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#### Abstract

This research project was conceived within the framework of the philosophy that there are some initial value problems in which some components of the solution contain discontinuities.

In this attempt some topical review of earlier treatments of singular and discontinuous initial value problems were made.

A two-step numerical integrator is presented based on the inverse polynomial methods. The numerical results for the integrator are contrasted with some earlier works. The integrator converges rapidly when used to solve initial value problems with discontinuities i singularities in the solutions. The integrator is zero-stable and is well suited for singular and discontinuous initial value problems.
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## CHAPTER ONE

## General Introduction and Basic Mathematical Ideas

### 1.1 Historical Background

A branch of mathematics which has enjoyed almost three centuries of rigorous li.fe and whose early history tends more and more to be masked by the density of its later growth is Differential Equation. Yet our hazy knowledge of the birth and infancy of the science of differential equation condenses upon a remarkable date, the 11 th November, 1675, when Leibniz first set down on paper the equation

$$
\int y d y=3 / 2 y^{2},
$$

thereby not merely solving a simple differential equation, which was in itself a trivial matter, but what was an act of great moment, forging a powerful tool, the integral sign.

The early history of the infinitesimal calculus abounds in instances of problems solved through the agency of what were virtually differential equations; it is even true to say that the problem of integration which may be regarded as the solution of the simplest of all types of differential equations, was a practical problem. Particular cases of the inverse problem of tangents, that is the problem of determining a curve whose tangents are subjected to a particular law, were successfully dealt with before the invention of the calculus.

But the historical value of science depends not upon the number of particular phenomena it can present but rather upon the power it has of coordinating diverse facts and subjecting them to one simple code. That was what Newton considered when
he classified differential equations of the first order, that time known as fluxional equations, into three classes.

The first clss is composed of those equations in which two fluxions $x^{\prime}$ and $y^{\prime}$ and one fluent $x$ or $y$, are related. For example

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \qquad y^{\prime}=f(x) \text { or } \frac{d y}{d x}=f(x) \\
& \text { and } y^{\prime}=f(y) \text { or } \quad \frac{d y}{d x}=f(y)
\end{aligned}
$$

The second class composed of those equations which involve two fluxions and two fluents. That is

$$
y^{\prime}=f(x, y) \text { or } \frac{d y}{d x}=f(x, y) \text {. }
$$

The third class is made up of equations which involve more than two fluxions; these are known as partial differential equation.

By the end of the seventeenth century practically all the known elementary methods of solving differential equations of the first order had been brought to light. The problem of determing the orthogonal trajectories of a oneparameter family of curves was solved by John Bernoulli in 1698; the problem of oblique trajectories presented no further difficulties. In early years of eighteenth century a number of problems which led to differential equations of the second or third orders were discovered. In 1696 James Bernoulli formulated the isoperimetric problem, or the problem of determing curves of a given perimeter which shall under given conditions , enclose a maximum area. Some
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five years later he published equation of the third order. The problem of trajectories in a general sense and in particular trajectories defined by the knowledge point gave rise to differential equations of the second order. Thus for example, John Bernoulli, discussed an equation which would be written as

$$
\frac{d^{2} y}{d x^{2}}=\frac{2 y}{x^{2}} ;
$$

and stated that it gave rise to three types of curves, parabola, hyperbola and a class of curves of third order.

## Numerical Methods of Ordinary Differential Equations

Of all the ordinary differential equations of the first order, only certain very special types admit of explicit integration, and when an equation which is not of one or other of these types arises in a practical problem the investigator has to fall back upon purely numerical methods of approximating the required solution.

