A SURVEY OF FACTORS INFLUENCING TEACHING AND LEARNING OF MATHEMATICS IN SECONDARY SCHOOL IN NIGER STATE

BY

LAMEED BAYO YUSUF

M. TECH/SSSE/'99/'00/462

BEING

A THESIS PRESENTED TO THE DEPARTMENT OF SCIENCE EDUCATION OF SCHOOL OF SCIENCES AND SCIENCE EDUCATION IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE AWARD OF MASTER IN TECHNOLOGY, SCIENCE EDUCATION (MATHS)

OF THE

FEDERAL UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY MINNA NIGERIA

CERTIFICATION

I certify that this thesis, entitled "A Survey of Factors Influencing Effective Teaching and Learning of Mathematics in Secondary Schools in Niger State, Nigeria" meets the regulations governing the award of the degree of Master of Technology (M. Tech.) Science Education (Mathematics) in the Department of Science Education, Federal University of Technology, Minna and is approved for its contribution to knowledge and literary presentation.

Con

Dr. E. S. Gana (Supervisor)

Dr. (Mrs.) T. N. Mogbo (Internal Examiner)

Dr. E. S. Gana (H.O.D. Science Education)

Prof. (Mrs) H. O. Akanya

(Dean, School of Science & Science Education)

Prof. I. O. Abimbola (External Examiner)

Prof. J. A. Abalaka (Dean, Postgraduate School)

6/5/2004 Date

6/5/20074 Date

6/5/2004

25th | 10/04 Date

45/2004

Date

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that this thesis has been written by me and that it is a record of my own research work. It has not been presented partially of wholly in any previous application for a higher degree. All quotations are indicated by quotation marks or indentation and the sources of information are specifically acknowledged by means of references.

YUSUF BAYO LAMEED

DATE

DEDICATION

This research project is dedicated to:-

- i) My Mother, Wife and Children
- ii) And all lovers of mathematics

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

All glory and thanks to Almighty Allah. That, this research work has been successfully completed is due to the invaluable cooperation that I received from my supervisor Dr. Gana E. S. It is in the light of this that I sincerely expresses my profound gratitude to him for his wise counseling and guidance from the beginning to the end, and for being so accommodating throughout the strenuous work.

Acknowledgement must also be made of the following: Prof (Mrs) Ezenwa, V. I; Dr. Oyedun, N. A; Dr (Mrs) Gbodi, E. S; Dr. (Mrs) Mogbo, I. N; Mr. Kolo, M. A; Mrs Nsofor, C.C: and Prof. Adeboye, K. R; and all lectures of mathematics Computer Department for their dedication to duty, constructive criticisms, suggestions and encouragement.

I also expresses thanks to the Principals, Mathematics Teachers and Students of the schools used in this thesis, whose informations and opinions in the questionnaire have guided me to a successful completion of this work.

My deepest and heart-felt appreciations go to my Mother, Madam Limota Arinpe, for giving me a good footing in life. I equally expresses my gratitude to my brother Mr. Suleiman, Sisters, Hadijatu (Late) and Misitura; and friends Chief O. Ojo, K. Shittu and A. Aliyu for being so helpful and supportive.

Worthy of note are my course mates and working colleagues too numerous to be mentioned. In special place for acknowledgement are to my wife Risikat Funmilayo, and my children- Abdullahi Olalekan, Mohammed Sani Oladimeji, Abubakar Sodiq and Abdul-Malik Marindoti for their love, understanding, moral and financial support throughout the time of study.

Finally, I am grateful to Almighty Allah (S.W) for making this study possible and sparing our life to this day and more.

ABSTRACT

This study was designed to identified the factors influencing Effective Teaching and Learning of Mathematics in Secondary School in Niger State. There had been a noticeable poor performance of students in Mathematics at senior school certificate examination (SSCE). This unique problem is a nationwide phenomena, which is of greater concern to parents, Governments, Educationists, Teachers and entire public. As a result of this, an enquiry was undertaken to find out the causes using fifteen (15) senior secondary schools in Niger State. The instrument of research used in this study included observation, interview and structured questionnaire. The structured questionnaire were administered to a sample of fifteen (15) principals; seventy (70) mathematics teachers and three hundred (300) students cutting across the selected schools. The data obtained were analysed using the descriptive statistical method viz: percentage and chi-square statistical method to test the research question at P<0.01 level of significance. The major findings of study show that:- majority of teachers teaching mathematics in school major in other subject or discipline and are not qualified; supply of mathematics teachers to schools is grossly inadequate and so teachers are over - loaded with work; population explosion and inadequate teaching materials in schools; unsatisfactory condition of service for teachers; lack of practical work in mathematics and poor teacher's methodology in mathematics teaching as affirmed in this study, $(X^2 =$ 128.5>11.34 at P<0.01). Based on their findings, it is recommended that: There is need to employ sufficiently qualified mathematics teachers to teach the subject in schools; The general notion that any science or technical graduates can teach mathematics should be discarded; Mathematics teachers should endeavour to make their lesson more practical and interesting i.e. to link theory with practical, teachers should enforce a forum whereby every student must have his/her own textbook; teachers should be schorlastic and pursue vigorously all avenues to improve themselves on the job; There should be provision of and financial encouragement to the mathematics teachers by all i.e. Government, Administrator, parents and society; Schools should provide good and functional guidance and counseling facilities to students on their academic work.

CONTENTS

| | | PAGE | |
|---|-------------------------------------|------|--|
| Title | · | i | |
| Certification | | | |
| Declaration | | | |
| Dedication | | | |
| Acknowledgement | | V | |
| Abstract | | vi | |
| Tabl | e of Contents | viii | |
| List of Tables | | xi | |
| List | of Appendix | xi | |
| | CHAPTER ONE | | |
| | INTRODUCTION | | |
| 1.1 | Preamble | 1 | |
| 1.2 | Background to the Study | 1 | |
| 1.3 | Statement of the Problem | 3 | |
| 1.4 | Research Questions | 4 | |
| 1.5 | Hypotheses | 5 | |
| 1.6 | Purpose of Study | 5 | |
| 1.7 | Scope of the Study | 6 | |
| 1.8 | Significance of the Study | 6 | |
| 1.9 | Operational Defination of Terms | 7 | |
| | CHAPTER TWO | | |
| | REVIÉW OF RELATED LITERATURE | | |
| 2.1 | Introduction | 9 | |
| 2.2 | Definition of Mathematics, | | |
| | Teaching and Learning | 9 | |
| 2.3 Quality/Quantity and Qualification of | | | |
| | | | |

| | Mathematics Teacher | 11 |
|------|---------------------------------------|-----|
| 2.4 | The Role of the Teacher Via His | 13 |
| | Methodology in Mathematics Class | |
| 2.5 | Teacher's Attitude and Morals and its | 16 |
| | Effect on Students performance | |
| 2.6 | Students Attitudes and performance | 19 |
| | in Mathematics | |
| 2.7 | Sex Difference and performance | 20 |
| | in Mathematics | |
| 2.8 | Mathematics Laboratory and | 22, |
| | Instructional Materials | |
| 2.9 | Summary of Literature review | 25 |
| | CHAPTER THREE | |
| | RESEARCH METHODOLOGY | |
| 3.1 | Introduction | 26 |
| 3.2 | Research Design | 26 |
| 3.3 | Population and Sample | 26 |
| 3.4 | Rationale for Choosing the Sample | 27 |
| 3.5 | Instrumentation | 27 |
| 3.6 | Data Collected | 28 |
| 3.7 | Description of Questionnaire | 28 |
| 3.8 | Administration of Questionnaire | 29 |
| 3.9 | Sampling Technique | 29 |
| 3.10 | Validity of the Instrument | 29 |
| 3.11 | Reliability of the Instrument | 30 |
| 3.12 | Method of Data Collection | 30- |
| 3.13 | Method of Data Analysis | 30 |

CHAPTER FOUR

ANALYSIS DATA

| 4.1 | Introduction | 32 |
|-----|--------------------------|----|
| 4.2 | principle' Questionnaire | 32 |
| 4.3 | Teachers' Questionnaire | 39 |
| 4.4 | Students' Questionnaire | 45 |

CHAPTER FIVE

SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

| | | | | - 1 |
|-------------------|--|---|---|---|
| Introduction | | | | 51 |
| Major findings | | | | 51 |
| Recommendation | | | | 53 |
| Contribution To K | Cnowledge | | | 56 |
| Conclusion | | | | 57 |
| | | | | 58 |
| | | | | 59 |
| | I | | | 68 |
| | | | | 70 |
| | | | | 74 |
| | | | | 77 |
| | | | | 80 |
| Appendix | V | | | |
| Appendix | VI | | | 82 |
| Appendix | VI a | | | 83 |
| Appendix | VIb | | | 85 |
| | Major findings Recommendation Contribution To K Conclusion Suggestion For Fu References Appendix Appendix Appendix Appendix Appendix Appendix Appendix | Major findingsRecommendationContribution To KnowledgeConclusionSuggestion For Further StudyReferencesAppendixIAppendixIIAppendixIVAppendixVAppendixVIAppendixVIAppendixVI | Major findingsRecommendationContribution To KnowledgeConclusionSuggestion For Further StudyReferencesAppendixIAppendixIIAppendixIVAppendixVAppendixVIAppendixVIAppendixVI | Major findingsRecommendationContribution To KnowledgeConclusionSuggestion For Further StudyReferencesAppendixIAppendixIIAppendixIVAppendixVAppendixVIAppendixVI a |

LIST OF TABLES

| IAB | LE | | | PA | AGE |
|------|---|-----------|---------|--|-----|
| 4.1 | Stude | ents P | opulat | ion and Teacher-Student Ratio Per Class | 33 |
| 4.2 | Stability of Mathematics Teachers to Schools | | | | 34 |
| 4.3 | Student Performance in mathematics | | | | |
| 4.4 | S.S.C.E. Results in Mathematics | | | | |
| 4.5 | Over | all S.S | S.C.E. | Result in Mathematics | 37 |
| 4.6 | Number of Period per week per Teacher | | | | |
| 4.7 | Requ | ired N | lumbe | r of Mathematics Teacher | 39 |
| 4.8 | Quali | ification | on of N | Mathematics Teacher | 41 |
| 4.9 | Anal | ysis o | f Teacl | ners' Qualification and Experience | 42 |
| 4.10 | Intere | est in 1 | the Tea | aching Profession | 43 |
| 4.11 | Analysis of Teacher's Attitude to the Teaching Profession | | | | |
| | in ter | ms of | Exper | ience | 43 |
| 4.12 | Condition of Service | | | | 44 |
| 4.13 | Effect of Materials on Teaching/Learning | | | | |
| 4.14 | Students' attitude toward Mathematics | | | | 46 |
| 4.15 | Analysis of Students attitude towards Mathematics in terms of Sex | | | | 47 |
| 4.16 | Effect of Materials and Other Factors on Students Learning | | | | 48 |
| 4.17 | Anal | ysis of | f Meth | odology on Students Performance in Mathematics | 49 |
| LIST | OF | APP | EŅD | IX (SES) | |
| Appe | ndix | i | - | Principals' Questionnaire | 68 |
| Appe | ndix | ii | - | Teachers' Questionnaire | 70 |
| Appe | ndix | iii | - / | Students Questionnaire | 74 |
| Appe | ndix | iv | 1 | List of Post Primary Schools in Niger State | 77 |
| Appe | ndix | v | - | Calculation of Contingency Table | 80 |
| Appe | ndix | vi | | Calculation of pilot Study | 82 |

CHAPTER ONE INTRODUCTION

1.1 Preamble

The mathematics role toward realizing the nations scientific and technological aspiration is unquestionable. The importance of mathematics education in Nigeria's educational system and the nation's technological development has been recognized. It has been noted that there can be no real development technologically without a corresponding development in mathematics; Therefore mathematics is the celebrated key to knowledge, the queen, servant and midwife of the science.

Despite its importance, many problems seem to be-set mathematics education which resulted in consistent poor performance in Senior School Certificate Examination (SSCE) in mathematics; Hence the need to examine some of these problems.

1.2 Background To The Study

The historic importance of mathematics in science and technology could be traced even before the alphabet was invented. Man's first use of number was to correlate one set of objects against another e.g animals in flock. Since then the contributions of mathematics still continue to our present age of technological development.

The knowledge of science and technology is rooted in mathematics. Any nation that wants to develop technologically should not play with the teaching and learning of mathematics at all levels of education. These days mathematical methods are used not only in science and technology but also to an ever increasing extent in almost all fields of human endeavour.

In Nigeria today the role of science and technology advancement cannot be over-emphasized. The history of mankind has recorded the various roles that education has played in the development of a society, (Abolade, 1986). In the light

of the above statement. "The Federal Government, has adopted education as an instrument par excellence for effecting national development", (F. M. E. 1981). Thus it has always been used as an alchemy of achieving various goals and objectives. For instance, in Ancient Greece, education and training were synonymous with the over all development of citizen-try. Each city-state thus presented its individual objectives. The Spartans, for example constituted a minority who wanted to maintain their dominance over others. Consequently, the education and training doled out to their children were for the development of soldierly qualities and courage.

The National Policy on Education, (1981) suggest among other things mathematics as a core-subject in both primary and the two type of secondary (Junior and Senior) Schools, and was equally made compulsory for students.

According to Setidisho (1961)-Probably, no other subject forms such a strong binding force among the various branches of sciences – Physical, Biological and Social as Mathematics, without it, knowledge of the science often remain superficial. (Setidisho 1961, P. 110)

It is worthy to note that, in Nigeria, Mathematics came into being in schools with the introduction of western education in 18th century in form of 4R's (Religion, Reading, Writing and Arithmetic) by the early missioners. This Arithmetic served as mathematics in primary schools as well as in most elementary teacher training colleges up to the time of independence, (Ohuche, 1989). The books in use then were only shillings and Larcombe Arithmetic Series.

One can therefore see the importance of mathematics considering the present scientific age, but related problems on curriculum, facilities, anxiety and aptitude of students, textbook etc have created some difficulties in the teaching and learning of mathematics.

Obi (1986) suggested that because mathematics underlies the whole build-up and fabrics of modern science and technology, there should be a desire to break away from the teaching of techniques and develop instead, According to Ormell (1979); In the last forty years, mathematics and science syllabi have grown in size to the point where they appear to be about two as weighty as those of previous era. The forces of curriculum innovation which have produce this result are very powerful, yet it is clear to the most causal observation that the increased weight syllabi has been purchase at the cost of less depth of coverage (Ormell 1979, P. 36).

Therefore, the proposed secondary school syllabus for Nigeria should be relevant to the social and economic problems and related to the agricultural environment.

Majority of teachers teach students only to pass examination i.e. S.S.C.E; G.C.E; etc alone, not minding whether or not the contents they have taught are well understood. To this, Momodu (1978) suggested that, no more emphasis should be placed on the teaching of mathematics or science for passing examination alone, the concepts must be practically approached which could be translated into reality, more so when Nigeria had reached a technological era.

Furthermore, effort should be made to overcome the anxiety or fear that the subject is believed to instill in the mind of learners, because according to Gainer and White (1965) "of all the classroom variables affecting learning, anxiety has played a role of primacy in the importance of investigations during the past few years".

1.3 Statement of The Problem

The most fundamental problem facing all the developing nations is how they can develop and advance technologically. Whatever amount of borrowing may be made from the advanced nations of the world, there will be no tap-root upon which further technological advancement and progress can be made. Therefore, we need to develop ourselves for scientific discoveries and technological break, through sound knowledge of mathematics.

Despite that, mathematics is made compulsory in our secondary school; the percentage of the result obtained from both internal (teacher's set examination) and external (WAEC, NECO, NBTE, NTI, JAMB etc) generally in Nigeria is not very encouraging. This leads to the fewer number of students who opted in pursuit of mathematics as well as mathematics Oriented cereers. For instance, Ekwo (1986) revealed that out of 304,683 candidates who sat for mathematics in 1982 G.C.E. O/L; the percentage failure was 86.75%, In 1983 the percentage failure for 306,591 candidates was 91.13%; while in 1984 a 90.55% failure was recorded for 405,726 candidates. Also Adeyemo (1999) gave the summary of the performance of students in the SSCE General Mathematics result as follows:- In 1990 only 10.6% passed were recorded; In 1991 it was 11.1% passed; while in 1992 21.9% were recorded passed.

