JOURNAL 12

2016

Federal University of Technology, Minna, Nigeria.



Shittu, A. A., Ibrahim, A. D., Ibrahim, Y. M., Adogbo, K. J. & Mac-Barango, D. O.

Pages 60 - 67

Journal 12

Nigerian Journal of Technological Research. vol. 11, No. 1, 2016



Production supported by:



- AGRICULTURAL TECHNOLOGY
- EDUCATIONAL TECHNOLOGY
- ENGINEERING SCIENCES
- ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGY
- ENTREPRENEURSHIP AND BUSINESS MANAGEMENT TECHNOLOGY
- INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY
- LIFE SCIENCES
- . PHYSICAL SCIENCES

Dr. A. A Shith

2016

Federal University of Technology, Minna, Nigeria.



Nigerian Journal of Technological Research. Vol. 11, No. 1, 2016



Production supported by:



- AGRICULTURAL TECHNOLOGY
- EDUCATIONAL TECHNOLOGY
- ENGINEERING SCIENCES
- ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGY
- ENTREPRENEURSHIP AND BUSINESS MANAGEMENT TECHNOLOGY
- INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY
- LIFE SCIENCES
- PHYSICAL SCIENCES.

NIGERIAN JOURNAL OF TECHNOLOGICAL RESEARCH

ISSN: 0795-5111 Vol. 11, No. 1, 2016



Printed by: Lynson (Nig.) Enterprises Abeokuta, Ogun State, Nigeria. Tel: 08033928540, 08175608494

Published by:
FEDERAL UNIVERSITY
OF TECHNOLOGY, MINNA, NIGERIA.

COPY RIGHT STATEMENT: The copy right of this journal is the exclusive right of The Editorial Board of The Nigerian Journal of Technological Research, Federal University of Technology, Minna, Nigeria. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without prior permission of the publisher or a licence permitting restricted copying.

NIGERIAN JOURNAL OF TECHNOLOGICAL RESEARCH

General Information

Background Brief: The Nigerian Journal of Technological Research (NJTR) is the official journal of the Federal University of Technology, Minna, Niger State, Nigeria. It was first published in June 1989. It has since made giant strides in its effort to provide an avenue for the dissemination of relevant modern up-to-date research information in the core areas of discipline available in The University at inception; namely, Pure and Applied Sciences, Engineering Technology, Environmental Technology and Agricultural Technology.

Philosophy: As a strictly scientific and technological journal, it tends to provide information on problem solving technology to its immediate environment and the international community.

Development: The journal being responsive to the dynamic nature of research and development in the Federal University of Technology, Minna and its environs, has widen its scope of information dissemination to include but not limited to Information Communication Technology (ICT), Management Technology, Educational Technology and Entrepreneurship. It has developed electronic communication procedures to ensure that, it has the capacity to reach a larger community at a faster rate. It is the anticipation of the journal that scientific data which will provide very current information to problem solving in the identified areas of The University program will be found in it.

Management: The Nigerian Journal of Technological Research has a unique management structure which enables it to carry out its functions promptly. This include; The Management Board, Editorial Board, Editorial advisory Board, Regional editors, Associate editors and a Business Manager. These groups bring to beer their vast knowledge which ensures the quality and reputable academic output from the journal.

Finally, The Management Board and The Editorial Board of The Nigerian Journal of Technological Research believe firmly in quality of information that will benefit mankind, hence their commitment to ensuring productivity and quality of information dissemination.

Editor-in-Chief: O. O. A. Fasanya, Professor, Department of Animal production, School of Agriculture and Agricultural Technology, Federal University of Technology, Minna, Nigeria.

Deputy Editor-in-Chief: O. B. Awojoyogbe, Professor, Department of Physics, School of Physical Sciences, Federal University of Technology, Minna, Nigeria.

Editorial Board.