Consider the differential equation

$$
\frac{d y}{d x}=f(x, y) \quad \text { or } \quad y^{\prime}=f(x, y) .
$$

It will be supposed that the initial value $\left(x_{O}, y_{O}\right)$ is not singular with respect to the equation, and that a solution exists which can be developed in Taylor series, thus:

$$
K=h y^{\prime}+\frac{h^{2} y^{\prime \prime}}{2!}+\frac{h^{3} y^{\prime \prime}}{3!}+\frac{h^{4}}{4!} y^{\prime v}+\cdots
$$

where $h=x-x_{0}$, and $K=y-y_{O}$ and $h$ is sufficiently small.
Now the coefficients in the Taylor series may be calculated as follows:

$$
y^{\prime}=f(x, y),
$$

$$
y^{\prime \prime}=\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}+f \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}
$$

$y^{\prime \prime}=\frac{\partial^{2} \mathrm{f}}{\partial \mathrm{x}^{2}}+\frac{2 \mathrm{f} \partial^{2} \mathrm{f}}{\partial \mathrm{f} \partial \mathrm{f}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \mathrm{f}}{\partial \mathrm{y}^{2}}+\frac{(\partial \mathrm{f}}{\partial \mathrm{x}}+\mathrm{f} \frac{\partial \mathrm{f}) \partial \mathrm{f}}{\partial \mathrm{y}) \partial \mathrm{y},}$ and so on ....
but the increasing complexity of these expressions renders the process impracticable. The actual method adopted in practice is Runge's method which is an adaptation of Gauss' method of numerical integration. Four numbers $K_{1}, K_{2}, K_{3}, K_{4}$ are defined as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{K}_{1}=\mathrm{hf}\left(\mathrm{x}_{0}, \mathrm{y}_{0}\right) \\
& \mathrm{K}_{2}=\mathrm{hf}\left(\mathrm{x}_{0}+\alpha \mathrm{h}, \quad y_{0}+\beta \mathrm{K}_{1}\right) \\
& \mathrm{K}_{3}=\mathrm{hf}\left(\mathrm{x}_{0}+\alpha_{1} \mathrm{~h}, \quad y_{0}+\beta_{1} \mathrm{k}_{1}+\mu_{2} \mathrm{k}_{2}\right) \\
& \mathrm{K}_{4}=\mathrm{hf}\left(\mathrm{x}_{0}+\alpha_{2} \mathrm{~h}, \quad y_{0}+\beta_{2} \mathrm{k}_{1}+\mu_{2} \mathrm{k}_{2}+\delta_{2} \mathrm{k}_{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the nine constants $\alpha, \beta, \ldots \delta_{2}$, and four weights $R_{1}$, $R_{2}, R_{8}, R_{4}$ are to be determined so that the expression
$\mathrm{R}_{1} \mathrm{~K}_{1}+\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{~K}_{2}+\mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{~K}_{3}+\mathrm{R}_{4} \mathrm{~K}_{4}$ agrees with the Taylor series up to and including the term in $h^{4}$.

The method above can be extended to systems of any number of equations of the first order, and therefore to
equations of order higher than the first. For a system of two equations.

$$
\frac{d y}{d x}=f(x, y, z), \frac{d z}{d x}=g(x, y, z)
$$

if the initial values are that

$$
y=y_{0}, z=z_{0} \text { when } x=x_{0} \text {, then Runge's method for }
$$ the increment $K$ and $L$ which $y_{0}$ and $z_{0}$ receive when $x_{0}$ is increased by h are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{1}=h f\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}\right), \\
& K_{2}=h f\left(x_{0}+1 \leqslant h, y_{0}+3 \leqslant K_{0}, z_{0}+\xi_{2} L{ }_{1}\right) \\
& K_{3}=h f\left(x_{0}+3 \leqslant h, y_{0}+3 / k_{2}, z_{0}+3 L_{2}\right) \\
& K_{4}=h f\left(x_{0}+1 \leqslant h, y_{0}+k_{3}, y_{0}+L_{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
L_{1}=h g\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}\right)
$$

$$
L_{2}=h g\left(x_{0}+3 \leqslant h, y_{0}+3_{2} k_{1}, z_{0}+3_{2} L_{1}\right)
$$

$$
L_{3}=h g\left(x_{0},+b_{2} h, y_{0}+1_{2} k_{2}, z_{0}+b_{2} L_{2}\right)
$$

$$
L_{4}=h g\left(x_{0}+h, y_{0}+k_{3}, z_{0}+L_{3}\right)
$$

$$
k=\frac{1}{6}\left(k_{1}+2 k_{2}+2 k_{3}+k_{3}\right)
$$

$$
L=\frac{1}{6}\left(L_{1}+2 L_{2}+2 L_{3}+L_{4}\right)
$$

In its original form the method discussed above is due to Runge; later modifications are due, among others to Kutta. Hence the method is later called Runge-Kutta method.