Reasons adduced for the above performance are varied and numerous. For teachers; students are too allergic to mathematics, the students attitude and behaviour are lackadaisical toward learning mathematics (Akintayo, 1999). From the students point of view teachers' poor method of teaching and assessment are reasons behind their poor performance. However, lack of enough and qualified mathematics teacher; Lack of well equipped library and mathematics laboratory in schools; And lack of Audio-visual materials to stimulate the students interest in the subject (mathematics). This prompted the researcher for a survey of factors influencing Effective teaching and learning of Mathematics in Secondary Schools in Niger State.

1.4 Research Questions

The following research question were asked:

- i) How did the teacher's method of teaching affect the students performance in mathematics?;
- ii) In what way does the use of mathematics laboratory affect the students' performance in mathematics?

- iii) Does any significant gender difference exist in students' attitude toward mathematics?
- iv) Is there any significant difference between experience and attitude on the teaching profession?
- v) Is there any significant difference on the performance of qualified and non-qualified teachers?

1.5 Hypotheses

The following hypotheses were formulated to guide the study:-

- HO₁ There is no significant influence on the teacher's method of teaching on the students performance in mathematics.
- HO₂ There is no significant gender difference on students' attitude toward mathematics.
- HO₃ There is no significant difference between experience and attitude on the teaching profession.
- HO₄ There is no significant difference on teaching between qualified and nonqualified teachers.

1.6 Purpose of Study

The main objective of this study is to look into the causes for the poor performance in mathematics. The areas that would be considered are:- Quality and Qualification of the mathematics teachers in the secondary schools in the area of study, Instructional problems that affect the successful teaching and learning of mathematics; And the problem of instructional (aids) to supplement teachers work in the classroom.

1.7 Scope of The Study

This study is restricted to the two threes of the 6-3-3-4 system, that is, the junior and the senior secondary schools. And the consideration will be given to the following:-

Teacher, Learner, Syllabus, Facilities and essential equipments, language and Attitudes as it affects students and teachers in the schools chosen.

The study is carried out in the three (3) educational zones of Niger state. Although there are one hundred and forty-three (143) secondary schools in the area under study, only fifteen (15) secondary schools were used for the study because of financial and time constraints. Therefore, the investigator does not claim that the findings from this study will serve as a panacea to all factors influencing the teaching and learning of mathematics; This is because the sampled schools represent fractional part of the total secondary schools in the area under study, also, the others (e.g students, teacher etc) are equally the fraction of the total population of the area under study, while Niger State itself is a fraction of the country (Nigeria).

1.8 Significance of The Study

The study is very significant because several researches had confirmed that one of the major problems that demand the attention of curriculum reform specialists is that a large majority of science and mathematics teachers in Nigerian secondary schools are unqualified. The effect of this on the school system can be very enormous and even disastrous academically. The percentage pass of students in mathematics in senior school certificate examination (SSCE) has not been encouraging. It is therefore necessary that the factors influencing effective teaching and learning of mathematics in our schools be identified would attract and retain more qualified teachers in our schools.

The study is also important because of the population of mathematics students and teachers a research of this nature could serve not only as a curative

measure, but more importantly as a preventive measure. Considering the government stand on the bid to accelerate the move toward technological advancement, it is crystal clear as in the words of Group Captain Ibrahim Alfa (Rtd) (1979) of Air force at the STAN Conference:-

The richer and more advanced nations of the world have attained their affluence through the advancement, which they have made in science and technology. The younger nation, must therefore, direct their energies and resources towards achieving a balance economy, a stage which can only be reached through scientific advancement. We hope to do this through a well balanced educational system in which prominence should be given to science and mathematics teaching and learning.

A research work of this nature will also help to ease the suffering of the mathematics teachers in their effort to convey information during the teaching and learning by verbalism.

1.9 Operational Definition of Terms

- Instructional Aid/materials:- The objects/Items used into teaching students for better and clear assimilation of the concept.
- Learning One who seeks /knowledge that are useful for a successful Life.
- Learning The act of assimilating knowledge that leads to permanent change in behaviour.
- Mathematics An acceptable global language that is based in numerical and logical reasoning.
- Performance The ability to solve mathematical problems/situations by the students.
- Science Knowledge obtained by observation and testing of facts that are arranged in orderly manner.
- Teacher An instructor / facilitator / model / producer; one who impart an acceptable knowledge directed toward improved behaviour of the learner.

- Teaching The process of imparting knowledge or information with the aims of modify the behaviour of the learner.
- Technology Study, mastery and utilization of manufacturing methods and industrial arts, systematic application of knowledge to practical tasks.

CHAPTER TWO

REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE

2.1 Introduction

In the past, various authors have at one time or the other carried out some studies into the teaching and learning of mathematics and its associated problems in our schools. This study tries to look critically at the factors influencing effective teaching and leaning of mathematics in the area under study. To establish a basis for the study, this chapter has therefore, been devoted mainly to reviewing and making references to some study that are relevant to the topic under consideration, thus the chapter has been organized along the pattern stated below;-

(i) Definitions of mathematics, teaching and leaning.

(ii) Quality and Qualification of mathematics teachers,

(iii) The role of the teacher via his methodology in mathematics class;

- (iv) Teachers' morale and attitude as a factor for students' performance in mathematics;
- (v) Students' attitude and performance in mathematics;
- (vi) Sex-differences in attitude and performance towards mathematics; And
- (vii) Mathematics-laboratory and instructional materials, and how effective they have been used by teachers of mathematics.

2.2 Definition of Mathematics, Teaching and Learning

Mathematics cannot be given a precise definition, this is because, people give different meaning according to their perspectives. The dictionary meaning is "science of size and numbers of which Arithmetic, Algebra, Geometry and Trigonometry are branches". (Hornby, 1974).

According to Encyclopedia of Education (1985), "a natural science and realm of knowledge entirely into itself with a considerable scope".

Umar (1995) sees mathematics as language, which provide an indispensable means of investigating the nature of things,

particularly those, which are dealt with in the field of science, technology, engineering and industry. It is a branch of science that deals with numerical problems and logical reasoning. (Umar 1995, P. 120)

To Fakuade (1977) "a tool for use in Science, Technology and Industries". While to Gittleman (1975), "the term mathematics come from Greek word known as **MATHEMATA** – which means learning of subjects by instruction (Arithmetic, Algebra, Statistics, Geometry)".

Saliu (1999) quoting Fatunla sees it as a language used everyday, for the expression of the mind in quantifying size, shape and quantity, And to Aminu (1995) "it is not only the language of the sciences, it is the essential nutrient for thought, logic and reasoning, therefore, it is the bed-rock of modern development".

Teaching can be defined as the process of imparting knowledge or encoding information so that the decoder may be able to modify his behaviour (Abolade, 1976).

Oladosu (1976) quoting scheffier, an activity aimed at the achievement of learning and practiced in such a manner as to respect the students intellectual integrity and capacity for independent judgment. (Oladosu 1976, P. 106)

Fajemidagbe (1976) regards "teaching as a complex problem-solving activity". For Bruner (1966) Teaching: Consist of leading the learner through a sequence of statements and restatements of a problem or body of knowledge that increases the learners ability to grasp, transform and transfer what he is learning.

Gagne (1975) defines it as:

The set of events designed to initiate, activate and support learning in the human being, Gagne then goes on to define the teacher as the DESIGER, the MANAGER and the EVALUATOR of leaning. (Gagne 1975, P. 95)

And for Gage (1970): Teaching is "the exertion of psychological force with the view of promoting learning".

There are many definitions of learning. However, the divergence in the definitional concept of learning have some similarities, learning involves mental processes, acquisition of some skills and competencies, these skills can be acquired consciously or unconsciously and may be in formal educational environment or in a non formal situation.

According to Kelly (1965), learning is the mental activity by which knowledge and skills, habits and attitudes, virtues and ideals are acquired, retained and utilized, resulting in the progressive adaptation and modification of conduct and behaviour. (Kelly 1965, P. 109)

While Oladosu (1976) quoting Hergehah says that it is a relatively permanent change in behaviour or in behavioural potentiality that result from experience and cannot be attributed to temporary body states induced by illness, fatigue or drugs. (Oladosu 1976, P. 65)

2.3 Quality/Quantity and Qualification of Mathematics Teachers:

Majority of the related researches have attributed poor performance in mathematics to acute shortage of qualified and competent mathematics teachers.

As said by Bill (1977), the supply of mathematics graduates is a continuing problem, though universities have expanded; yet their turnout as regards mathematics is very minimal and the shortage in mathematics graduates in teaching continues. Although, the statement refers to England, all the same it equally applies to Nigeria. (Bill 1977, P. 48)

Kalejaiye (1971) observes that, post-primary institutions in Nigeria are starved with mathematics teachers, very few graduates specializing in mathematics are produced every year by the universities consequently, majority of schools continue to rely heavily on teachers who lack sufficient understanding of mathematics to be able to ensure high student achievement both in the cognitive and aptitude domain (Kalejaiye 1971, P. 107) Writing on the demand for primary and secondary level teacher in Kwara State, Fakuade (1980) quoting Adesina – What the state government need most in the area of manpower development is a programme of retraining the stock of its existing staff in the institution. Also the second National Development plan (1970-1974) in Fakuade (1980), the performance of the problems of the educational sector was analysed thus:

One of the major constraints on the desirable development in primary and secondary educating in the country is the serious shortage of qualified and competent teacher staff. (Fakuade 1980, P. 24)

On the same issue it was viewed by Fakuade (1980) that: Education authorities at all level-national, state and local, have not paid adequate attention to the training of the teachers on science and mathematics. In the same vein, most of the teachers who are now asked to teach mathematics in secondary schools are not qualified to handle the subject, as they lack the necessary professional training requires of a teacher. (Fakuade 1980, P. 28)

Mathematics can not be taught effectively without the teacher himself being well versed in the subject well above his students and should feel happy teacher, since you can not expect much from little. On mastery of subject contents by the teacher, Solaru (1964) says; if it is true that education is fundamentally, the dynamic impact of character, a teacher's training should equip him as an individual going out to influence other lives through personal relationship rather than as an exponent of the subject.

The role of the teacher in this aspect is very vital. There is need for effective and meaningful directed towards the development of mathematical power and understanding.

Fakuade (1973) writes; For in the hands of poorly prepared teachers, the best educational reforms may turn round to be a negation, of the very ideals that they are meant to perpetuate. Many times, and in sundry places in parts of Africa, Mathematics materials have

simply been thrown at teachers who are ill-prepared for mathematics teaching and have been asked to teach it. (Fakuade 1973, P. 56)

This is true of the nature of mathematics teaching in Nigeria today. Majority of those who have been asked to handle the subject in our schools are ill-prepared for the task.

2.4 The Role of the Teacher via his Methodology in Mathematics Class

The teacher is regarded as the most single important element in the learning process (Nwaogu, 1990).

Fakuade (1975) quoting crump, describes, "the role of the teacher is among those of participator, instructor and tester"; and quoting Perkin's view on teacher's role as "among other things tht of a supervisor and evaluator". (Fakuade 1975, P. 26)

While Skemp (1971) making reference to Piaget sees it as-less of a person who gives 'lesson' and is rather than of some-one who organizes situation that will give rise to curiosity and solution seeking in the child. The above, leads on to the teacher adopting lively ways of communicating effectively with students, a situation which borders on methodology.

Fakuade (1973) quoting Baber asserts that: In the firm belief that better teaching of mathematics can dispel the false impression that the subject is difficult, mathematics teachers and students may look forward optimistically to the adoption of the modern syllabuses and method of teaching. (Fakuade 1973; P. 59)

Most teachers are not conversant with the use of modern skills and techniques of teaching. A teacher may enjoy academic mastery of his subject but may not be equally efficient in imparting such knowledge to students, a situation which borders on professionalism. Mathematics teachers must be knowledgeable in the content of the subject matter as well as have the techniques for teaching it effectively, i.e. possessing both professional and content mastery. On this Fakuade (1975) quoting Butler and Wren writes: There are two equally important aspects of any profession, significant knowledge and effective technique. One cannot be efficiently professional if there is any distinct weakness in either aspect. A truly functional programme of professional preparation must, therefore, place emphases on the acquisition of knowledge significant to the chosen profession and also on the acquaintance with and use of the more efficient techniques of that profession.

And Fakuade (1980) quoting Brookes: --- still to many teachers have to teach mathematics without knowing enough about the subject, or about the current ideas of teaching. There is need for enhanced in-service provision, which is only part of the large problem of enhancing the quality of the teachers' professional life. Teaching innovations fails unless the teacher are fully conversant with and convinced by the reasons underlying the innovation. In-service training must be directed above all to the development of the teacher's own capacity to make judgment. (Fakuade 1980, P. 25)

Realising the importance of in-service training for teachers as a way of Helping them improve upon their methodology, the National policy on Education explicitly state that: No matter the efficiency of the pre- service training we give to teachers, there will necessary be areas of inadequacies. In-service education of teacher will continue to fill these gaps---, and will be systematically planned so that successful attendance at a number of such courses will attract incremental credits and, or count towards future advancement,

According to Fakuade (1973); The poor performance recorded In mathematics at the secondary school level is at times attributed to poor teaching. For a teacher to be functional in the classroom, he has to assume mastery of some basic tenants in the area of classroom control and effective use of methodology. It is imperative that the teacher must know his students, the way they think and react to situations around them. (Fakuade 1972, P. 51)

Teacher should have the ability to identify students in accordance to their abilities, interests and needs. To be able to do this effectively; he must take courses

in methodology since by this he will be fully equipped to be able to perform the all-demanding task of captivating students' interest during teaching.

It is necessary for mathematics teacher to attempt to attempt to take mathematical skills into other subject areas and apply them. In addition they should accept the challenge to develop further mathematical skills when the existing ones are inadequate. In short, mathematics teacher must be dynamic and responsible in his methodology.

On this Fakuade (1980) quoting Okorie says: The teacher can be instrumental in how a pupil react to a subject matter. In order to be effective, the teacher should prepare, Organize and present subject matter content; he should diagnose every learning problem and be prepared to assist in solving them. Furthermore, he should correct the confused, aroused the pupils' interest, motivate and challenge them and be able to up date and evaluate syllabus. (Fakuade 1980, P. 33)

For students to appreciate, develop interest and understand mathematics, teacher need to act as suggested above, and can only be possible when the right type of teachers are trained to do the job.

According to Igboko (1973)- There is need for a remodeling and updating of the traditional method of teaching mathematics to make for realism and relevance.

Igboko continues by enumerating four main things that methodology should aim at; That is:-

- i) emphasis understanding and meaning rather than mechanical skill;
- ii) encourage initiative and original thinking,
- iii) demonstrate the same thing in several different ways to heighten the learner's interest and deepen his insights; and
- iv) that the best result up-to-date researches on the psychology of human learning should be employed rather than building on out-model doctrines. The above summaries what is actually expected of teacher of mathematics via methodology. (Igboko 1973, P. 38)

2.5 Teacher's Attitude and morale and its influence on students' performance

It has been established through research that teachers' attitude and personality have great influence on their students. There is clearly a relationship between teacher morale and student achievement.

Collier (1970) writes: In ascertaining the importance of teacher attitude toward mathematics, numerous educators have attempted to influence those attitudes by specific methods of courses. The general consensus is that the attitude of prospective teachers, relative to their pre-course attitudes or those of groups of students completing on such course improve as a result of a re-organize course. (Collier 1970, P. 660)

The effect of teacher's attitude and behaviour on student attitude varies greatly from teacher to teacher, (Starkey, 1971). A particular teacher's behaviour such as failing to announce examination may also have unanticipated effects on students' attitudes

According to Aikens (1972)- The effects of teachers behaviour such as writing comments on returned papers varies from student to student. The implication then is that, while some students may react positively to such comments, some students' reaction may be negative. Consequently, their future attitude towards the teacher may be also be negative. Also such comments may have some psychological effects, which may dampen students' interest in the subject.