Professor O.O.A. Fasanya Professor O. B. Awojoyogbe Professor S. Sadiku Professor S. N. Zubairu Professor B. E. N Dauda Professor E. Onwuka

Associate Editors

Professor B.T. Aluko, Nigeria Professor Ram Kripol, Italy Professor S.A. Garba, Nigeria Dr. Tolga Pustali, Turkey Professor (Mrs) I.A. Fuwape, Nigeria Professor (Mrs). Paola Fantazzani, Italy Professor Juliam Chela Flores, Italy Professor K. Boukar, Italy Professor Yinka Adesiyun, Nigeria Professor 'Tunde Obilana, Nigeria . Professor Steve Olorunju, South Africa Professor B. Oni, Nigeria. Professor B.Y. Abubakar, Nigeria Professor A Adamu, Nigeria Professor M. D. Magaji, Nigeria Professor (Mrs) I. N. Mogbo, Nigeria Professor (Mrs) V.I. Ezenwa. Nigeria Professor Misra Sanjay, India

Editorial Comments.

The editorial comments for 2016 vol 11 no 1 is coming to you with excitement from your board. At the close of 2015, our esteemed authors, readers and subscribers were assured of a vibrant journal as technological advancement progressess. We like to inform you that the process of The Nigerian Journal of Technological Research joining the ScholarOne Team under Thomson-Reuters is now concluded. The journal webpage can now be accessed and manuscripts submitted using the template provided under scholarOne. That implies that the whole process of manuscript reception to review is now improved upon.

Dear authors and scientists, this journal has the ability to make your submissions more visible to colleagues outside your terraian and make your contributions more acceptable to a wider scope of readers. Please follow the journal webpage regularly since new changes will be introduced and subscribers would not wish to be left behind.

The Nigerian Journal of Technological Research would also bring in new concepts on manuscript preparation shortly which authors will be required to take note of. All these efforts by your Board is to ensure that the best is yet to be provided in the stride of making this journal a standard and well rated one.

The manuscripts in this edition further bring into lime light the technological focus of our university that has continued to strive in elevating recent scientific techniques across the globe. The manuscripts have tried to create a central focal point on integrated scientific research which gives an alignment to current day effort in ensuring scientists make cross border approaches to research and information.

In the next few editions this will be most obvious even with the structural processes embarked upon. Please keep faith with the journal and you will be glad.

Editorial Board.



Abdullate of A. Shitta et. al (2016). Impact of organisational characteristics on health and safety practices of construction contractors. NJTR Vol. 11: 60-67

Impact of organisational characteristics on health and safety practices of construction contractors

Abdullateef A. Shittu¹*, Ahmed D. Ibrahim², Yahaya M. Ibrahim³, Kulomri J. Adogbo⁴ and Dumo O. Mac-Barango³ Department of Quantity Surveying, School of Environmental Technology, Federal University of Technology, Minna, Nigeria ^{2,2,4} Department of Quantity Surveying, Faculty of Environmental Design, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Nigeria, ³ Department of Quantity Surveying, River State University of Science and Technology, Port-Harcourt – Nigeria.

Abstract

The construction industry makes a contribution to occupational accidents and ill-health records in Nigeria. This study was designed to evaluate the impact of organisational characteristics on health and safety (H&S) management practices of Nigerian small and medium-sized construction enterprises (SMEs). The study employed a survey using questionnaires with both closed and open-ended questions which were used to examine the significance and incidences of H&S practices of construction. The questionnaire was presented to 436 SMEs which were randomly sampled from the 2219 construction contractors contained in the list of construction contractors registered with Corporate Affairs Commission (CAC) in Abuja. Factor analysis was employed to reduce 46 important H&S practices identified to 8 major H&S practices used for further analysis. The use of hierarchical multiple regression analysis was finally employed to establish the relationship between organisational characteristics and H&S practices of the construction SMEs. It was established that all the organisational characteristics have significant relationship with the H&S practices. It was concluded that the organisational characteristics are good predictors of the H&S practices of the construction SMEs.

Keywords: Health and Safety, Impact, Organisational Characteristics, Practices. Email: aishatabdulahi2007@yahoo.com; funsho@futminna.edu.ng. 08034767554.

Received: 2015/09/28 Accepted: 2015/03/28

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.4314/njtr.v11i1.9

Introduction

The output of the construction industry in Nigeria reported by Okeola (2009), accounts for over 70% of Gross Domestic Product (GDP) and therefore it is a stimulator of Alongside national economy. attractiveness of the construction industry in nation building, it has also been identified as the most risky and hazardous of all industries in terms of health and safety (H&S) because its activities pose serious Health and Safety risks to workers, users of construction facilities and the public. It has been acknowledged that 25% - 40% of fatalities in the world's occupational settings are contributed by construction (ILO, 2001, 2005a and 2005b; Murie, 2007).