### 1.1.2 Singular Solutions

Singular solutions were discovered in a rather surprising manner. Brook Taylor set out to discover the solution of a certain differential equation which, in modern symbolism, would be written as:

$$
\left(1+x^{2}\right)^{2} \frac{(d y)^{2}}{d x}=4 y^{3}-4 y^{2}
$$

He substituted $y=u^{\lambda} v^{\mu}$, where
$u$ and $v$ were new variables and $\lambda$ and $\mu$ contants to be determined, and so transformed the equation into:

$$
\left(1+x^{2}\right)^{2}\left(\mu u \frac{d v}{d x}+\lambda v \frac{d u)^{2}}{d x}=4 u^{\lambda+2} v^{\mu+2}-4 u^{2} v^{2}\right.
$$

In this equation there are three elements whose choice is unrestricted, namely $\lambda, \mu$ and $v ; u$ is then the new dependent variable

Firstly let

$$
v=1+x^{2}
$$

then, dividing through by $\left(1+x^{2}\right)^{2}$, the equation becomes

$$
\left(2 \mu x u+\lambda v \frac{d y}{d x}\right)^{2}=4 u^{\lambda+2} v^{\mu}-4 u^{2} .
$$

Now let $\lambda=-2, \mu=1$ and the equation reduces to

$$
\left(2 x u-2 v \frac{d u}{d x}\right)^{2}=4 v-4 u^{2}
$$

that is

$$
\left(1+x^{2}\right) u^{2}-2 x u v \frac{d u}{d x}+v^{2} \frac{(d u)^{2}}{d x}=v
$$

or, since $v=1+x^{2}$,

$$
u^{2}-2 x u \underset{d x}{d u}+v \frac{(d u)^{2}}{d x}=1
$$

Now, if this equation is differentiated with respect to $x$, the derived equation is

$$
\frac{2 d^{2} u}{d x^{2}}\left(v \frac{d u-x u)}{d x}=0\right.
$$

and breaks up into two equations namely

$$
\frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}=0, \quad \frac{v d u}{d x}-x u=0
$$

The first gives

$$
\frac{d^{2} u}{d x^{2}}=a
$$

where $a$ is constant; when this value is substituted in the differential equation for $u$, the later degenerates into the algebraic equation; $(u-a x)^{2}=1-a^{2}$.

The general solution of the original equation is therefore

$$
y=\frac{v}{u^{2}}=\frac{1+x^{2}}{\left(a x+\sqrt{1}-a^{2}\right)^{2}}
$$

The second equation,

$$
\frac{v d u}{d x}-x u=0
$$

taken in conjunction with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u^{2}-2 x u \frac{d u}{d x}+v\left(\frac{d u}{d x}\right)^{2}=1 \\
& \text { gives } 1=u^{2}-\frac{2 x^{2}}{v} u^{2}+\frac{x}{2}_{v}^{u^{2}} \\
& \text { Or } v=u^{2}\left(v-x^{2}\right)=u^{2} \\
& \therefore y=\frac{v}{u^{2}}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

This is truly a solution of the original equation, but it cannot be derived from the general solution by attributing a particular value to a. It is therefore a singular solution.

### 1.2 Definitions

In this project the following definitions shall be adopted.