Aiken (1971) quoting Romey; "More important than the textbook as a key to creative thinking and success is the teacher himself. A creative teacher produces creative students".

Such a teacher by arranging the order in which the topics are taken-up possing problems, asking questions, encouraging discussion and providing opportunity for observing and exploring mathematics, creates an atmosphere that stimulate creative behaviour. In any mathematics class the teacher should act as stimulator and guide rather that merely as a tutor.

In Aikens (1971), Henderson and Pingry made a list of suggestions for developing the ability to solve problems, which will be valued to the mathematics teacher in working with both gifted and other students as well. These includes:-"encouraging questions, verbalization and hypothesis' testing constructing models, stressing relationships, being patient and friendly."

Patience, it is said, is necessity in teaching rather than a virtue and teachers need patience with themselves. Thus, the creative mathematics teacher uses experience oriented problems and encourages students to formulate hypothesis and think of solution for themselves. Unfortunately most of our secondary school teachers do not have such qualities. Most of them depend heavily on textbook examples some which have no bearing on students' life. Consequently, students are not able to relate what they are to do in mathematics class to their everyday life.

According to Coverdale (1973):- Morale concern the mental or emotional attitudes of teachers towards the components of their job. It takes into account the atmosphere or 'climate' in which they work and their individual orientation towards their task. It is essentially a reflection of how one feels about things and is therefore, a matter of subjective perception rather than objective fact. (Coverdale 1973, P.45)

Morale, therefore, implies some human quality which prompts a person to produce at maximum out-put, and without which he can –not perform at his best. It is usually associated with a forward looking, healthy and pride and can usually be increased by favourably modifying any condition that will increase job satisfaction.

In the conceptual analysis of instruction as a model for teaching plan; Babalola (1971) enumerates a number of reasons for the 'Fail' or 'lack of improvement' in the academic performance of secondary school students especially in mathematics. "Prominent among the reasons is the poor service conditions of teachers, which, it is believed has produced lowered morale and dedication among teachers" According to Coverdale (1973): One of the main problems affecting teachers' morale is condition of service, which transcends all other considerations. The lack of prestige and professional recognition is another issue, which affects the morale of teachers in Nigeria, unlike in USSR where teaching is a prestigious occupation and the teacher is important instrument of the party. (Coverdale 1973, P. 45)

In a largely materialistic society like Nigeria, the teacher is all too often thought as a mediocre practitioner of average intelligence but without initiative or drive to enter a more rewarding and demanding career.

According to Azuka (1999): When teachers are attracted to the profession and retained, then the teaching and learning of mathematics would be improved upon. There should be incentives to attract teachers to mathematics teaching and retain them on the job. (Azuka 1999 P. 4)

The conditions of service of teachers is thus an area that should be looked into by the authorities if we are to succeed in the task of motivating students. A lot has been said about what the teacher need to do to be able to achieve success in his job, but while the teacher motivate his students; his own morale should be boosted so as to have the willingness to perform better. That is why one is tempted to agree with Babalola, (1971) who wrote that: "poor service conditions is bound to affect educational output adversely, particularly in a world that has become fiercely economically competitive".

And Fakuade (1980) writes: if teachers' morale is dampened, obviously teachers' attitude to work will change and consequently, this will affect the students that they are supposed to tutor. Without boosting the morale and attitude of teachers their receptiveness, flexibility and general ability which are basic to the success of any mathematical innovation will be at a very low ebb. (Fakuade 1980, P. 35)

2.6 Students' Attitudes and Performance in Mathematics

The research work on attitudes of students in mathematics, suggests that students' performance in mathematics is influenced by non-intellective as well as intellective variables,(Aiken, 1970). The results of the research unanimously point out 'MATHEMAPHOBIA' or pronounced anxiety and fear in the presence of mathematics and other negative attitude towards it; amongst secondary school students has been existing for many years, Such reactions result from experiences specific to the learning of mathematics, in particular, that the manner in which significant order, such as teachers and parents, instruct children in mathematics as the primary determinant of their attitudes toward this subject (Aiken, 1961). According to Webb (1972),- "attitude is the most important predicator, but usually secondary ability as a forecaster of success or achievement".

Aiken (1970) again, in his studies found out that attitude towards mathematics and achievements in it are significantly related to a number of personality variables. A high sense of personal worth, a great sense of responsibility, high social standards, high academic achievement, high social standards, high academic achievement, greater freedom from withdrawing tendencies and motivation are some of these personality characteristics. Thus students who have positive attitudes toward mathematics tend to appreciate detailed work to perceive themselves as more persevering and self-confident. It has also been observed that students who do well in mathematics-tend to be more conforming and obedient in school and the parents of such students are more possessive. (Aiken 1970 P. 18)

In the opinion of Aiken (1977), the influence of parents of such students is demonstrated by the fact that students' attitudes and achievement are positively related to the attitudes of their parents in the subject. (Aiken 1977, P. 48)

Attitudes are functional to the dynamic of behaviour. They largely determine what students learn. Therefore, students need to develop a positive attitude towards studying mathematics. According to Ohuche (1990) "It is only when a child get satisfaction from knowing mathematics ideas that he will find the subject activities rewarding".

Anumudu (1999) states: thus, the development of a positive Attitude toward mathematics should be a fundamental concern Of both parents and teacher. the student with a positive attitude will enter whole heartedly into learning activities because he is sensitive to mathematics: he finds it and derive pleasure from his contact with it, it is a proved fact that children work most diligently and most effectively at tasks in which they are genuinely interested.

According to Ezeilo (1981): In Nigeria, most children fear Mathematics. In an article titled 'the subject I fear most' from A nine year primary four pupil attested to this;- the subject I fear Most is mathematics. Because it is very difficult. Mathematics needs A lot of calculations and thinking. If one makes a single mistake he Or she fails it. Sometimes the method of working it is very long. It takes a long time to finish and it is very hard to understand. (Ezeilo 1981, P. 30)

From the above statement one deduces that the child fears mathematics as a result of poor method. No wonder Polya quoted by Biggs (1969) advises that: Mathematics should be made tangible because of its abstractness. Our approach to mathematics, and the way we present this subject to our students at any academic level is very important.

2.7 Sex Differences and Performance in Mathematics

A lot of interest has been generated on the study of the relationship between gender and achievement in mathematics in Nigeria and the world over (Backmann, 1970; Armstrong, 1986 and Adeniyi, 1987). In most cases, it was reported that, "boys achieved significantly better than girls (Mclean, 1984 and Swafford, 1986)".

Some other studies, Marshall (1983), Fennema and Shermann (1984) and Fennema and Carpenter (1990):- "have observed no significant differences or approximately equal performance of boys and girls in mathematics". Hansen (1986) writes-performance of learners, irrespective of gender differences in any subject on content area, when critically examined, is a function of the teaching approach used by the teacher and some other classroom environment as well as students' variables. (Hansen 1986, P. 230)

According to Badger (1981): The research for factors, which can account for sex-differences and performance in mathematics, has covered many areas of study from physiology to social psychology to educational practice. In the end it has not been possible to single out one factor as the prime cause for such differences. Instead, there seems to be a constellation of factors, which influence performance in varying degree. (Badger 1981, P. 60)

Some differences in both attitudes and achievement in mathematics has been found to favour males over females at the secondary school level and beyond. Such differences are at times attributed to a social climate that does not encourage female. To support the above, Nevin (1973) reported that, "Irish girls have a deeper interest in human relationships, a fact which he further interpretes as interfering with an interest in mathematics".

According to Behr (1973),: "the correlation between attitude and achievement varies not only with grade level but also with the sex of the students and is generally, some what higher for girls".

Also, Badger (1981) reports that Macoby and Jacking are of the view that girls' mark decline in mathematics achievement at adolescence is casually linked to difference in spartial ability; according to them:-

Girls and boys shows little difference in performance on spartial tasks during childhood but at approximately 13-14 years old, boys beging to perform at a higher level than girls and they tend to increase the advantage throughout their adolescent period. (Badger 1981 P.63)

It was said by Preece (1979) that: boys exhibits a greater degree of self-confidence in mathematics and a greater expectation of success, while girls claim a greater intrinsic interest in the subject, a greater need for teacher support and appear to be more conscientious about their work; this then implies that girls' skill in mathematics does not appear to reflect their attitude to the subject in the same way that it does for the boys; and liking cannot, in general be predicted by success for the girls. (Preece 1979, P.43)

According to Aiken (1971): The seeming existence of sex differences in attitude and performance in mathematics has led to consideration speculation concerning the relative roles of heredity and environment in determine these abilities. Results from research have shown on average that girls tend to score higher than boys on tests of verbal fluency, arithmetic fundamentals and rote memory; whereas boys are superior in ability; arithmetic reasoning and problem solving. (Aiken 1971, P.77)

Thus, the keys to the whole problems lies in attitude e.g. attitude of girls towards mathematics, attitude of other people, especially parents and employers towards girls' mathematics. In fact females have as much mathematics ability and potential as males. According to Fennema and Shermann, (1984): changes in attitude towards mathematics involves a complex interaction among student and teacher characteristics, course contents, method of instruction, instructional materials, parental and peer support. It is the duty of teachers to develop good attitude in their students through proper adjustments to success and failure, create opportunities for success and, more, importantly endeavor to enhance and boost students' interest in mathematics.

2.8 Mathematics- Laboratory and Instructional Materials

A lot of studies have been carried out on the likely cause of poor performance in mathematics. Many recommendations have been given on how to improve mathematics education in Nigeria. Two of these recommendations are the provision of mathematics laboratory (Adegboye, 1979) and the use of instructional material (Adegboye, 1996). The call for the use of laboratory in teaching of mathematics is not new. Odili (1992) report that, Perry and Moore both called for a; "laboratory approach to mathematics instruction at the turn of 20th Century, He further claims that Piaget, Bruner and Dienes called for the use of the laboratory approach in teaching mathematics in 1968". Sangoyomi (1983) stressed that "Mathematics laboratory is a necessity and not a luxury, in order to bring mathematics to students but not the students to mathematics".

Odili (1990) also, emphasized that: every school should have a mathematics laboratory to make mathematics learning exciting, interesting and meaningful to students. He further reported that, the three-year longitudinal study by Californa Miller mathematics improvement programme shows that the students achieved better and had improved attitudes when taught by teachers who had specific training in the use of mathematics laboratory. Swart (1967) claimed that:-

- i) Most fruitful instruction is based on meaningful experience:
- ii) Learning is best facilitated by proceeding from the concrete to the abstract; and
- iii) The easiest and longest lasting learning comes from doing. (Odili 1990, P.68)

Davidson and Fair (1970) said that: students can learn mathematics, not only with paper and pencil, but also through the use of manipulative materials and mathematics laboratory approach, which involves active investigating, exploring, doing rather than being told or shown. (Davidson 1970, P.104)

Clarkson (1970) said that-"Mathematics laboratory is needed seriously for

certain topic in mathematics. These include, graph, measurement, number pattern,

Probability and reasoning".

In his own view, Schaefar (1970) said that:a promising approach to the teaching of problem- solving is the use of mathematics laboratory is to provide children with opportunities to discover mathematical concept through their active involvement in solving problem. He concluded by saying that the emphasis is on learning by doing. (Schaefar 1970, P.25)

According to Biggs (1968): every child should experience the joy of discovery, and material use in the workshop and classroom should not be expensive, but they must be attractive. He then gave three aims of teaching mathematics in laboratory. These are-

i) To let the children think for themselves,

ii) To let them discover for themselves, some mathematical formulae; and iii) To give the children the skill they need. He concluded by referring to the emphasis from a film produced for Nuffield project which quoted the Chinese proverb saying-what I hear, I for get; what I see, I remember; and what I do, I understand. (Biggs 1968, P. 403)

And Adegboye (1979) pointed out that, "students will be easily convinced in the laboratory that mathematics has a direct application to human-life".

Fakuade (1975) writes:- The secondary school mathematics teacher must be knowledgeable in secondary school educational technology to be able to function effectively in his task of the role, uses and sources of obtaining such materials. (Fakuade 1975, P.26)

Quoting Butler and Wren to support his argument on the above, Fakuade (1975) writes: Masterful scholarship in a body of relevant knowledge is an absolute essential for effective teaching, but it must be supplemented by a proficiency in the use of effective techniques of instruction, neither should be emphasized to the exclusion of the other, but a roper balance should be maintained throughout the preparation programme. We do not want teachers of mathematics to be 'teachers who have nothing to teach', nor do we want them to be mere surveyors of knowledge and promoters of skills. (Fakuade 1975, P.29)

This summarises the importance that should be attached to instructional materials in the dissemination of mathematical knowledge. On the question of textbooks, Coles (1959) is of the view that:- West African teachers must not wait for their United Kingdom colleagues to produce the desired textbook for mathematics. And that textbooks are conceived without taking the cultural background or personal experiences of the learner into consideration and this often leads to a misguided purpose of studying science of which mathematics is a part.

2.9 Summary of literature review

The literature review has concentrated mainly on the issues of quality and qualification of the mathematics teacher in relation to its effect on students' overall performance in the subject. Attempts have also been made to look into research study as regards teacher's attitude and morale; and students' attitude as jointly affect performance in mathematics. Sex differences and performance has also been reviewed in addition to the importance of mathematics laboratory and instructional materials as the major key to learning and understanding mathematics.

Since the importance of the subject to the individual, community and the nation as a whole for national development can not be over-emphasized; it is therefore necessary that investigations which would unveil the factors influencing effective teaching and learning of mathematics be carried out. It is along this line that the investigator hopes to carry on his study in the area chosen.

CHAPTER THREE RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1 Introduction

This chapter is concerned with the descriptions of the study sample; the questionnaire used, and the data collection and analysis employed in the study.

3.2 Research Design

The descriptive research of the survey type was used. This is because they are directed towards determining the nature of a situation as it exists at the time of the study. It was asserted that descriptive research of the survey type is often used in this type of research as it describes, interprets and is concerned with conditions or relationships that exist, opinions that are held, processes that are going on, effects that are evident or trends that are developing.

Therefore, to carry out the study, the researcher focused on a sample cutting across principals, mathematics-teachers and students randomly selected. To collect or gather the data, the researcher made use of both the primary and the secondary data. The secondary data were collected from literatures which was reviewed in chapter two as literature review. The primary data were collected through the research questionnaires administered on the sample. On the analysis, the researcher uses both quantitative and statistical with chi-square (X^2) calculation to check the research questions.

3.3 Populations and Sample

The targeted population for the study was the Principals, Mathematics Teachers and Students in secondary schools in Niger State; while the sample (subject) of this study are Senior Secondary Two (S.S. 2) and Senior Secondary Three (S.S. 3) students of the schools chosen for the study. In addition to this Subjects are Mathematics Teachers in the schools as well as each school's

26

Principal, There were One hundred and forty three (143) post-primary schools in Niger State at the time of study but the sampled schools used were fifteen (15); five (5) each from the three (3) educational zone, because most of these schools were junior secondary schools.

3.4 Rationale for Choosing the Sample

Students in Senior Secondary Two (S.S. 2) and Senior Secondary Three (S.S. 3) were selected as the sample on the assumption that these groups of students were matured enough to form independent opinion about mathematics as a subject in relation to how their teachers teach them. It was also assumed that they could form their attitude to and indicate their performance in the subject. It was also felt that the students at these classes had worked progressively in mathematics from the junior secondary one up to their present level and as such would serve as good sources of information to the researcher on the problem of mathematics teaching and learning in our schools.

3.5 Instrumentation

Questionnaire were used, since the researcher is dealing with principals, mathematics teachers and students. Only teachers responsible for the teaching of mathematics were given the questionnaire to answer on the simple assumption that since they were directly involved in teaching mathematics, they were in a better position to give useful information as regards the reasons for students' poor performance in the subject.