Idubor and Oisamoje (2013) emphasized that all organizations have a duty to care for their employees and other persons who may be affected by the company

Most contractors in developing countries, including Nigeria, are Small and Medium Sized Enterprises (SMEs) and operate within domestic markets (Koehn et. al. 1995; Kheni et. al. 2006; Idoro, 2011). These SME contractors in developing countries (Koehn et. al. 1995; Kheni et. al. 2006), including Nigeria (Idoro, 2011), operate within domestic markets and are managed as family businesses, rarely

employing up to 200 employees (Addo-Abedi, 1999).

Foreign contractors operating in developing countries, especially Ghana (Kheni et. al., 2007; Kheni et. al., 2008) and Nigeria (Idoro, 2011), effectively manage Health and Safety; whereas indigenous construction businesses have no effective arrangements in place for controlling Health and Safety risks. This is because Health and Safety standards on sites are rarely enforced due to lack of resources for enforcement and enabling environment which promotes Occupational Health safety (OHS) The above problem necessitates the study of organisational characteristics on Health and Safety performance within the contextual environment of Nigerian construction SMEs using a case study of Abuja.

Nature of Health and Safety in the Nigerian Construction Industry.

Okeola (2009) reported that all over the world construction workers are 3 times more likely to be killed and twice as likely to be injured as workers in other occupations. In Nigeria there is no reliable data on accident cases in construction, because contractors do not report accidents at appropriate ministry nor keep proper records on accidents. According to Akpan (2011) management policy, especially in developing economies is yet to properly address the issue of employee Health and

Safety and this has resulted in frequent accidents and hazards, leading to inefficiency and ultimately decreasing performance in most construction organizations.

A poor Health and Safety performance in the Nigerian construction industry is evident from the above findings, thereby requiring drastic solution. This can only be achieved through a holistic approach by carrying out a study which will establish the influence of organisational characteristics and H&S practices of construction firms.

Organisational Characteristics of Construction SMEs

Organisational characteristics are the unique or distinguishing features which defines an organization or a corporate entity (Chinowsky et. al, 2007). Different researchers have used different parameters as organisational characteristics to define or determine the performance of construction firms. Okafor (2007) identified the following four organisational characteristics: staff, style, skills and shared values. Dada et. al. (2012) used five variables to define the organisational characteristics of construction firms and these are years of experience of firm, type of organisation (consulting, contracting or client), size of organisation (in terms of annual turnover and growth rate), number of employees and number of projects handled within the last five years. Odediran et. al. (2012 and 2013) also identified the following five organisational characteristics: firm's size, area of specialisation, type of client, project funding arrangement and years of experience of firm.

This study makes use of the more frequently used organisational characteristics to define distinguishing features of the Nigerian construction SMEs. They include, type of construction, years of experience, number of employees and firm's annual turnover.

Materials and Methods.

This study adopted the mixed methods (multimethodology) approach. Multimethodology, according to Kheni (2008) refers to the combining of whole or parts of qualitative and quantitative research methods either originating from the same or different paradigms in particular research situation. The study involved the conduct of a survey using questionnaires with both closed and open-

ended. The data obtained was used to determine associations between independent variables identified in the literature and 46 important H&S management practices of SMEs. The 46 practices addressed five H&S core practice areas. The questions used to identify important H&S practices were ranked on a five-point Likert's scale; where I = least important, 2 = less important, 3 = undecided, 4 = Important and 5 = most important.

Other sections of the were designed to get the respondents' demographic information and organisational characteristics such as: type of business, size of firm and geographical location. The questionnaire was administered to 436 SMEs which were randomly sampled from 2,219 construction contractors contained in the list of construction contractors registered with Corporate Affairs Commission (CAC) in Abuja, Nigeria. Of the 436 questionnaires distributed, 235 were returned and found useful for analysis, thereby giving a good response rate of 53.9%.

