrm{~K}_{4}}{A_{3}^{2} \mu_{2}}=0
$$

$$
\lambda= \pm \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{3} \mathrm{~K}_{3} \mathrm{~K}_{4}}{A_{3}^{2} \mu_{2}}}
$$

$$
\lambda_{1}=0, \lambda_{2}=-\sqrt{\frac{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{3} \mathrm{~K}_{3} \mathrm{~K}_{4}}{A_{3}^{2} \mu_{2}}}, \lambda_{3}=+\sqrt{\frac{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{3} \mathrm{~K}_{3} \mathrm{~K}_{4}}{A_{3}^{2} \mu_{2}}}
$$

$\lambda_{3}$ is the spectral radius of $\rho\left(F V^{-1}\right)$

$$
R_{0}=\sqrt{\frac{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{3} \mathrm{~K}_{3} \mathrm{~K}_{4}}{A_{3}^{2} \mu_{2}}}
$$

The Disease-Free Equilibrium exists and it is equal to

$$
\begin{align*}
& E^{0}=\left(S_{1}^{0}, S_{2}^{0}, S_{3}^{0}, \mathrm{I}_{1}^{0}, \mathrm{I}_{2}^{0}, \mathrm{I}_{3}^{0}, \mathrm{M}_{1}^{0}, \mathrm{M}_{2}^{0}\right) \\
& =\left(\frac{\omega_{1} \beta_{1}+\theta_{1} \beta_{2}}{A_{1}}, \frac{\omega_{2} \beta_{1}+\theta_{2} \beta_{2}+\rho_{1} \beta_{3}}{A_{2}}, \frac{\theta_{3} \beta_{2}+\rho_{2} \beta_{3}}{A_{3}}, 0,0,0, \frac{\beta_{4}}{\mu_{2}}, 0\right) \tag{64}
\end{align*}
$$

The Disease-Free Equilibrium is locally asymptotically stable if Basic reproduction Number $R_{0} \leq 1$ and unstable otherwise.

If $R_{0}<1$ then
$\frac{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{3} \mathrm{~K}_{3} \mathrm{~K}_{4}}{A_{3}^{2} \mu_{2}}<1$
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