The principal of each of the selected schools was also required to furnish the researcher with very vital information as regards students' enrolment, number of mathematics teacher in the school and the Senior School Certificate Examination (SSCE) result of students in Mathematics; in each school over a period of three (3) years: i.e. 1998/99; 1999/2000 and 2000/2001 academic year. Also given were the students in the S.S. 2 and S.S. 3.

Altogether, fifteen (15) – principals' questionnaires, seventy (70)-teachers questionnaires and three hundred (300)-students' questionnaires, that is twenty (20) questionnaires per school, ten (10) for senior secondary two and ten (10) for senior secondary three were distributed, making a total of three hundred and eighty –five (385) questionnaires, and which were returned and use for the analysis.

Other sources of information in addition to the questionnaire used were:

- i) Interview/Observation- (interview of vice-principals, examination officers and observation of teachers and students at work). And
- ii) Records (SSCE results in Mathematics as well as students enrolment).

3.6 Data Collected

The Data on Senior School Certificate Examination results for the past three (3) years beginning from 1998/99 to 2000/2001 academic year were collected.

3.7 Description of Questionnaire

Three (3) separate questionnaires were administered under the heading:-

3.7.1 Principals' Questionnaire

This questionnaire had ten (10) items which aimed among other things, at getting general information on the number of class-stream (arms) in the school, total number of students from Junior Secondary One (J.S. 1) to Senior Secondary three (S.S. 3), and students past performance in Senior School Certificate Examination (SSCE) in mathematics.

3.7.2 Teachers Questionnaire

Items on the teachers' questionnaire dealt with qualification, year of teaching experience, condition of Service, availability of instructional materials cum mathematics laboratory and relevant textbooks.

28

3.7.3 Students Questionnaire

Items on this questionnaire dealt with learning problems, materials problems and personal information.

3.8 Administration of Questionnaire

The questionnaires were administered to the respondents directly by the investigator. This is done by direct distribution of the questionnaires to the respondents, and supervised the processing and returns after the questionnaires completion.

3.9 Sampling Technique

To ensure that each school out of the one hundred and fourty- three (143) state owned secondary schools are given equal chance of being selected. A simple sampling technique was adopted, that is, three (3) educational zones, namely: Minna Zone, Bida Zone and Kontagora Zone. Thus five (5) schools were selected from each zone and the choice of each school in the zone is by the method of simple random sampling (SRS). Also, the choice of students from each of the school used is by the method of simple random sampling.

3.10 Validity of the Instrument

The research instruments i.e. (questionnaires) used were validated by the researcher's supervisor, personnel in the planning and research department of the state Ministry of Education and two lecturers from Education Department in Niger State College of Education after been tested for validity and reliability. The pilot study was conducted in Minna at G.S.S Minna and D.S.S. Minna. Twenty (20) students and ten (10) teachers; ten (10) student and five (5) teachers each from each of the schools were used. The results obtained was analyzed using Spearman Rank correlation coefficient statistics (see Appendix VI) this to ascertain the validity of the instrument used (Questionnaire).

3.11 Reliability of the Instrument

As stated in (3.10) above, the obtained result was correlated using Spearman's Rank correlation co-efficient formula. The table value for the Spearman's Rank Correlation Coefficient was 0.46 while the calculated values were 0.997 and 0.989 respectively which shows that the instrument is highly reliable.

3.12 Method of Data Collection

The data for the research was collected through Primary and Secondary sources in addition to other research instruments used in the data collection.

3.12.1The Primary Data – This is made up of structured questionnaires for the Principals, Mathematics Teachers and Students.

The questionnaires were structured in such a way that a respondent can easily respond by ticking ($\sqrt{}$) from alternatives provided to each question item or fill-in the gaps and yes or no where necessary and applicable.

3.12.2 The Secondary Data – These were sourced from textbooks, Thesis, Journals, Seminar Papers, Newspapers, reports, Niger State Ministry of Education Test and Measurement Department, Discussion with Teachers, Students and educationist that were found or accrossed during the research process. The data were sourced and reviewed in chapter two as literature review before the collection of the primary data.

3.13 Method of Data Analysis

The procedure for the analysis of data in this study is both quantitative as well as statistical. Percentages were employed in areas where straightforward information is required from the sample. It has been used to analyze the results of some of the items on the questionnaire and then drawing conclusion on them. Descriptive statistics have been used to describe the characteristics of the sample;

30

in doing this measures of central tendency and variability such as mean and standard deviation has been computed. Inferential Statistics which deal with testing of hypothesis on which basis, inferences relating to the sample can be made, have been used in analyzing the data in the study.

CHAPTER FOUR ANALYSIS OF DATA

4.1 Introduction

This chapter deals with analysis of results obtained from the study. It will be recalled that the questionnaires were patterned along three main heading namely:

- i) Principals' questionnaire;
- ii) Teachers' questionnaire; and
- iii) Students' questionnaire

Consequently, the analysis of the responses to the various items on the questionnaire was done starting with those of the principals, followed by the teachers' and finally by the students'.

In all fifteen (15) schools out of a total of seventy (70) Senior Secondary Schools in the State (Niger)chosen for the study were covered. A total of three hundred and eighty-five (385) questionnaires were given out as follows:-

| Principles' questionnaires | 15 |
|----------------------------|-----|
| Teachers' questionnaires | 70 |
| Students' questionnaires | 300 |

And all the questionnaires were returned. **

4.2 Principals' Questionnaire

The items on the this questionnaire sought among other things, to know the teacher-student ratio in a mathematics class, the number of arms or stream in each school (see table 4.1) the numbers of mathematics teachers as well as the average number of periods allotted to each mathematics teacher every week (see table 4.6).

The researcher also sought to find out whether the supply of mathematics teachers to the school had been stable for the past three (3) years (see table 4.2). The principal's opinions were sought whether there had been some remarkable improvement on students' performance in the Senior Schools' Certificate

Examination (SSCE) in mathematics (see table 4.3) and the factors responsible for such performance.

The tables 4.1 to 4.7 summarize the result obtained from the principal's questionnaires.

| | Name of School | No. of | No. of | No. of | Teacher | Average |
|---|------------------|--------|-----------|---------|---------|-------------|
| | | Arm | Student | Maths | Student | no. of Std. |
| | | | Enrolment | Teacher | Ration | Per Arm |
| А | G.C. Bida | 6 | 1268 | 5 | 1:254 | 211 |
| В | G.G.S.S Bida | 4 | 962 | 5 | 1:241 | 241 |
| С | G.D.S.S Bida | 4 | 878 | 6 | 1:146 | 220. |
| D | G.S.S Kontagora | 5 | 1246 | 4 | 1:312 | 249 |
| Е | G.D.S.S | | | | | |
| | Kontagora | 4 | 915 | 5 | 1:183 | 229 |
| F | G.D.S.S | | | | | |
| | Kpege Mokwa | 4 | 1078 | 5 | 1:216 | 270 |
| G | G.G.S.S Minna | 10 | 2117 | 9 | 1:235 | 212 |
| Н | A.B.S.S Minna | 5 | 1779 | 6 | 1:297 | 356 |
| Ι | D.S.S Kata Eregi | 1 | 355 | 3 | 1:118 | 355 |
| J | D.S.S Maikonkele | 1 | 750 | 3 | 1:250 | 750 |
| К | G.D.S.S Minna | 10 | 4999 | 10 | 1:500 | 500 |
| L | G.S.S New Bussa | 3 | 642 | 1 | 1:642 | 214 |
| М | A.D.S.S Paiko | 6 | 2390 | 6 . | 1:398 | 398 |
| N | D.S.S Zungeru | 2 | 240 | 1 | 1:240 | 120. |
| 0 | G.S.S Wushishi | 2 | 360 | 1 | 1:360 | 180 |
| | TOTAL | 67 | 19979 | 70 | 1:285 | 298 |

Table 4.1 Student Population and Teacher Student Ratio per School

From the table 4.1, it would be observed that the fifteen (15) schools had a total number of sixty-seven (67) arms/streams with a total student population of Nineteen thousand, nine hundred and seventy-nine (19979). On the average, each school had about five (5) arms or streams with each arm/stream having a student population of two hundred and ninety-eight (298). In all, there were seventy (70) mathematics teachers; this included both the qualified and the unqualified teachers of mathematics. A glance through the ratio column reveals that the teacher-student ratio ranges from 1:118 to 1:642 with an over all average ratio of 1:285. the

implication here is that every one mathematics teacher in each school would have to deal with as many as two hundred and eighty-five (285) students. Considering the nature of mathematics, which calls for constant practice for good mastery of subject matter, this number is too large for a single teacher to handle. Dealing with such a number will obviously result in inefficiency on the part of teachers and consequently poor performance by the students. If computation of teacher with teaching qualification is made, definitely the ratio will be greater, such a situation will not permit for effectiveness on the part of the teacher nor for adequate mastery of subjects on the part of the students, since a teacher has to be allowed enough time for adequate preparation as well as effect a detailed study of the students he is supposed to be teaching.

| Response | Number | % | Remarks |
|----------|--------|------|----------|
| YES | 8 | 53.3 | Stable |
| NO | 7 | 46.7 | Unstable |
| | | | |

100

Table 4.2 Stability of Mathematics Teachers to Schools

15

TOTAL

Item 6 on the questionnaires sought to find out whether or not the supply of mathematics teachers to each of the schools was stable for the past three (3) years. The response on this item is shown in table 4.2. Fifty-three (53%) percent of the principals indicated that the supply of mathematics teachers to their schools was stable for the past three (3) years, while, Fourty-seven (47%) percent indicated that the supply was not stable. However, mathematics teachers' stability should not be taken to mean that the actual staff strength in relation to mathematics teaching for such schools had been met. On the contrary it may mean that the movement of mathematics teachers had been dormant in terms of transfer and other allied factors.

Item 7 to 10 on the questionnaire sought to know whether there was any remarkable improvement in the performance of students in the Senior School Certificate Examination (SSCE) in recent years and the factors responsible for such improvement or otherwise.

| Response | Number | % | Remarks |
|----------|--------|------|----------------|
| YES | 10 | 66.7 | Improvement |
| NO | 7 | 33.3 | No improvement |
| TOTAL | 15 | 100 | |

Table 4.3 Student Performances in Mathematics

The responses by the principals indicated that there are remarkable improvements on students' performance in mathematics in recent years as shown on table 4.3. Among reasons given for this improvement in performance are, availability of some well-qualified teachers; good mathematics textbooks and some generated interest by students on the subject.

However, students' result in the SSCE in Mathematics over the past three (3) years from 1998/99 to 2000/2001 collated and summarized in table 4.4 reveals that students have performed poorly. Besides, the number of students enrolment meant for SSCE increases every year without corresponding increase in number of teachers. The overall performance for the fifteen (15) schools combined is further summarized in table 4.5 for each interpretation.

35

| | NAME OF | 1998 | / 1999 | | | | 1999/ | 2000 | | | | 2000/2 | 2001 | | | |
|---|------------------------|------|--------|------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|------|--------|------|------|------|------|
| | SCHOOL | N.E | P | % | F | % | N.E | P | % | F | % | N.E | P | % | F | % |
| Α | G.C. Bida | 191 | 1 | 0.5 | 190 | 99.5 | 88 | 11 | 125 | 77 | 87.5 | 365 | 8 | 2.2 | 357 | 97.8 |
| В | G.G.S.S Bida | 105 | 5 | 4.7 | 100 | 95.3 | 97 | 67 | 69.1 | 30 | 30.9 | 163 | 162 | 99.4 | 1 | 0.6 |
| С | G.D.S.S Bida | 80 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 100 | 61 | 52 | 85.2 | 9 | 14.8 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 100 |
| D | G.S.S Kontagora | 77 | 1 | 1.3 | 76 | 98.7 | 102 | 98 | 96.1 | 4 | 3.9 | 111 | 43 | 38.7 | 68 | 61.3 |
| E | G.D.S.S Kontagora | 133 | 13 | 9.8 | 120 | 90.2 | 154 | 23 | 14.9 | 131 | 85.1 | 219 | 37 | 16.9 | 182 | 83.1 |
| F | G.D.S.S Kpege Mokwa | 80 | 15 | 18.8 | 65 | 81.2 | 160 | 30 | 18.8 | 130 | 81.2 | 110 | 20 | 18.2 | 90 | 81.8 |
| G | G.G.S.S Minna | 109 | 2 | 1.8 | 107 | 98.2 | 243 | 5 | 2.1 | 238 | 97.9 | 197 | 2 | 1 | 192 | 99 |
| Η | ABSS Minna | 382 | 16 | 4.2 | 362 | 95.8 | 473 | 18 | 3.8 | 458 | 96.2 | 603 | 50 | 8.3 | 553 | 91.7 |
| Ι | DSS Kata Eregi | 48 | 3 | 6.0 | 45 | 98.7 | 48 | 6 | 125 | 42 | 87.5 | 47 | 5 | 10.6 | 42 | 89.4 |
| J | DSS Maikonkele | 67 | 17 | 25.4 | 50 | 74.6 | 88 | 21 | 23.9 | 67 | 76.1 | . 84 | 10 | 11.9 | 74 | 82.1 |
| К | GDSS Minna | 658 | 100 | 15.2 | 558 | 84.8 | 839 | 325 | 38.7 | 514 | 61.3 | 754 | 150 | 19.9 | 604 | 80.1 |
| L | GSS New Bussa | 84 | 11 | 13 | 73 | 87 | 90 | 9 | 10 | 81 | 90 | 67 | 18 | 26.9 | 49 | 33.1 |
| М | ADSS Paiko | 77 | 10 | 13 | 67 | 87 | 209 | 11 | 5.3 | 198 | 94.7 | 128 | 24 | 18.8 | 104 | 81.2 |
| N | DSS Zungeru | 30 | 20 | 66.7 | 10 | 33.3 | 29 | 21 | 72.4 | 8 | 27.6 | 35 | 29 | 82.9 | 6 | 17.1 |
| 0 | DSS Wushishi | 40 | 20 | 50 | 20 | 50 | 39 | 20 | 51.3 | 19 | 46.7 | 35 ` | 19 | 54.3 | 16 | 45.7 |
| | , | 2161 | 234 | 10.8 | 1927 | 89.2 | 2720 | 721 | 26.5 | 1999 | 73.5 | 2970 | 577 | 19.4 | 2403 | 80.6 |

Table 4.4 S.S.C.E Results in Mathematics

N.E = Number of Enrolment,

P = Number Passed

F = Number Failed,

% = Percentage

| YEAR | N.E | Р | % | F | % |
|-----------|------|------|----|------|------|
| 1998/1999 | 2070 | 183 | 9 | 1887 | 91 |
| 1999/2000 | 2369 | 716 | 30 | 1653 | 70 |
| 2000/2001 | 2373 | 577 | 24 | 1796 | 76 . |
| TOTAL | 6812 | 1476 | 22 | 5336 | 78 |

Table 4.5 Overall SSCE Result in Mathematics

N.E = Number of Enrolment P = Pass F = Fail

Whilst a total of the thousand and seventy (2070) students were registered for the fifteen (15) schools in the 1998/1999 academic season; a total of two thousand, three hundred and sixty – nine (2369) students registered for the 1999/2000 representing fourteen (14%) percent increase over that of 1998/1999, and the 2000/2001 gives a total of two thousand, three hundred and seventy – three (2373) students registered, representing 0.02% increase over the previous year.

From table 4.5 it would be observed that a total of six thousand, eight hundred and twelve (6812) students registered for mathematics between the period i.e 1998/1999 to 2000/2001 academic seasons. Out of this number only one thousand, four hundred and seventy- six (1476) passed representing 22% while a total number of five thousand, three hundred and thirsty six (5336) failed, this represent 78%. A look at the yearly performance does not show appreciable improvement either. While only 9% of the students that registered passed in the 1998/1999 academic year, 91% failed. The performance in this year was better than the previous year. In the 2000/2001 24% passed while 76% failed this shows a drop in student's performance compare to the year.

The table 4.3 to 4.5 on the students' performance clearly reveals the researcher's earlier assertion that the problem of mathematics and mathematics education is a major concern in the Nigeria Education Scene. Among the factors advanced for such poor performance as opined by the various principals are:

37

inadequate supply of well qualified mathematics teachers, poor instructional strategy by existing teachers of mathematics; lack of adequate teaching materials: and students' lack of interest in the subject.