Frequency/counts/percentage was employed to determine the major constraints facing the SMEs in the proper management of H&S on site. Identified H&S management practices adopted by the Nigerian construction SMEs were ranked with the use of Relative Importance Index (RII) in order to determine the level to which they are being implemented.

Factor analysis was employed, based on the work of Pallant (2013), to reduce the 46 H&S practices identified to eight major health and safety management practices which were used for further analysis. Preliminary analyses were employed to determine whether the research data set was suitable for factor analysis and regression analysis or not by considering the sample size, and the strength of the relationship among the variables (or items). Use of hicrachical multiple regression analysis was employed to establish the relationship between organisational characteristics and H&S practices of construction SMEs, based on the recommendation of Agumba and Haupt (2014).

Results and discussions.

Descriptive analysis on organisational characteristics.

Results revealed that most of the respondents (69.36%) had between 1 and 15 years of experience at the construction firm and majority of others (representing 23.4% and 76.4% of others) have years of experience between 16 and 20 years. It was also revealed that majority of the firms (62.13%) have been in existence for more than 10 years. It was gathered from the results that 38% of the construction SMEs had a size band of less than 30 workers while 31% of the construction SMEs have the size band of 31 - 70 workers and 31% of the construction SMEs had a size band of 71 - 200 workers. This reveals that most of the construction firms (62%) are SMEs. Taking an overview of the results, it was shown that the construction firms predominantly undertake a combination of building and civil engineering construction works. The results also revealed that 20% of the construction SMEs have an average turnover of less than =N= 2,000,000.00, 21% have an average turnover ranging from =N= 2,000,000.00 - =N= 5,000,000.00, 15% have an average turnover ranging from =N= 5,000,000.00 - =N= 10,000,000.00, 16% of the SMEs have an average turnover ranging from =N= 10,000,000.00 - =N= 15,000,000.00 and28% have an average turnover ranging from =N= 15,000,000.00 20,000,000.00. In the light of these findings, the respondents are discovered to be from SME firms and therefore suitable to provide accurate answers to the questions in the research questionnaire.

Descriptive analysis on important Healty and Safety practices.

The descriptive analysis results on the important Health and Safety practices of SMEs which are capable of enhancing this performance revealed 46 important Health and Safety practices under 5 major or core practices which are;

Company's commitment, Workers' consultation and participation, Health and Safety communication, Health and Safety planning and Health and Safety education and training.

Twelve important practices were identified under company's commitment with Relative Importance Index (RII) ranging between 0.92 and 0.71. The practices here range from provision of first aid box which is the highest ranked (0.92) to implementation of employee drug testing which is the least ranked (0.71). Four important practices were identified under workers' consultation and participation. These are rewarding workers who demonstrate exemplary safe behaviour on site with RII of 0.81 and consulting trade union representatives on health and safety matters with RII of 0.78. Health and Safety communication comprises of 8 practices ranging from using health and safety posters and other signs to give safety education (RII = 0.88) to communicating health and safety through company newsletter (RII = 0.70). The twelve important practices discovered under Health and Safety planning range between identifying hazards on sites before work commences (RII = 4.50) and obtaining a labour certificate for every contract (0.70). The fifth core practice is Health and Safety education training has 6; practices ranging between organizing health and safety training and retraining for supervisors and/or senior management (RII = 0.88) and organizing alcohol and substance-abuse programme (RII = 0.74).

These 5 core health and safety practices which range between domestic and education and training have also been studied by Kheni (2008) and Agumba and Haupt (2014) as important practices capable of improving performance of construction firms. Table 2 summarizes these results.

Table 2. Ranking of Health and Safety practices

S/NO	COMPANY'S COMMITMENT	RII	RANK
	Provision of first aid box	0.92	İst
	Provision of personal protective equipment	0.88	2nd
	Keeping of safety record keeping and follow-ups	0.88	3rd
	Provision of procedures for investigating accidents and nearmisses	0.87	4th
	Existence of formal health and safety policy	0.86	5th
	Provision of adequate work space and neat environment	0.84	6th
	Having a designated safety personnel	0.84	7th
	Having fire protection programme	0.84	7th
	Provision of cloak and toilet	0.82	9th
0	Provision of procedures for reporting accidents	0.79	10th
1	Using outside health and safety consultants	0.78	11th
2	Existence of minimization policy for cost of ill-health and injury	0.83	12th
3	Provision of drinking water on site	0.76	13th
4	Provision of canteen service on site	0.74	14th
5	Use of ISO 26000 to identify social responsibilities of employees	0.74	14th
6	Implementing employee drug testing	0.71	16th
	HEALTH AND SAFETY COMMUNICATION	RII	Rank
7	Using health and safety posters and other signs to give safety education	0.88	1st
8	Using verbal communication with operatives during site tours.	0.88	lst
19	Communicating safety value to corporate stakeholders and use of two-way safety	0.84	3rd
là.			
	communication	0.83	4th
20	Discussing health and safety during site meetings	0.83	4th
21	Communicating health and safety performance to employees	0.83	4th
22	Focusing your monthly safety meetings on employees' attitudinal change towards	0.03	740
	safety	0.78	7th
23	Networking with other companies/institutions	0.70	8th
24	Communicating health and safety through company newsletter		Rank
	HEALTH AND SAFETY PLANNING	RII	
25	Identifying hazards on sites before work commences	0.90	1st
26	Providing job hazard analysis	0.90	lst
27	Documenting risk assessments	0.88	3rd
28	Carrying out post-accident investigation	0.87	4th
29	Price health and safety in preliminaries	0.85	5th
10	Carrying out safety pre-task planning	0.85	5th
31	Decementing method statements	0.84	7th
	Exercising disciplinary measures to correct wrong behaviours relating to health and	0.83	8th
12	safety		
	the state of the second	0.81	9th
13	Providing emergency response plan Providing insurance cover for sites and Employer-paid group insurance plan	0.77	10th
14	Providing insurance cover for area and employer persons on site	0.74	11th
35	Ensuring adequate welfare provisions on site Obtaining a labour certificate for every contract	0.70	12th
16	Obtaining a labour certificate for every contact	RII	Rank
	WORKERS' CONSULTATION AND PARTICIPATION	0.84	1st
37	the mandage who demonstrate exemplary said behaviour on and	0.80	2nd
18		0.80	2nd
39		0.78	4th
40	Consider a sende verion representatives on nearth and savely	RII	Rank
410			
	Organizing health and safety training and retraining for supervisors and/or senior	0.88	151
11	The state of the s	0.88	1st
12		0.88	Ist
12	Organizing orientation on safety for new workers Organizing health and safety training of operatives - first eid, manual lifting etc	0.86	4th
43	Organizing health and salesy to operatives	0.74	5th
44	Organizing site inductions for operatives	0.74	5th
45	Organizing toolbox talks Organizing alcohol- and substance-abuse programme	0.74	4000

Results of factor analysis.

Factor analysis was employed to reduce the 46 H&S practices identified to 8 major H&S practices by subjecting them to Principal Component Analysis. These 8 major H&S practices are:

- Domestic Healty and Safety Planning; Practices Conforming to H&S Requirements in Conditions of Contract;
- Using Outside H&S Consultants; Workers' Consultation and Participation; H&S Communication;
- Pre-contract H&S Planning:

Contract H&S Planning; and Education and Training.

Results and Discussions of hierarchical multiple regression analysis

The preliminary analyses carried out revealed that there was no violation of the assumptions of normality, linearity, multicollinearaity and homoscedasticity.

Organisational between Relationship Characteristics and Domestic Health and Safety Planning of Firms.

This analysis revealed that Number of Employees beta (beta = 0.484; p < 0.001), Construction Type (beta = 0.180; p < 0.001), Years of Experience of Employees (sig (p) value of 0.024 (p < 0.05)) and Age of Firm (sig (p) value of 0.000 (p < 0.05)) were statistically significantly related with Domestic Health and Safety Planning of Firms at 95% confidence limit.

Organisational between Relationship Characteristics and Company's Commitment.

It was revealed from this analysis that Years of Experience (sig = 0.035; p < 0.05 beta = 0.137), Age of Firm (sig = 0.001; p < 0.005; beta = 0.229) and Number of Employees (sig =0.004; p < 0.05; beta = 0.228) were respectively observed to be statistically significant with Company's Commitment from H&S Requirements in Conditions of Contract at 95% confidence limit.

organisational Relationship between characteristics and company's commitment to using outside H and S consultants.