Teachers' mathematics periods per week can be seen on table 4.6

| Sch. | Name of Sch | No of | Total Nos | No of | Average No |
|------|------------------|-------|------------|----------|------------|
| Code | | Class | period per | Teacher | Period per |
| | | | wk | per week | wk |
| Λ | G.C. Bida | 36 | 180 | 5 | 36 |
| В | GGSS Bida | 24 | 120 | 5 | 24 |
| С | GDSS Bida | 24 | 120 | 6 | 20 |
| D | GSS Kontagora | 30 | 150 | 4 | 38 |
| E | GDSS Kontagora | 24 | 120 | 5 | 24 |
| F | GDSS Kpege Mokwa | 24 | 120 | 5 | 24 |
| G | GGSS Minna | 48 | 240 | 9 | 27 |
| П | ABSS Minna | 30 | 150 | 6 | 25 - |
| I . | DSS Kata Eregi | 6 | 30 | 3 | 10 |
| J | DSS Maikonkele | 6 | 30 | 3 | 10 |
| К | GDSS Minna | 60 | 300 | 10 | 30 |
| L | GSS New Bussa | 18 | 90 | 1 | 90 |
| М | ADSS Paiko | 36 | 180 | 6 | 30 |
| N | DSS Zungeru | 12 | 60 | 1 | 60 |
| 0 | DSS Wushishi | 12 | 60 | 1 | 60 |
| | 1 | 390 | 1950 | 70 | 25 |

Table 4.6 Number of Period Per Week Per Teacher

For the purpose of analysis it is assumed that each class is officially allotted five (5) mathematics periods of 40 minutes every week. From column 6 on table 4.6, it would be observed that average number of periods per teacher per week

ranges between 10 and 90. On the average 25 periods a week is expected to be allotted to each mathematics teacher, which can also be taken as the official period allotment. Going by this it is clear that most schools were inadequately staffed with the mathematics teachers. It was necessary therefore to use this to analyze number of teachers that were required in each school. And this has been done on table 4.7

| School Code | No of Class | Total Period Per Week | Require No of Maths Tr. | Average Nos of Period Per Week |
|----------------|-------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| А | 36 | 180 | 9 | 20 |
| В | 24 | 120 | 6 | 20 |
| С | 24 | 120 | 6. | 20 |
| D | 30 | 150 | 7 | 21 |
| E | 24 | 120 | 6 | 20 |
| F | 24 | 120 | 6 | 20 |
| G | 18 | 240 | 12 | 20 |
| Н | 30 | 150 | 7 | 21 |
| Ι | 6 | 30 | 2 | 15 |
| J | 6 | 30 | 2 | 15 |
| K | 60 | 300 - | 15 | 20 |
| L | 18 | 90 | 4 · | · 22 • |
| M | 36 | 180 | 9 | 20 |
| N | 12 | 60 | 3 | 20 |
| 0 | 12 | 60 | 3 | 20 |
| TOTAL | 390 / | 1950 | 97 | 20 |

Table 4.7: Required Number of Mathematics Teacher

Table 4.7, shows on the average the required number of mathematics teachers for each school, going by the fact that each teacher should, on the average bases of 20 periods a week. A comparison of column 4 of table 4.6 and 4.7 clearly reveals that the staff strength in each school was inadequate. In all 97 teachers

were required for the schools, but only 70 were available leaving 27 vacancies to be filled. Even, if the 97 teachers were all available, the ratio of students to teacher is also of concern. This situation emphasizes the fact that our schools are poorly staffed with mathematics teachers and the few available were over loaded with work and this leads to inefficiency. Infact, in some schools, some schools, some classes are left without mathematics teachers fro almost a whole session. In an attempt to ameliorate such as urgly situation less qualified personnel are, at times, converted to teach mathematics and this further worsens the situation.

For the effective teaching and learning of mathematics therefore, it will pay more for the teacher to have at most 20 – periods or at least 15 – periods per week.

4.3 Teachers' Questionnaire

The teachers' questionnaire contain 30 items grouped into two (2) main sections:-

A Personal Information

B Instructional and Material problems

Items in section A sought to find out the quality and qualification of mathematics teachers. Section B sought to find out whether there are enough materials for teaching mathematics.

Table 4.8 shows a summary of the quality of teachers. This number includes teachers that read mathematics at the University or College of Education level either as a minor or major subject, as well as those who read other science related subjects such as Chemistry, Physics, Statistics, Geography, etc. It also includes holders of other Certificates who have found themselves teaching mathematics.

40

| Qualification | Number | Percentage |
|--------------------------------------|--------|------------|
| N.C.E, Diploma (Education) | 9 | 13 |
| B. A, B.SC (Education) | 18 | 26 > 44 |
| M. A., M.SC, And (Education) | 4 | 5_ |
| B.A, B.SC, And M.A., M.SC & other | 39 | 56. + |
| TOTAL | 70 - | 100 |

Table 4.8: Qualification of Mathematics Teachers

From table 4.8, it would be observed that, of the 70 teachers interviewed only 31 of them representing 44% possessed qualification in mathematics education. They are said to be actual professional mathematics teachers. While 39 of the lot representing 56% were graduates who were not professional mathematics teachers but had found themselves teaching mathematics because they could not get another job. The implication is that most of these graduates will not be committed to teaching, since they are ignorant of the various learning theories as well as child psychology, to be able to appreciate students' need and interest. Nine (9) of the teachers interviewed representing 13% were N.C.E and Diploma in Mathematics Education's graduates. Although these were professionals yet they need some more training, given the fact that such teachers were trained purposely for the lower classes of the secondary schools (i.e. J.S.S.)

| | | Years Of Experience | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|---------------------|-------|-------|--|--|--|
| | Above 5 Yrs | | Below | 5 Yrs | | | |
| | 0 | E | 0 | E | | | |
| Professional Qualified Teacher | 25 | 21 | 5 | 9 | | | |
| Non Professional Qualified Teacher | 25 | 29 | 15 | 11 | | | |
| Total | 50 | 50 | 20 | 20 | | | |

Table 4.9 Analysis of Teachers Qualification and Experience

 $X^2 = 4.55$, df = 2, P < 0.01 critical value 9.21

Where O = observed Frequency E = Expected Frequency

On the question of experience as a basis for effective teaching, table 4.9a shows that twenty (20) out of Seventy (70) teachers had experience of less than five (5) years. Out of this, one can find fifteen (15) graduates who can leave the teaching for a more lucrative job at the least opportunity. Thus 28.6% had less than five (5) years teaching experience; Whilst 71.4% had been in the job for five (5) years and more, of which twenty-five (25) out of fifty (50) teachers were unqualified; (That is, 35.7% of the 71.4%) and could leave the teaching at least opportunity also.

Therefore, from table 4.9 the analysis show that the chi-squared X^2 -Calculated value 4.55 is less than the X^2 -Critical value (i.e. table value) 9.21 at a degree of freedom (df) of 2 and 0.01 significance level indicating that the HO₄ (null hypothesis four) is not rejected. Hence, there is no significant difference on the teaching between qualified and non-qualified teachers.

Item 8 sought to find out whether teacher(s) consider their stay in teaching profession as either permanent, temporary or whether they were undecided. Twenty-three (23) out of 70 teachers considered their stay as permanent, 30 as temporary while 17 out of 70 were undecided. On whether teachers still had interest in the teaching profession majority of the teachers agreed, but 28.6% of them disagreed. A breakdown of the responses are shown below in terms of teachers' interest in the teaching profession.

| Experience | Agree | Disagree | Neutral | Total |
|--------------------|-------|----------|---------|-------|
| 5- Years or More | 43 | 2 | 5 | 50 |
| Less Than 5- Years | 14 | 2 | 4 | 20 |
| | 57 | 4 | 9 | 70 |

| Table 4.10 | Interest | in the | Teaching | Profession |
|------------|----------|--------|----------|------------|
|------------|----------|--------|----------|------------|

On teacher's attitude from Table 4.11 to the teaching profession, a chisquare (X^2) has been computed to find relationship between experienced (5-years and above) and less experienced (below 5-years) teachers. The computation attempts to find out whether attitude to the teaching profession is independent of experience.

Analysis of Teachers' Attitude in Teaching Profession in Table 4.11:-**Terms of Experience**

| | | d 5-Yrs and ove | Less Experienced Belov 5-Yrs. | | | |
|----------|-----|--------------------|----------------------------------|----|--|--|
| | · 0 | E | 0 | E | | |
| Agree | 43 | 41 | 14 | 16 | | |
| Disagree | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | | |
| Neutral | 5 | 6 | 4 | 3 | | |
| Total | 50 | 50 | 20 | 20 | | |

 $X^2 = 2.28$, df = 2, P < 0.01 critical value 9.21

Where O = observed Frequency E = Expected Frequency

At a degree of freedom (df) of 2 and 0.01 significance level, the X^2 -Critical (table) value is 9.21 and X^2 -calculated value 2.281, indicating that the HO₃ (null hypothesis three) is not rejected. Hence, there is no significant difference between experience and attitude to the teaching profession.

Responses to item 4 of section B from table 4.12 shows that the condition of service of teachers generally do not commensurate with the load of classroom work. Forty (40) out 70 teachers disagreed with the statement representing 57% Twenty (20) representing 29% agree while Ten (10) represent 14% were neutral.

| Item | Agree | % | Disagree | % | Neutral | % |
|------|-------|----|----------|----|---------|------|
| 4 | 20 | 29 | 40 | 57 | 10 | 14 |
| 14 | 43 | 62 | 19 | 27 | 8 | 11 |
| 16 | 46 | 66 | 22 | 31 | 2 | 3 |
| 17 | 32 | 46 | 30 | 43 | 8 | . 11 |
| 18 | 23 | 33 | 35 | 50 | 12 | 17 |
| 19 | 66 | 94 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 |
| 20 | 18 | 26 | 44 | 63 | 8 | 11 |

Table 4.12 Condition of Service

Table 4.12 shows that the present condition of service, though improved is not good enough and therefore not satisfactory. The implication is that teachers' attitude to work is negative and their output affected. For better output of teaching mathematics there should be some form of incentives to boost the teachers' morale. Equally, it has been opined that provision for in-service training is not satisfactory and this contribute to low qualify staff strength (see Appendix 11-Teachers questionnaire). Further analysis of other items reveals the following results

| Item | Agree | % | Disagree | % | Neutral | ⁰∕₀ |
|------|-------|----|----------|----|---------|------|
| 5 | 64 | 91 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 |
| 6 | 10 | 14 | 44 | 61 | 16 | 23 |
| 7 | 8 | 11 | 48 | 69 | 14 | 20 |
| 8 | 16 | 23 | 50 | 71 | 4 | 6 |
| 9 | 54 | 77 | 10 | 14 | 6 | . 9 |
| 10 | 50 | 71 | 12 | 17 | 8 | . 11 |
| 11 | 39 | 56 | 23 . | 33 | 8 | 11 |
| 12 | 13 | 19 | 45 | 64 | 12 - | 17 |
| 13 | 6 | 9 | 57 | 81 | 7 | 10 |
| 15 | 25 | 36 | 29 | 41 | 16 | 23 |

Table 4.13 Effect of Materials on Teaching/Learning

From table 4.13, it would be observed that the absence of Mathematics laboratory in schools has resulted in lack of teaching aid, since the existence of a mathematics laboratory is an incentive for acquiring the teaching/instructional aid for students' use. Also, it was opined that practical work in mathematics was very useful.

On the question of textbooks it would be observed that mathematics textbooks in use in the schools are relevant. It can also be asserted that the language of the textbooks was easy to comprehend, but student's performance in mathematics usually resulted from their performance in English language. This is evident from the results as shown in the table 4.13.

Finally, to conclude this analysis it is necessary to state here that, 20 out of 70 teachers are female this represents 29%. This number naturally poses some problems. The first problem to be posed here is that, the consistency and regularity in attendance of these teachers is not guaranteed. This is borne out of the fact that majority of them are married and will obviously have to go on maternity leave at

least once in a while. Consequently, their classes would have to bear the brunt of such absence. Another problem is that of continuity and quality as helping teachers that are normally assigned to such classes were always over-loaded with extra periods at the expense of efficiency.

4.4 Students' Questionnaire

The items on the students' questionnaire sought among other things on finding out student's interest on mathematics, the importance attached to the study of mathematics as well as other related problems that are in one way or the other hinder their study of the subject. It also sought for mathematics teachers, instructional/teaching aids and the sex of the respondents.

| Item | | Agree | | | Disagree | | | Neutral | | | |
|------|-----|-------|-----|----|----------|-----|------|---------|----|------|--|
| | M | F | Т | М | F | Т | М | F | Т | | |
| 1 | 186 | 92 | 278 | 10 | 5 | 15 | 4 | 3 | 7 | 300 | |
| 2 | 197 | 96. | 293 | - | - | - | 3 | 4 | 7 | 300 | |
| 3 | 120 | 40 | 160 | 70 | 55 | 125 | 10 . | 5 | 15 | 300 | |
| 4 | 107 | 28 | 135 | 90 | 68 | 158 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 300_ | |
| 5 | 136 | 1 | 137 | 60 | 96 | 156 | 4 | 3 | 7 | 300 | |

Table 4.14 Students' Attitude Toward Mathematics

The results obtained from table 4.14, show that students had a positive attitude towards mathematics and were interested in studying it. However, it appears that their poor performance in the subject resulted from contributory factors other than likeness. Out of a total of 300 respondents, 293 hold the view that they very much like mathematics. This number represents 97.7%, whilst 7 students representing 2.3% expressed dislike to the subject. Such a result clearly shows that majority of the students do have interest in studying mathematics. This is contradicting the statement of the teachers and principals. However, positive

attitude is expected, considering the fact that mathematics is a compulsory subject for all students at the secondary school level.

To determine whether attitude of students towards mathematics is independent of sex, the chi-square (X^2) was used.

| Table 4.15: | Analysis of Students' Attitude | Towards | Mathem | aties in | lern |
|-------------|--------------------------------|---------|--------|----------|------|
| | of Sex | | • | - | |
| | | | | | |

| Response | Ma | nle | Female | | |
|----------|-----|-----|--------|-----|--|
| | 0 | E | 0 | E | |
| Agree | 186 | 185 | 92 | 93 | |
| Disagree | 10 | 10 | 5 | 5 | |
| Neutral | 4 | 5 | 3 | 2 | |
| Total | 200 | 200 | 100 | 100 | |

 $X^2 = 0.72$, df = 2, P < 0.05 critical value 5.99

Where O = observed Frequency E = Expected Frequency

At a degree of freedom (df) of 2 and significance level of 0.05, the X^2 -the critical (i.e table) value is 5.991. The X²-calculated value is 0.716 since the calculated value 0.716 is less than the critical value 5.991 then the HO2 (null hypothesis two) is not rejected. Hence, there is no significant gender difference on students attitude toward mathematics. With the awareness of the utility of mathematics in everyday life. It is striking to note that 293 respondents representing 97.7% supported the view that mathematics was relevant to their future study of other science-based subject, while 7 respondents have contrary view.