Only Construction Type (beta = 0.173; p < 0.05) was observed to be significantly related with Company's Commitment to Using outside H&S Consultants at 95% confidence limit from this analysis.

Relationship between Organisational Characteristics and Workers Consultation and Participation.

Number of Full-time Employees (beta = 0.321; p < 0.001), Construction Type (beta = 0.225; p < 0.001) were statistically significant, Years of Experience of Employees (sig (p) value of 0.021 (p < 0.05)) and Age of Firm (sig (p) value of 0.000 (p < 0.05)) were observed in this analysis to be significantly related with Workers Consultation & Participation at 95% confidence limit.

between Organisational Relationship and Health and Safety Characteristics Communication.

Number of Full-time Employees (beta = 0.369) p < 0.005), Construction Type (beta = 0.129; p < 0.05) and Age of firm ((p) value of 0.000 (p < 0.05)) were observed to be statistically significant with H and S Communication at 95% confidence limit in this analysis.

Organisational between Relationship Characteristics and Pre-contract Health and Safety Planning.

It was discovered from this analysis that there exists a statistically significant relationship between Pre-contract H&S Planning and four organisational characteristics which are Number of Full-time Employees (beta = 0.500; p < 0.005), Construction Type (beta = 0.181; p < 0.005), Years of Experience of Employees (sig (p) value of 0.017 (p < 0.05) and beta value of 0.155) and Age of Firm (sig (p) value of 0.000 (p < 0.05) and beta value of 0.416).

Organisational Relationship between Characteristics and Contract H and S Planning.

Number of Full-time Employees (beta = 0.360; p < 0.005), Growth Rate 2 (beta = -0.129; p < 0.05), Years of Experience of Employees (sig (p) value of 0.023 (p < 0.05) and beta value of 0.148) and Age of Firm (sig (p) value of 0.000 (p < 0.05) and beta value of 0.320) were statistically significant with Contract H&S Planning at 95% confidence limit as revealed in this analysis.

Relationship between Organisational Characteristics and H and S Education and Training.

It was shown from the results of this analysis that Number of Full-time Employees with a beta value of 0.477 (beta = 0.477; sig = 0.000 i.e. p < 0.005), Growth Rate 2 with a beta value of - 0.138 (beta = - 0.138; sig = -0.015i.e. p < 0.05) Construction Type with a beta value of 0.126 (beta = 0.126; sig = 0.028 i.e. p < 0.05) and Age of Firms with sig (p) value of 0.000 (p < 0.005) and beta value of 0.382 were observed to be significantly related with H&S Education & Training

Relationship between Annual Turnover and Organisational Characteristics.

Annual turnover did not relate significantly with any health and safety management practices in the results of the hierarchical multiple regression analysis but showed fairly

strong correlation with most of the H&S management practices. In the light of this fairly strong correlation, annual turnover was further tested using the simple regression model in relation with each of the H&S management practices after testing and confirming that all the required statistical rules are not violated. It was however discovered that annual turnover has significant relationship with each of the H&S management practices at 95% confidence interval and p < 0.005, except HSE practices of using outside H&S consultants. Fairly strong and positive correlation ranging between 33% and 49% was observed between annual turnover and each of the H&S management practices. This therefore implies that annual turnover is a good predictor of domestic H&S Company's Commitment, H&S Requirements in Conditions of Contract, Workers' Consultation and Participation, H&S Communication, Pre-contract H&S Planning, Contract H&S Planning and H&S Education & Training.

The above findings agree with those of Fang et. al (2006), Idoro (2011), Choudhry et. al. (2009), Masood and Choudhry (2012) and Adeogun and Okafor (2013). On the other hand, the study of Agumba and Haupt (2014) disagrees with some of the findings above because it revealed that the number of years the respondents were involved in the construction industry (experience) and their perception towards H&S management practices were not different in the South African construction industry.

Constraints to construction site H and S management

It was discovered from the responses of the questionnaires distributed to construction SMEs that the construction firms face a lot of challenges which limit their ability to effectively perform activities on construction sites in a safe and healthy manner. About 60% of the respondents express their experience on the challenges they face in effective health and safety management on site. These constraints are Literacy Level, Poor Attitude of Construction Workers, Financial Constraints, Environmental Influences, High Cost of H&S, Lack of Basic Facilities, Job Security and Continuity, Lack of Awareness Orientation from Government Regulatory Agencies, and Weather Condition.