Responses on item 3,4 and 5 indicated an almost positive response by the students. This shows that the factors for students poor performance is not only the abstract nature of mathematics but combined with other factors (see appendix 111students' questionnaire)

| Item | Agree | % | Disagree | % | Neutral | % |
|------|-------|----|----------|-----|---------|------|
| 6 | 82 | 27 | 188 | 63 | 30 | 10 |
| 7 | 188 | 63 | 74 | 24 | 38 | 13 |
| 8 | 173 | 58 | 68 | 23 | 59 | 19 |
| 9 | 194 | 65 | 106 | 35 | - 5.45 | - |
| 10 | 165 | 55 | 105 | 35 | 30 | . 10 |
| 11 | 165 | 55 | 105 . | 35 | 30 | 10 |
| 12 | 165 | 55 | 105 | 35 | 30 | 10 |
| 13 | 38 | 13 | 232 | 77 | 30 | 10 |
| 14 | 23 | 8 | 270 | 90 | 7 | 2 |
| 15 | 7 | 2 | 286 | 96 | 7 | 2 |
| 16 | 210 | 70 | 83 | 28 | 7 | 2 |
| 17 | 250 | 83 | 25 | 8.5 | 25 | 8.5 |
| 18 | 240 | 80 | 15 | 5 | 45 | . 15 |
| 19 | 30 | 10 | 225 | 75 | 45 | 15 |
| 20 | 278 | 93 | 7 | · 2 | -15 | 5 |

Further analysis reveals some other results as shown in table 4.16

Table 4.16 Effect of Material and other Factors on Students Learning

On whether games were employed by the teacher in a mathematics class, the response is negative as it could be seen from table 4.16, that is, 27% agreed for the use of game in teaching mathematics; This means that teaching is only done the old fashion of talk and chalkboard method. This further affects understanding since students would have to grapple with the problem of getting what the teacher is saying and have to think in the abstract way since no reference is actually made to students' real life. However results shows that 63% of the respondents opined that mathematics lessons are presented in very clear and plain language, which greatly

help students in the learning of mathematics; Also, the teacher-student relationship is found to be very cordial.

Furthermore, to determine whether teacher's method of teaching affect students performance in mathematics, a chi-squared method was employed and item 4 and 5 of table 4.15 and item 6 and 7 of table 4.16 were computed for the analysis.

| Item | Agree | | Disag | ree | Neutral | |
|---------------------|-------|-------|-------|-----|---------|------|
| | 0 | E | 0 | E | 0 | E |
| 4 Class Handling | 135 | 135.5 | 158 | 144 | 7 | 20.5 |
| 5 Use of Cane | 137 | 135.5 | 156 | 144 | 7. | 20:5 |
| 6 Use of Maths-Game | 82 | 135.5 | 188 | 144 | 30 | 20.5 |
| 7 Use of Simple And | | | | | | |
| Clear Lang. | 188 | 135.5 | 74 | 144 | 38 | 20.5 |
| Total | 542 | 542 | 576 | 576 | 82 | 82 |

Table 4.17Analysis of Methodology on Students Performance in
Mathematics

 $X^2 = 128.5$, df = 3, P < 0.01 critical value 11.34

Where O = observed Frequency E = Expected Frequency

At a degree of freedom (df) of 3 and significance level of 0.01, the critical (i.e. table) value is .11.341. The calculated value is 128.5. Since the calculated values 128.5 is greater than the critical value 11.341, then the HO₁ (the null hypothesis one) is rejected. Hence, there is significant influence on the teacher's method of teaching students' mathematics.

Other factors responsible for the poor performance in mathematics could be the fact that, the schools did not have enough mathematics teachers; this is evident from the responses by the students. Out of a total number of 300 students 194 disagreed. This shows that inadequate supply of mathematics teachers is a factor that contributes to the poor performance in mathematics, since it was opined that the period allotted for mathematics was enough. The responses with regard to the class size indicated that the classes were too large and this affected the effective learning of mathematics as 55% disagreed and 10% stay neutral, this could also be a contributory factor to the student's performance in the subject.

On whether the school had sufficient mathematics textbooks for the students, item. 13 on table 4.16 reveals that there were no sufficient mathematics textbooks for the students 77% of the number of students interviewed disagreed to the statement that, their schools had enough mathematics textbooks, 13% agree and 10% remained neutral. Item 14,15 and 18 of table 4.16 reveals the importance of mathematics laboratory and its effect on learning materials, 80% of the respondents agreed that practical lesson in mathematics is the best way of learning the subject Item 16 and 17 buttressed the importance and effectiveness of a good mathematics textbooks, this is the more reason why students were forced to have their own copy as shown on the table 4.16. Also membership of a functional mathematics club is considered to be a factor in the improvement of learning mathematics in our schools and the responses was in affirmative.

The major finding of this chapter can be summoned up as follows:-

- 1. The supply of qualified mathematics teacher to our secondary schools is inadequate and unstable and this resulted in saddling the available teacher with too many mathematics lesson periods per week.
- 2. Most of the schools are staffed with unqualified and less experienced mathematics teachers who are ignorant of the learning theories. As a result students' needs, interest and desires are not easily identified and catered for.
- 3. Textbooks in use by the students have been found to be insufficient. Majority of the students do not have the opportunity of practicing on their own. This is an important factor, which impede their progress since it is always said that 'practice makes perfection.'

- 4. Mathematics laboratories are non-existence in schools, their absence results in most of the schools not having instructional aid which should help to bring about efficiency on the part of the teacher.
- 5. The teacher student ratio in the schools is too large. On the average, this stands at 1:285. Such a large ratio results in inefficiency on the part of the teacher.

All the above findings have shown that there are serious problems as regards learning of mathematics in our secondary schools. The implications of these findings and other findings will be seen in the next chapter.

CHAPTER FIVE

SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

5.1 Introduction

This chapter discusses the main findings of the study and this is followed by necessary recommendations, the study was aimed at delving into various instructional, learning and material problems that impede the learning of mathematics at the secondary schools. It has been observed that many students perform very poorly in mathematics both at the School's Terminal Examinations as well as in the Senior School Certificate Examinations (SSCE). Consequently, this study aimed at looking into the main factors militating against students' learning of the subject.

5.2 Major Findings

After a careful and comprehensive analysis of the data, it was found among other things, that one of the major factors responsible for students' continued poor performance in mathematics is the shortage of well qualified and experience mathematics teachers in our secondary schools. Most of the secondary schools have been found to be staffed with unqualified and less experienced mathematics teachers who themselves are not well versed in subject. Consequently, students' needs, interests and desires are not easily identified and catered for. This shortage of well-qualified mathematics teacher lends credence to what Bill (1977) said; "that the supply of mathematics teacher is a continuing problem; through universities and colleges of education have expanded in the country, the production of specialists in mathematics is very minimal and shortage in mathematics graduates in teaching continued unabated."

The study revealed that the teacher student ratio in the secondary schools is too large. The overall average from the area covered stand at 1:285. This large ratio is not unconnected with the inadequate staff strength in the schools. Since the required number of teachers is not available, obviously the few teachers around would have to deal with so many students. The resultant effect in such a situation is inefficiency on the part of the teacher.

Another very important result from the study is that mathematics teacher have been found to be saddled with too many mathematics-lesson periods per teacher per week. On the average, each mathematics teacher is expected to teach twenty five (25) periods per week. The implication of this is that, going by the fact that each class of secondary schools is allotted five periods of mathematics lesson per week, then each teacher has to teach five classes every week. If one consider the number of student per class which is at times about fifty students, the five classes for each teacher is too much to cope with, especially, if one considers the fact that much attention should be given to the students by the teacher so as to allow for proper understanding of the subject.

It was also found during this study that the conditions of service of teacher did not generally commensurate with the load of classroom work. Besides, it has specifically been observed that conditions of service in teaching in Niger State are poor and unsatisfactory. According to Coverdale (1973) "one of the main problems affecting teachers' morale is condition of service which transcends all other considerations." The lack of prestige and professional recognition also affects the morale of teachers. Thus poor conditions of services of teachers are bound to affect educational output adversely on the part of the teacher.

Further more; it was found that more experienced teachers of mathematics tended to have a more positive attitude to teaching than did their less experienced colleagues. Out of seventy (70) teachers interviewed, twenty (20) of them have teaching experienced below five (5) years (i.e. 28.5%), fifteen (15) out of this number were degree holders without any professional training. These categories of teachers can leave the teaching line for a more lucrative job at the least opportunity. However fifty (50, i.e. 71.5%) of the seventy (70)

53

have to share a textbook and some students may be playing when any task inform of assignment of exercise(s) is given from the text books. This also lead to inability of the students without these textbook to practice on their own or fail to do assignment given to them by the teacher.

Coupled with the problem of textbook is the revelation that majority of the schools do not have mathematics laboratory. This has resulted in lack of instructional aid, since the existence of a mathematics laboratory is an incentive for acquiring teaching or instructional aid for student's use. In fact a mathematics laboratory is some form of resources center where students can be encouraged to make some of the materials themselves. They are places where students can easily go to have a practical experience of certain mathematics concepts or objects instead of always having to picture these in abstract.

Consequently, most schools do not have any appreciable teaching/ instructional aids for teaching and learning of mathematics and students are not afforded the opportunity of the practical experience of mathematics.

5.3 Recommendations

For mathematics to be meaningful to students and students to really perform well in the subject, it is recommended in the first place that our secondary schools should be staffed with well-qualified mathematics teachers who in the course of their training must have learnt human psychology and methodology. It has always been said that the quality, of education of a nation generally depends on the quality of her teachers and quality, of education of a nation generally depends on the quality of her teachers and quality of teachers depend largely on the kind of training that the teacher received both at the academic and professional levels.

Since the students in a secondary school is for a short time and is malleable, it is recommended that the best teacher of mathematics should always be assigned to handle form one (i.e. new entrants), since this will yield a better dividends in the long run; if a strong foundation is laid form the early stage and interest is developed in the subject right from the beginning there is every hope that the students will continue to enjoy mathematics and will perform well in it. It is also recommended that the supply of well-qualified mathematics teachers should be of priority so as to offset the problem of large teachers student ratio. The continued increase students enrolment implies a corresponding increase in the number of mathematics teachers in the schools. There is, therefore, the need to step-up the training of more N.C.E and Graduates teacher in mathematics education. In order to do this, prospective mathematics teachers should be encouraged to read mathematics education at the already expanded Colleges of Education and Faculties of Education at the various Colleges and Universities. Such encouragement may be in the form of providing financial help to teachers who want to read for a higher qualification in Mathematics. This is very necessary since through such incentives more prospective students may take to reading Mathematics. As at present, the supply of mathematics graduates is a continuing problem. Also, graduates teachers without teaching qualification, to acquire professional qualification by way of in-service training with pay. This will make them more functional in the schools since by acquiring the necessary methodology and hence stay in the service.

The question of organizing in-service programmes for teachers with a view to up-dating mathematics teacher's knowledge should also be considered. Teacher education is a life long and continuous affair, which requires constant on-the-jobin-service re-appraisals of knowledge and skills. In-service training is, therefore, necessary for mathematics teachers whether in the subject matter or in the instructional strategies or even in the methods of developing, producing, using and repairs of audio-visual materials for facilitating student learning. As Adaralegbe (1975) put it, "In-service and on-the-job training is a sine-qua-non of the effective teachers". Mathematics teachers should be given in-service training so as to help them to retrieve, since ideas tends to become inert and therefore need renovation. It is also recommended that a functional Teachers' Resource Center be established as centers where they can acquaint themselves with new ideas and therefore need renovation. It is also recommended that a functional Teachers' Resource Center be established as centers where they can acquaint themselves with new ideas and development in teaching methods.

For students to enjoy mathematics, it is recommended that a little imagination is brought to bear and student should have a lively awareness of the part played by mathematics in their own live, and its importance to human welfare. Students should be motivated to develop a positive attitude towards mathematics. Mathematics contents, attitude towards problem-solving, attitude towards the perception of the mathematics teacher, self concept in mathematics, enjoyment of mathematics as well as proper motivation are particularly very important to the development of positive attitude towards mathematics.

On the textbooks, it is recommended that, every student be made to have his/her own textbook, In cases where textbooks examples are irrelevant to students' real life situation the teacher should endeavour to find ways and means of using examples that will have some bearing on students' life. This will pave way for a proper understanding of the subject and enhance better performance. Other associated textbooks should also be made available in the school library. Exercises for the students should always be to their abilities. The teacher should also respect the personality of the student and should minimize occasions of frustration in failure in mathematics. Praise and re-proof should be used judiciously.

Finally it is recommended that each school be provided with a well-equipped modern library, such library must have among their other things various books on mathematics and mathematical games and puzzles. In addition, each school should also be provided with a mathematical laboratory. Among the various instructional aids to be stored in the laboratory are various mathematical shapes, games and puzzles, charts, maps, television and video set as well as mathematics books. With these, students would be afforded opportunity of constructing their own teaching aids under the direction of the teacher. This will further improve students understanding of mathematics since they will no longer think of the subject as being abstract.

It is belief and hoped that once, all the above recommendations are strictly considered, students would come to enjoy mathematics and consequently improve their performance in the subject at the various examinations.

5.4 Contribution to Knowledge

The knowledge of mathematics will be increasingly important for individuals who have options for careers and higher education. Almost all careers require a background in mathematics, even any kind of business activities including selling by stark illiterates still require a measure of mathematics however simple it may be.

Therefore, the results of the analysis, suggestions and recommendations of this study will contribute in no small way to a better mathematics education. The contribution of the study to education include identifying problems with suggestions and recommendations to the stake holders in education to:-

- i) Encourage the formation of mathematics clubs and societies, which will generate and sustain the student's interest in mathematics.
- ii) Introduce mathematics-laboratories in schools which will provide the teachers and students with adequate teaching facilities and materials required for the effective teaching and learning of mathematics more practical and interesting, this help to wipe the negative attitude of the students towards the subject.
- iii) Make sure that there is enough qualified mathematics teachers, a functional guidance and counseling unit and good condition of learning environment for students.
- iv) Encourage the use of mathematics games in teaching; And
- v) Encourage mathematics teachers to attend and participate in the organized refresher courses, seminars, workshops and conferences so as to be more productive.

5.5 Conclusion

In this study an attempt has been made to look into the instructional, learning and material problems affecting the effective learning of mathematics in Secondary Schools in Niger State. Among such problems are:-

- i) In-adequate supply of well qualified mathematics teachers to the schools.
- ii) Low staff strength in the schools resulting on large teacher-student ratio.
- iii) Mathematics teachers are saddled with too many mathematics lesson periods per teacher per week resulting in inefficiency on the part of the teacher.
- iv) Poor and unsatisfactory condition of service of teachers, which affect their morale.
- v) Poor and out-moded instructional strategy by teachers of mathematics, which lead to rote learning of mathematics.
- vi) Inadequate supply of mathematics textbooks to the students.
- vii) Non-availability of mathematics laboratory in schools, which lead to none or inadequate availability of instructional aids, since a mathematics laboratory is where such aids could be developed and stored. And
- viii) In-service training, which aims at offering a wide variety of opportunities for professional growth, is inadequate.

Finally, I would like to suggest to the mathematics teachers to associate themselves with the following purpose of teaching mathematics in the secondary schools. These are in two folds, one, for its social and utility value to mankind and secondly for laying the foundation of the future endeavour. Because the ways in which mathematics teachers have taught this subject have produced in general, a kind of apathy, a low level of competence, a low level of motivation and a general conception that mathematics is intractable and relevant to only person embarking on some scientific career.

5.6 Suggestions for Further Study

In view of the limitations of the study (earlier mentioned in chapter one), it is suggested for further study that:-

Investigation should be carried out to examine:

- i) The effect of poor service condition on the performance of mathematics teachers in terms of their productivity, And
- ii) The effect of the use of mathematics Laboratory on the teaching

and learning of mathematics in secondary schools.

Research on problems and prospects of teaching and learning should also be carried out in other states of the Federation. These would help us to identify the problems and prospects at national level.

REFERENCE

Abolade, A. O. (1976) Teaching Skills, Principles and Practice of Instruction; Ilorin Series in Curriculum and Teaching. P. 105 - 110

Abolade, A.O. (1986) The role of learning and instructional material in the Nigerian Education industry; **Ilorin Series in Curriculum and Teaching** P. 30

Adaralegbe, A.O. (1975) Preparing the Primary School Social Studies teacher; West African Journal of Education (WAJE) Vol. XIX, No. 1 P. 25 Adegboye, A.O. (1979) Solution to students' Drifting away from Mathematics: The Science Projector 1 (1) P. 15-23.

Adegboye, A.O. (1996) The role of Mathematics in Economics and Technological Development of Nigeria; Lafiagi Journal of Science Education 1(1) P.18-22

Adeniyi, M.E. (1987) Sex Differences in Mathematical Performance, Possible Causes and suggestions For improvement; Paper presented at the International Conference on Mathematics and its applications by the Nigerian Mathematics and its applications by the Nigerian Mathematical society and the Mathematical Association of Nigeria, Held at ABU Zaria.

Adeyemo, T.A. (1999) Student Poor Performance in Mathematics at SSCE and its consequential effect on Technological Development in Nigeria; Paper **Presented at the 36th Annual Conference of MAN**, Held at FUT Minna.

Aikens, L.R. (1961) The effect of attitudes on performance in mathematics: Journal of Educational Psychology Vol. 52 P. 12-24

Aikens, L.R. (1970) Attitudes toward Mathematics; Review of Educational Research Vol. 40 P. 18

Aikens L.R. (1971) The Scale of Attitudes toward Mathematics; Journal of Research in Mathematics Education, Vol. 5 P. 67 – 77

Aikens, L.R. (1977) Update on Attitude and other effective variables in learning Mathematics; Review of Educational Research, Vol. 46. No 2 P. 48

Akintayo, A.I. (1994) Toward Solving Problem of Learning and Teaching of Financial Accounting in FCE (T) Bichi; **SOBE Quarterly Seminar Series** Vol. 6 P. 28

Aliyu, A. (1982) Science Teaching in Nigeria; Ilorin, Atoto Press Ltd.;

Aminu, J. (1995) Quality and stress in Nigeria Education; Kaduna, Northern Nigeria Publishing Company. P. 89

Anumudu, E.N (1999) Improving Primary Mathematics towards Technological Development in Nigeria; Paper Presented at the 36th Annual Conference of MAN, Held at FUT Minna.

Armstrong, J.M. (1986) Achievement and participation of women in Mathematics-Results of two National Survey; Journal for Research in Mathematics Education P. 356 – 372

Azuka, F.B. (1999) Mathematics in Technological Development Focus on the Next millennium: implication for Secondary Education; Paper Presented at the 36th Annual Conference MAN, Held at FUT Minna. P. 4

Babalola, B.A (1971) Conceptual Analysis of Instructions as model for Teaching Plan; West African Journal of Education (WAJE) Vol. 16 No. 3 P. 80

Badger, M.E. (1981) Why Aren't Girls better at Mathematics?; A review of Research, Educational Research The Journal of the NEER Vol. 24 No. 1 P. 60 – 70

Beckman, M.W. (1970) Basic Competencies-Twenty-five years ago, Ten years ago and Now; Mathematics Teachers Vol. 21, P. 102 – 106

Behr, E. E. (1968) Achievement, Aptitude and Altitude in Mathematics; **Two Years College Mathematics Journal.** Vol. 4

Biggs, E. E. (1968) Mathematics Laboratory and Teacher's Centre The Mathematics Revolution in Britian; **The Arithmetic Teacher** Vol. 15 No 5 P 400-408 Biggs, E.E. (1969) "Teaching of Mathematics at Primary level" Mathematics Common Wealth schools; London, Marlborough House P. 75 - 80

Bill, B. (1977) Mathematics in Maintained Schools in England; Mathematics Teaching – Journal of Association of Teachers of Mathematics No 79 P.48

Bolaji C. (1985) Strategies used by secondary School Students to solve word Problems; **Paper Presented during a workshop for Secondary School Teachers in Zaria**, Held at ERC Zaria.

Bolaji C. (1995) Effect of three instructional approaches on student achievement in Algebraic word problems in JSS III; Un-published Ph. D. Thesis, ABU, Zaria Nigeria.

Bolaji C. (1997) Sex Difference and the use of two approaches in the teaching of Algebra on JSS students performance; Paper presented at the 30th Annual Conference of MAN

Bruner, J. (1966) Toward a theory of Instruction; Harward, The Belknap Press

Chike, O. (1986) The Role of Mathematics in science and Technology: Paper Delivered at F.U.T (Minna) Convocation.

Clarkson, M. (1970) A Mathematics Laboratory for prospective teachers; The Arithmetic Teacher 17(1) P. 75-78

Coles, W. D. (1959) Unified Mathematics; West African Journal of Education (WAJE) Vol. 10 No 1 P. 18

Collier, C.P (1970) The formal – informal Dimension of altitude Towards Mathematics; **Dissertation Abstract International** 31, 660A – 661A

Coverdale, G.M. (1973) Some Determinants of Teachers Morale in Australia; Educational Research Vol. 16 No 1 P. 45

Davidson, P.S and Fair, A.W. (1970) A Mathematics Laboratory from dream to reality; The Arithmetic Teacher 17 (2) P. 105 – 110

Encyclopedia of Education (1985) American International Edition; New York, American Corporation Vol. 17 P. 293 – 294

Ezeilo, J.O.C. (1981) Mathematics in Science and Technology; The proceeding of 30th Annual conference of MAN P. 27 – 47

Ekwo, O.S. (1986) The cross River State Educator; Nigeria Union of Teachers' Journal.

Fajemidagba, O. (1976) Questions And Questioning Techniques in Teaching, Principles and Practice of Instruction; **Horin series in Curriculum and Teaching** P. 111

Fakuade, R.A. (1973) Preparation of Primary Schools Mathematics Teacher: West African Journal Education (WAJE) Vol. 17 No. 2 P. 50 – 60

Fakuade, R.A. (1975) Mathematics Education in Nigeria Today; West

African Journal on Education (WAJE) Vol. 19 No. 3 P. 26 - 30

Fakuade, R.A. (1977) Mathematics as a source of subject; Journal of STAN Vol. 16 No. 1

Fakuade, R.A. (1977) The case for modern Mathematics; West African Journal on Education (WAJE) Vol. XXVII No.2 P. 70

Fakuade, R.A. (1980) The controversy about Mathematics Education in Nigeria; West African Journal for Research on Education (WAJE) Vol. 28, No. 2 P. 20 - 36

Fennema, E. (1984) Sex – related Differences in Mathematics Achievement, where and why; Journal for Research in Mathematics Education, Vol. 5, No. 6 P. 126 – 130

Fennema, E. & Carpenter, T. (1990) Mathematics and the sexes – In NCTM Classroom; Idea from Research on Secondary School Mathematics P 45 – 50

Fennema, E. & Sherman, J. A. (1984) Sex Related Differences in Mathematics Achievement and Related Factors – A further study; Journal for Research in Mathematics Education Vol. 9 No. 3 P. 186–201

Fed. Rep. Of Nig. (FGN-FME) (1981) National Policy on Education (Rev. Edition), Lagos, Fed. Min. of Information Printing Division

Fox, L.H. (1974) A Mathematics Programme for Fostering Pre-Conscious Achievement, John Hopkin, University Press

Gage, N.L. (1970) "Psychological Conception of Teaching" in Stone E (Edition)- Reading in Educational Psychology; London, Methuen & Co.,

Gagne, R. (1975) Essentials of Learning for Instructional; New York Holt, Princhart & Winston P. 95

Gainer, S & White, R. (1969) Trend in the measurement of personality; Review of Educational Research Vol. 39 P. 18

Gittleman A (1975) "History of Mathematics" in Scopes. P.G (Ed.) Mathematics in Secondary School a Teaching Approach; Syndics of Cambridge, University Press P. 48

Hansen V.P. (1986) Elementary Algebra Achievement in related to Teaching methods; Mathematics Teacher Vol. 69 P 226 – 232

Hirst, F. (1973) "What is teaching?" in Peters R.S. (Ed.) – The Philosophy of Education; London; Oxford University Press P. 165 – 178

Hornby, A.S. (1974) Oxford Advanced Learner's Dictionary of Current English; London, Oxford University Press

Ibrahim, A. (1979) Mathematics, Science and Society; Keynote speech at STAN Conference; Journal of STAN Vol. 17 P.6

Igboko, P. M. (1973) What is New in Mathematics; West African Journal on Education (WAJE) Vol. 17 No 2. P. 38

Kalejaiye, O. A. (1971) Individual differences to programmed Instruction in the New Mathematics, West African Journal on Education (WAJE) Vol. 15, No 3. P. 107

Kelly, W.A. (1965) Education Psychology (Rev. Edition); Bruce, Milwantee P. 109

Maccoby, E.E & Jacklin, C.N. (1974) **Psychology of Sex Differences**; Palo Alto, California Standford Uni-Press P 120 – 208

Marshall, S.P. (1983) Sex Difference in Mathematical Errors, An analysis of distractor choises; Mathematics Teacher Vol. 49 P. 18 – 19.

Mclean, L.R. (1984) Sex Difference in Mathematics Ability, Fact or Artifact?; Arithmetic Teacher, Vol. 13 P. 6 – 10

Momodu, M.S. (1978) New Approach sought for teaching science; Nigerian Herald Newspaper P. 5 15th June

Nevin, M. (1973) Sex Difference in participant in Mathematics and Science at Irish Schools and Universities; School Sciences and Mathematics

Nwaogu, P. O. (1990) "Factors which influence Teaching and Learning in Nigeria Primary Schools" in Maduewes E. T. (Ed) General Methodology for Primary Schools; Onitsha, African – FEP Publishers Ltd. P. 78

Obodo, G.C. (1997) Principles and practice of Mathematics Education in Nigeria; Enugu, General Studies Division ESUTECH P. 59 – 78

Odili, G.A. (1990) Teaching Mathematics in the Secondary School; Obosi, Anachunu Educational Books P. 68

Odili, G.A. (1992) The training of NCE Mathematics in Secondary Schools with suggestions to minimise it; **The Science Projector** 1 (2) P 116 – 120

Ohuche, R.O. (1989) "Learning and Teaching the Senior Secondary School Mathematics in Anambra State. In Ohuche R. O. and Ali A (Eds) **Teaching Senior Secondary School Mathematics Creatively;** Onitsha summer Education Publisher Ltd.

Okorie, J.U (1979) Fundamental of Teaching Practice; Enugu, fourth Dimension Publishing Company P. 105 – 200

Oladosu, A.G. (1976) Language in Teaching and Learning – Principles and Produce of Instruction; Ilorin series in curriculum and Teaching P. 106

Oladosu, A.G. (1976) What are Learning Theories? Principle and Practice of Instruction; **Horin series in curriculum and Teaching** P. 65

Ormell, C.P. (1979) The problem of Analysing – understanding; Educational Research Vol. 22 No. 1 P.36 Preece, M (1979) Mathematics the unpredictability of girls; Mathematics Teaching No. 87 P. 9 - 43

Sair, A.S (1967) Principle of the Teaching Mathematics; London, Longman

Saliu, M.O. (1999) An analysis of students, Enrolment and performance in Mathematics at the senior Secondary School Certificate Level; Journal of Studies in Curriculum Vol. 5 & 6 No 1 & 2 P. 1-8

Sangoyomi, S (1988) Making Mathematics More Meaningful to students: The science projector 1 (2) P. 82 – 86

Schaefer, A.W & Mauth, A.A. (1970) Problem Solving with enthusiasm – the mathematics laboratory; **The Arithmetic Teacher** 17 (2) P. 25

Setidisho, N.O.A (1961) Aims in teaching of Mathematics; West African Journal on Education Vol. 5, No. 3 P.110

Sherman, J. (1972) Sex Related Differences in Mathematics Achievements; American Educational Research Journal. Vol. 14 No. 1

Skemp, R.R. (1971) Relational understanding, Instrumental understanding: Mathematics Teaching No. 77 P. 46

Solaru, T. (1964) **Teacher Training in Nigeria**; edited by Ian Espice Ibadan, University Press. P. 78 – 105

Starkey, K.T. (1971) The effect of Teacher's Comment on Altitude toward achievement in Secondary School Mathematics Classes; **Dissertation Abstract** International 32, 259A – 260A

Swarfford, J.O. (1986) Sex Differences in first year Algebra; Journal for Research in Mathematics Educational Vol. 11 P. 125 – 146

Swart, W.L (1967) A laboratory plan for teaching measurement in grades 1 - 8; The Arithmetic Teacher 14 (8) P. 652 - 653

Tyler, R. W (1971) **Basic Principles of Curriculum and Instruction**; Chicago The University of Chicago Press P. 340 – 405 Umar, H. (1995) Science, Technology and Economic Development, Educational and National Stability; Nsukka, University Trust Publisher P. 120

Watson, F.R (1976) **Development in Mathematics Teaching**; London Open- London Books, P. 197

Webb, R.J. (1972) A study of the effect of Anxiety and Altitudes upon Achievement; **Dissertation Abstract International** 32, 4997A – 4998A

APPENDIX I

· PRINCIPAL'S QUESIONNAIRE

A SURVEY OF FACTORS INFLUENCING EFFECTIVE TEACHING AND LEARNING OF MATHEMATICS IN SECONDARY SCHOOLS IN NIGER STATE

This questionnaire is strictly meant for the above study. It is hoped that, you help make the study succeed by responding to the contents of this questionnaire honestly.

Your responses will be treated confidentially.

INSTRUCTION – Please fill-in the space(s) provided with the appropriate statement(s) or word(s).

- My school has a total number of ______ students on roll.
- 2. The class-streams are as follow:
 - JSS 1;
 JSS 2;
 JSS 3

 SS 1;
 SS 2 And
 SS 3.
- 3. There are _____ mathematics teacher in this school
- 4. On the average, _____ periods a week are allocated to each mathematics teacher
- 5. On the average _____ periods, a week are allocated to the teacher of other subject
- 6. Has the supply of mathematics teachers to the school been stable for the past three years? Yes _____ or No _____
- 7. Is there any remarkable improvement in the performance of your students in the SSCE in mathematics in recent years? YES ____ or NO ____

- 8. If the answer to no. 7 above, is Yes what factors do you feel account for this?
- 9. If the answer to no. 7 above is No, what in your opinion is responsible?
- 10. Please, supply details of the SSCE results in mathematics over the past three years (1998/99 to 2000/2001)

| YEAR | NO. OF | STUDENT | THAT | PAS | SED | FAIL | ED |
|-----------|--------|----------|------|-----|-----|------|----|
| | тоок м | АТНЕМАТІ | CS | | | | |
| 1998/99 | | | | NO | % | NO | % |
| 1999/2000 | | | | | | | |
| 2000/2001 | | | | | | | |

.

- 11. The name of my school is
- 12. The school was established in the year

APPENDIX II

TEACHER'S QUESTIONNAIRE

A SURVEY OF FACTORS INFLUENCING EFFECTIVE TEACHING AND LEARNING OF MATHEMATICS IN SECONDARY SCHOOLS IN NIGER STATE

Dear Colleague,

The present study is an attempt to determine the factors influencing effective teaching and learning of mathematics in secondary schools in Niger State.

Since the study is an essential requirement, I would be grateful if you kindly assist in completing this questionnaire. All responses will be treated in confidence.

SECTION A

INSTRUCTION: - Kindly read each item and fill-in the blank/ spaces provided; or check appropriate options (s) acceptable to you.

| Name of the sch | ool | · · · |
|-----------------|---------------|----------------------------|
| Sex: Male (|) or Female (|) (tick the most suitable) |
| Nationality: | | |

4. Education qualification(s) (Tick \checkmark your choice)

a) M. Ed; M. Sc (Ed); M.A. (Ed);

b) B. Ed; B. Sc (Ed); B.A. (Ed); NCE & Diploma (Ed).

c) M. A; M. Sc;

d) B. A; B. Sc; HND & Diploma

e) None of the above.

- 5. Area of specialization
 - a) Mathematics (Major), Physics (Minor).
 - b) Mathematics (Major); Chemistry (Minor)
 - c) Mathematics (Major); Geog./Statistic (Minor)
 - d) None of the above
- 6. My mathematics periods in the school, per week is:
 - a) 10-20 periods
 - b) 21 30 periods
 - c) above 30 periods
- 7. I have been teaching mathematics for :
 - a) Less than 5 years
 - b) Between 5 years to 11 years
 - c) For 12 years or more.
- 8. I consider my stay in teaching as:
 - a) Temporary
 - b) Permanent
 - c) Undecided
- Do you have library in your school? Yes/No

10. My school is:

- a) Single stream per class
- b) Double streams per class
- c) Triple streams per class
- d) Quadrupled Streams per class
- e) None of the above.

SECTION B

INSTRUCTION – Please tick in the appropriate box indicating your attitude to each of the following statements.