Conclusions and Recommendations.

was also established that all the organisational characteristics identified in the study have positive and significant relationship with the H&S practices. The organisational characteristics are therefore good predictors of H&S practices. The four propositions of the research therefore hold. Thus implying that construction SMEs with few employees are less likely to adopt H&S practices than those with a large number of employees; construction SMEs with small turnovers are less likely than their counterparts with large turnovers to adopt H&S measures; civil engineering SMEs are more likely to adopt health and safety practices compared to building contractors; and long established SMEs are more likely to adopt H&S measures than newer companies.

In view of the conclusions of the study, it is therefore recommended that construction SMEs should lay great emphases on training and orientation for all level of employees in order to address the issue of poor safety performance and poor attitude. Older firms should assist younger firms with H&S orientation and training while older and more experienced employees in a firm should assist younger and less experience employees with H&S orientation and training.

References

Adeniran, D. (2013). The Role of Government in Occupational Safety: Lagos Open Parliament Report. Afrikald Technologies International, Lagos, Nigeria. At www.deboadeniran.com/the-role-of-government-in-occupational-safety-debo-adeniran/. Retrieved on 20th January, 2014.

Adeogun B.K. and C. C. Okafor (2013) Occupational Health, Safety and Environment (HSE) Trend in Nigeria. International Journal of Environmental Science, Management and Engineering Research. 2(1): 24-29.

Addo-Abedi, F. Y. (1999). Sustained Development of the Local Contracting Industry in a Developing Country. In: Construction Industry Development in the New Millennium, Proceedings of the Second International Conference on Construction Industry Development. University of Singapore, Singapore.

Agumba, J. N. and T. C. Haupt (2014). Implementation of Health and Safety Practices: Do Demographic Attributes Matter? *Journal of Engineering Design and Technology*. Emerald Group Publishing Limited. 12(4): 531 – 550.

Agwu, M. O. (2012(a)). Implications of Integrating Safety and Social Responsibility in Selected Construction Companies in Nigeria. In: American Journal of Social and Management Sciences. ScienceHuß, ISSN Print: 2156-1540, ISSN Online: 2151-

1559, doi:10.5251/ajsms.2012.3.1.30.38. Retrieved from http://www.scihub.org/AJSMS on 22/03/2014.

Agwu, M. O. (2012(b)), Impact of Employees Safety Culture on Organizational Performance in Shell Bonny Terminal Integrated Project (BTIP). European Journal of Business and Social Sciences, August. 1(5): 70 – 82.

Akpan, E. I. (2011). Effective Safety and Health Management Policy for Improved Performance of Organizations in Africa. International Journal of Business and Management. Canadian Center of Science and Education. 6(3): 159-165.

Belel, Z. A. and H. Mahmud (2012). Safety Culture of Nigerian Construction Workers – A Cases study of Yola. International Journal of Scientific and Engineering Research. September-2012. 3(9): 1–5.

Chinowsky, P., Molenaar, K. and A. Realph (2007). Learning Organizations in Construction. *Journal of Management in Engineering*. ASCE, January, 1(27): 27—34.

Choudhry, M.R., Fang, D.P. and H. Lingard (2009). Measuring Sufety Climate of a Construction Company, Journal of construction Engineering and Management, 135 (9): 890-899.

Dada, M. O., Akpadiaha, B. and M. M. Ologunnagba, (2012). Disposition to Organizational Learning: A Survey of Selected Construction Industry Organizations in Lagos State, Nigeria. Mediterranean Journal of Social Science. May. 3(2): 487 – 496.

Fang, D.P., Chen Y., and Louisa W. (2006). Safety Climate in Construction Industry: A Case Study in Hong Kong, Journal of Construction Engineering and Management, 132(6): 573-584.

Idoro, G. I. (2011). Comparing Occupational Health and Safety (OHS) Management Efforts and Performance of Nigerian Construction Contractors. Journal of Construction in Developing Countries.