Use the keys:

(1) Agree

(2) Disagree

(3) Neutral

| Statement | 1 | 2 | 3 |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1. I am a professionally trained teacher of mathematics | | | ~ |
| 2. I still have interest in the teaching of mathematics | | | |
| 3. The teaching periods for mathematics is not enough | | | |
| 4. The condition of service for teachers commensurate with the load of classroom work in my school. | | | |
| 5. There is a need for mathematics laboratory in secondary schools | | | |
| 6. The principal of my school is not ready to provide a mathematics laboratory on request. | | | |
| 7. The mathematics laboratory in this school is well equipped with the necessary instructional materials. | | | Ŧ |
| 8. This has sufficient mathematics textbooks for the students. | - | + | |
| 9. The language of the textbooks we use in this school is easy to understand for students and teachers. | | | |
| 10. Mathematics course contents demands much from the students, in a very short a time | | | |

| 11. The poor performance of students in Mathematics | | | |
|---|-----|-----|------|
| results from their poor performances in English Language | | | 1200 |
| 12. The mathematics syllabus is based on materials and | | | |
| criteria that are examinable and not necessarily useful for | | | |
| the individual or the society. | | | |
| 13. The practical work in mathematics is not very useful | | | |
| in the understanding of mathematical concepts. | | | |
| 14. There is provision for in- service training for | -1- | | |
| mathematics teachers. | | | |
| 15. A student who does well in mathematics is usually a | | - | |
| member of mathematics class. | | | |
| 16. In this school Mathematics classes are too large for | | | |
| effective teaching or learning situation. | | | |
| 17. This has shortage of experienced or trained | | | |
| mathematics teachers. | | | |
| 18. Present conditions of service in teaching are good and | | | |
| satisfactory. | | • 0 | |
| 19. Good condition of work of teachers have positive | | | |
| effects on student performance in the classroom. | | | |
| 20. Mathematics teachers do not mark or corrects most of | | | |
| the class- work or assignment given, in good time. | | | |
| | | | |

APPENDIX III

STUDENTS QUESTIONNAIRE

A SURVEY OF FACTORS INFLUENCING EFFECTIVE TEACHING AND LEARNING OF MATHEMATICS IN SECONDARY SCHOOLS IN NIGER STATE

Dear Student,

The purpose of this questionnaire is to collect information, which can be used to solve the factors influencing effective teaching and learning of mathematics in secondary schools in Niger State.

Please, respond to the following statements honestly. Every response will be treated confidentially.

SECTION A

INSTRUCTION: - Fill-in the gap provided with suitable response(s)

| 1 | Name of the school |
|----|--|
| 2. | Sex: Male () or Female () (tick (\checkmark) where applicable) |
| 3. | Class: |
| 4. | My best subject is: |
| 5. | The subject in which I use to score the least mark is |

SECTION B

INSTRUCTION – Please tick in the appropriate box indicating your attitude to each of the following statements.

- Use the keys:
- (1) Agree
- (2) Disagree
- (3) Neutral

| Statement | 1 | 2 | 3 |
|--|---|---|---------------------------|
| 1. I have much interest in learning mathematics | | - | |
| 2. I like to study mathematics because, I know it can help me in the study of other sciences and the likes. | | | .~ |
| 3. Mathematics is too abstract a subject and therefore difficult for me to study | | | |
| 4. Our teacher makes mathematics very difficult by the way he handles it in the classroom. | | | |
| 5. Our teacher uses cane or abusive language, whenever a student provides a wrong response to his question(s). | | | • • • • • • • • • • • • • |
| 6. Our teacher uses mathematical games when teaching and this make the lesson(s) very interesting. | | | |
| 7. Our teacher always present his mathematics lesson(s) in a very clear and plain language. | | | |
| 8. The relationship between the mathematics teacher and the student is very cordial. | | | |
| 9. We do not have enough mathematics teachers in our school. | | | |
| 10. The number of periods allocated for mathematics per week is not enough. | | | |

| 11. The mathematics classes are too large for the effective | | |
|--|--|--|
| teaching and learning of the subject. | | |
| 12. In my opinion the government encourages the learning of mathematics. | | |
| 14. There is a well-equipped mathematics laboratory in my school. | | |
| 15. In my school there is enough provision for teaching and learning materials. | | |
| 16. All students were forced to have the recommended textbook(s) in my school. | | |
| 17. The textbook(s) we use in mathematics is easy to understand and very suitable. | | |
| 18. The practical lesson in mathematics is the best way of learning the subject. | | |
| 19. There is a functional mathematics club in my school. | | |
| 20. In my opinion every students should be member of the mathematics club. | | |

APPENDIX IV

LIST OF POST PRIMÀRY SCHOOLS (PUBLIC)

Bida Zone

| LGA | SENIOR | JUNIOR | | |
|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| | BOARDING | DAY | | |
| GAIE GGSS Agaie | | DSS Baro | JSS Etsu gaie | |
| BIDA | Dendo S.S. Agaie | | | |
| | * GGSS Bida | * GDSS Bida | | |
| | * GC. Bida | NDSS Bida | | |
| | GSS Bida (BTC) | DSS E/Bida | | |
| | GTC Eyagi-Bida | MODEL Bida | | |
| EDATI | | DSS Enagi | JSS K/Bologi | |
| GBAKO | GSS Lemu | DSS Edozhigi | · JSS Sommajiko | |
| КАТСНА | GWVTC Katcha | IEC Katcha | JSS Gabi | |
| | | * DSS Kataeregi | JSS Bakeko | |
| | | DSS Badeggi | JSS Yinti | |
| | | DSS Katcha | JSS Batati | |
| LAVUN | GSS Doko | ADSS Bida | JSS W/Kede | |
| | GSC Kutigi | DSS Jima | JSS Muregi | |
| | | DSS Dabban | | |
| | | DSS Gaba | | |
| | | DSS Kutigi | | |
| LAPAI | GGSS Gulu | DSS Ebbo | JSS Evuti | |
| | MKSS Lapai | GDSS Lapai | JSS Gupe | |
| | | DSS Gulu | JSS Yelwa | |
| | | DSS Muye | • | |
| MOKWA | GSS Kpaki | * DSS K/Mokwa | JSS Kudu | |
| | GSS Bokani | | JSS Ja' agi | |
| A MARKEN AND | GSS Mokwa | | JSS Muwo | |
| | GVTC Jebba-North | | JSS Jebba North | |

* The school used.

77

Kontagora Zone

| LGA | SENIOR | JUNIOR | |
|-----------|-----------------|---------------|------------|
| | BOARDING | DAY | |
| AGWARA | | DSS Agwara | · · · |
| BORGU | * GSS N/Bussa | DSS Wawa | |
| | GSC N/Bussa | DSS Babanna | |
| | GTC N/Bussa | ADSS Wawa | |
| | CAILS N/Bussa | DSS Shagunnu | |
| | | DSS Karabonde | |
| KONTAGORA | GTC K/Gora | DSS G/Boka | |
| | MICSS K/Gora | DSS K/Gora | |
| | * GSS K/Gora | * GDSS K/Gora | |
| | GGC K/Gora | Model K/Gora | |
| MAGAMA | GSS N/Kanji | DSS Salka | JSS Kura |
| | CSS Ibeto | DSS Auna | |
| | GSS Nasko | | |
| MARIGA | | DSS Bangi | JSS Mariga |
| | | DSS Bobi | |
| MASHEGU | GSS S/Rami | | JSS Ibbi |
| RIJAU | GVTC Rijau | DSS Dukku | |
| | GGSS T/Magajiya | | |
| | GSS Rijau | | |
| WUSHISHI | * GSS Wushishi | * DSS Zungeru | |

* The school used

Minna Zone

| LGA | SENIOR | JUNIOR | |
|-----------|-----------------|--------------------------|---------------|
| | BOARDING | DAY | |
| BOSSO | MBGSC Minna | HTMS Minna | JSS Chanchaga |
| | GTC Minna | DSS Maitumbi | JSS Beji |
| | CAILS Minna | ADSS Minna | <u>_</u> |
| | | * DSS Maikonkele | |
| | | Bosso Sec. Sch. Minna | • |
| CHANCHAGA | * ABSS Minna | Zarmai Model Minna | |
| | GSS Minna | * DSS Minna | |
| | *GGSS Minna | DSS Tunga | |
| | GVTC Minna | WDC Minna | |
| | NSSH Minna | | |
| GURARA | GASS Dikko | DSS Dikko | JSS Kabo |
| | GSC Izom | DSS G/Babangida | |
| MUNYA | | | JSS S/Pawa |
| | | | JSS Guni |
| PAIKORO | * ADSS Paiko | DSS Kafinkoro | JSS Kwakuti |
| | GGSS Kafin-Koro | DSS Adunu | JSS Ishau |
| RAFI | GSS Tegina | AASS Kagara | JSS T/Bako |
| | GSC Kagara | | |
| | MKTC Pandogari | | |
| SHIRORO | GSS Kuta | DSS Tum-Tum | JSS Gurumana |
| | GSS Alawa | GDSS Kuta | JSS Shakwatu |
| • | | DSS Gwada | |
| | | DSS Erena | |
| | | DSS Shiroro NEPA | |
| SULEJA | SBTC Suleja | Model Suleja | |
| | GSS Suleja | DSS Suleja | |
| | | GDSS Suleja | |
| TAFA | GVTC N/Bwari | | JSS I/Gwari |
| | GGSS S/Wuse | | JSS Garam |

* The school used

APPENDIX V

CALCULATION OF CONTINGENCY TABLE

Calculation of Table 4.9

Where

 $E = \frac{row \ total \ of \ table \ 'a'(rij) \ X \ column \ total \ of \ age \ (cij)}{the \ grand \ total \ of \ table \ 'a'(r+c)} = gij$

 $=\frac{rij \ x \ cij}{gij}$

Then

$$X^{2} = \sum_{I=i,j}^{n} \frac{(oij - Eij)^{2}}{Eij}$$

= $\frac{(25-21)^{2}}{21} + \frac{(5-9)^{2}}{9} + \frac{(25-29)^{2}}{29} + \frac{(15-11)^{2}}{11}$
= $0.762 + 1.778 + 0.552 + 1.455s$
= 4.547
 $\approx 4.5 (1dp).$

Calculation of Table 4.11

Where

 $E = \frac{row \text{ total of table 'a' (rij) } X \text{ column total of age (cij)}}{the grand \text{ total of table 'a' (r + c) = gij}}$

 $=\frac{rij \ x \ cij}{gij}$

Then

$$X^{2} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{(oij - Eij)^{2}}{Eij}$$

= $\frac{(43 - 41)^{2}}{41} + \frac{(14 - 16)^{2}}{16} + \frac{(2 - 3)^{2}}{23} + \frac{(2 - 1)^{2}}{1} + \frac{(5 - 6)}{6} + \frac{(4 - 3)}{3}$
= $0.098 + 0.250 + 0.333 + 1.00 + 0.167$
= 0.333
= 2.281

Calculation of Table 4.15

Where

 $E = \frac{row \ total \ of \ 'a'(r) \ x \ column \ total \ 'a'(c)}{sum \ total \ of \ row (r) \ and \ column \ (c) = g}$ $= \frac{rij \ x \ cij}{sum \ column \ column \ (c) = g}$

gij

Then

$$\chi^{2} = \frac{\sum_{i=i,j}^{n} (Oij - Eij)^{2}}{Eij}$$

$$= \frac{(186 - 185)^2}{185} + \frac{(92 - 93)^2}{93} + \frac{(10 - 10)^2}{10} + \frac{(5 - 5)^2}{5} + \frac{(4 - 5)^2}{5} + \frac{(3 - 2)^2}{2}$$
$$= 0.005 + 0.011 + 0 + 0 + 0.2 + 0.5$$
$$= 0.716$$

Calculation of Table 4.17

Where

$$E = \frac{row \ total \ of \ 'a'(rij) \ X \ column \ total \ 'a'(cij)}{sum \ total \ of \ row (r) \ and \ column \ (c) = g}$$

 $=\frac{rxc}{g}$

Then,

$$X^{2} = \frac{\sum_{ij \in i}^{n} (o i j - E i j)^{2}}{E i j}$$

$$= \frac{(135 - 135.5)}{135.5} + \frac{(158 - 144)^{2}}{144} + \frac{(7 - 20.5)^{2}}{20.5}$$

$$+ \frac{(137 - 135.5)^{2}}{135.5} + \frac{(158 - 144)^{2}}{144} + \frac{(7 - 20.5)^{2}}{20.5}$$

$$+ \frac{(82 - 135.5)^{2}}{135.5} + \frac{(188 - 144)^{2}}{144} + \frac{(30 - 20.5)^{2}}{20.5}$$

$$+ \frac{(188 - 135.5)^{2}}{135.5} + \frac{(74 - 144)^{2}}{144} + \frac{(38 - 20.5)^{2}}{20.5}$$

$$= 0.0018 + 1.361 + 8.890 + 0.0166 + 1.000$$

$$+ 8.890 + 21.124 + 13.444 + 4.402$$

= 128.5 (Idp)

APPENDIX VI

The result of the pilot study conducted

| Question items | Teac | cher (| Questi | onnai | ires | | Stu | dents (| Quest | ionna | ires | |
|----------------|------|--------|--------|-------|----------|---|-----|----------|-------|----------|------|---|
| | Scho | ool X | | Sch | School Y | | Sch | School X | | School Y | | |
| | A | D | N | A | D | N | A | D | N | A | D | N |
| 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 5 _ | 3 | 2 |
| 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 3 |
| 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 3 |
| 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 2 | 2 |
| 5 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 2 |
| 6 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 7 |
| 7 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 1 |
| 8 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 |
| 9 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | ļ | 5 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 2 |
| 10 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3. | 4 | 3 |
| 11 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 2 |
| 12 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 |
| 13 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 6 |
| 14 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 1 |
| 15 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 3 |
| 16 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 2 |
| 17 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 2 |
| 18 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 |
| 19 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 . | 4 | 4 |
| 20 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 2 |

A =

Agree D

Disagree

e N

=

Neutral

APPENDIX VI a

Using the spearman's Rank correlation on the teachers' questionnaire

| Х | Y | Rx | Ry | D | D^2 |
|---|-----|----|----|----|-------|
| 3 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 0. | 0 |
| 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 . |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0. |
| 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 3 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 . |
| 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 2 . | 2 | 2 | 0. | .0 |
| 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | -1 | 1 |
| 4 | 3 | 1 | 2 | -1 | 1 |
| 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | | 0 | 4 |

$$R_{XY} = 1 - \frac{6\sum d^2}{N(N^2 - 1)} = 1 - \frac{6(4)}{20(399)}$$
$$= 1 - \frac{24}{7980}$$
$$= 0.997$$

Where

X = Teachers Response in school X Y = Teacher's response in school Y Rx = Ranking of the response in school X Ry = Ranking of the response in school Y D = Difference between Rx and Ry D^2 = Square of the differences

APPENDIX VI b

Using the spearman's Rank correlation coefficient on the students' questionnaire.

| Х | Y | Rx | Ry | D | D^2 |
|----|---|-----|----|-----|-------|
| 6 | 5 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 4 |
| 7. | 5 | 7 | 6. | 1 | 1 |
| 5 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 1 . | ·1 |
| 4 | 6 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 4 |
| 3 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 1 |
| 4 | 2 | 6 | 5 | * 1 | 1 |
| 5 | 3 | . 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 6 | 5 | . 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 4 | 4 | 1 | 2 | -1 | 1 . |
| 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | -1 | 1 |
| 2 | 5 | 0 | 1 | -1 | 1 |
| | | | | 9 | 15 |

$$Rxy = 1 - \frac{6\sum d^2}{N(N^2 - 1)} = 1 - \frac{6(15)}{20(399)}$$

= $1 - \frac{90}{7980}$
= 0.989
Where
X = Student's Response in school X
Y = Student's response in school Y
Rx = Ranking of the response in school X

Ry = Ranking of the response in school Y

Y

D = Difference between Rx and Ry

 $D^2 =$ Square of the differences