Idubor, E. E. and M. D.Oisamoje (2013). An Exploration of Health and Safety Management Issues in Nigeria's Effort to Industrialize. European Scientific Journal; April, 2013 Edition. (12): 154-169.

ILO. (2001). The construction industry in the Twenty-First Century: Its Image, Employment Prospects and Skills Requirements. International Labour Office, Geneva. Tripartite Meeting, Document number TMCIT/2001. Accessed on 30th November 2007 from http://www.ilo.org/public/english/dialogue/sector/techme et/tmeit01/tmcir.pdf

ILO. (2005a). Prevention: A global Strategy. Promoting Safety and Health at Work. The ILO Report for World Day for Safety and Health at Work International Labour Organisation, Geneva, Switzerland. Accessed on 15th October 2013 from http://www.ilo.org.pk/informationfiles/Prevention%20A%20Global%20Strategy.pdf

ILO. (2005b). Global estimates of fatal work related diseases and occupational accidents. World Bank Regions. International Labour Organisation, Geneva. Programme on Safety and Health at Work and Environment (Safe Work). Accessed on 8th July 2013 from;

http://www.ilo.org/public/english/protection/safework/accidis/globest_2005/index.ht m

Kheni, N. A., Dainty, A. R. J. and Gibb, A. G. F. (2007). Influence of Political and Socio-cultural Environmenta on Health and Safety Management within SMEs: A Ghana Case Study. In: Boyd, D. (Ed.) Procs 23rd Annual ARCOM Conference, 3-5 September 2007, Belfast, UK, Association of Researchers in Construction Management, 159,168.

Kheni, N. A., Gibb, A. G. F. and A. R. J. Dainty (2006). The management of construction site health and safety by small and medium-sized construction businesses in developing countries: A Ghana case study. In: Boyd, D. (Ed.), Procs 22nd Annual ARCOM Conference. 4th-6th September, 2006, Birmingham, UK. ARCOM, Vol. 1, 273-82.

Kheni, N. A. (2008). Impact of Health and Safety Management on Safety Performance of Small and Medium-Sized Construction Businesses in Ghana. An unpublished PhD Thesis, Loughborough University, UK

Kheni, N; Gibb, A.G.F. and A. R. J. Dainty (2008). Health and safety management in developing countries: A Study of Construction SMEs in Ghana. In: Construction Management & Economics. 26(11): 1159-1169.

Koehn, E, Kothari, R K and C. S. Pan (1995). Safety in developing countries: Professional and bureaucratic problems. Journal of Construction Engineering and Management, 121(3):261-5.

Masood, R. and R. M. Choudhry (2012). Investigation of Demographic Factors Relationship with Safety Climate. 48th ASC Annual International Conference Proceedings. Associated Schools of Construction, Pp. 1 – 9.

Murie, F. (2007). Building safety - An international perspective International Journal of Occupational Environment and Health 13, 5-11.

Odediran, S. J., Adeyinka, B. F., Opatunji, O. A. and K. O. Morakinyo (2012). Business Structure of Indigenous Firms in the Nigerian Construction Industry. International Journal of Business Research and Management (IJBRM). 3(1): 255-264.

Odediran, S. J., Babalola, M. O. and H. A. Adebiyi, (2013). Assessment of Business Development Strategies in the Nigerian Construction Industry. *Journal of Business and Management*. Science and Education Centre of North America. 12(1): 34 – 45

Okafor, C. (2007). Organizational Characteristics and Performance of Nigerian Quoted Companies. Research Journal of Business Management. 1(1): 37 - 49.

Okeola, O. G. (2009). Occupational Health and Safety (OHS) Assessment in the Construction Industry. In: I'' Annual Civil Engineering Conference. University of Ilorin, Nigeria. 26-28 August. Pp236-246.

Okolie, K. C and P. U. Okoye (2012). Assessment of National Culture Dimensions and Construction Health and Safety Climate in Nigeria. In: Science Journal of Environmental Engineering Research, 2012, Article ID sjeer-167, 6 Pages, 2012. doi: 10.7237/sjeer/167. Science Journal Publication, International Open Access Publisher. ISSN: 2276-7495.

Okolic, K. C and P. U. Okoye (2013). Appraising the Influence of Cultural Determinants of Construction Workers Safety Perception and Behaviour. *International*