### 1.2.1 Differential Equation

A differential equation is a relationship between the differential $d x$ and $d y$ of two vairables $x$ and $y$. Such relationship in general explicitly involves the variable $x$ and $y$ together with other sumbols $a, b, c . .$. which represent constants. In.other words, differential equations can be understood to include any algebraical or transcendental equalities which involve either differentials or differential coefficients. But it should be understood that differential equation is not an identity.

### 1.2.2 Initial Value Problems

The general form of the ordinary differential equation can be put in the form

$$
L[Y]=r
$$

$\qquad$ (1.2.1)
where $L$ is a differential operator and $r$ is a given function of the independent variable $x$. A linear differential equation of order $n$ can be expressed in the form

$$
L[Y]=\sum_{p=v}^{n} f_{p}(x) y^{p}(x)=r(x)
$$

$\qquad$
in which $f_{p}(x)$ are known functions. The general non linear differential equation of order $n$ can be written as

$$
\dot{F}\left[x, y, y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime} \ldots y^{(n-1)}, y(n)\right]=0
$$

$\qquad$ (1.2.3)

Or $y^{(n)}(x)=f\left[x, y, y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime} \ldots y^{(n-1)}\right]$ $\qquad$ (1.2.4)
where $x \in[a, b]$
The general solution of the nth order ordinary differential equation contains $n$ independent arbitrary constants. In order to determine the arbitrary constants in the general solution if the $n$ conditions are prescribed at one point, these are the initial conditions. The differential equation together with an initial conditions is called the initial value problem. Thus, the nth order initial value problem can be expressed as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y^{n}(x)=f\left(x, y, y^{\prime}, y^{\prime \prime} \ldots y^{(n-1)}\right) \\
& y^{p}\left(x_{0}\right)=y_{0}^{(p)}, \quad p=0,1,2 \ldots \ldots n-1
\end{aligned}
$$

If the $n$ conditions are prescribed at more than one point, these are called boundary conditions. The differential equation together with boundary condtions is called boundary value problem.

### 1.2.3 Numerical Methods

Consider the differential equation $\theta$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y^{\prime}=f(x, y), y\left(x_{0}\right)=y_{0} \\
& x \in[a, b]
\end{aligned}
$$

The numerical methods for the solution of the differential equation (1.2.6) are the algorithms which will produce a table of approximate values $y(x)$ at certain equally spaced points called, grid or mesh points along the $x$ coordinate. Each mesh point in terms of the previous point is determined by the relationship

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad x_{n+1}=x_{n}+h, \quad n=0,1,2 \ldots \ldots n-1 \\
& x_{0}=a, x_{N}=b
\end{aligned}
$$

where $h$ is called the step length. Alternatively, we may write

$$
x_{n}=x_{0}+n h, \quad n=1,2 \ldots N
$$

The numerical methods for finding solution of the initial value problem of equation (1.2.6) may be broadly classified into the following two types:
(i) Singlestep Methods: These methods enable us to find approximation to the true solution $y(x)$ at $x_{n+1}$ if $y_{n}, y^{\prime} n$ and $h$ are known.
(ii) Multistep Methods: These methods use recurrence relations, which express the function value $y(x)$ at $x_{n+1}$ in terms of the function values $y(x)$ and derivative values $y^{\prime}(x)$ at $x_{n+1}$ and at previous mesh points.

### 1.3 The Linear Multistep Methods

Consider the initial value problem for a first-order differential equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}=f(x, y), \quad y(a)=A \tag{1.3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We seek a solution in the interval $\mathrm{a} \leq \mathrm{x} \leq \mathrm{b}$, where a and b are finite and we assume that $f(x, y)$ satisfied the following conditions:
(i) $f(x, y)$ is a real function
(ii) $f(x, y)$ is defined and continuous in the interval $x \in[a, b], y \in(-\infty, \infty)$
(iii) there exists a constant $L$ such that for any $x \in[a, b]$ and for any two numbers $y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$ $\left|f\left(x, y_{1}\right)-f\left(x, y_{2}\right)\right| \leq L\left|y_{1}-y_{2}\right|$, where $L$ is called Lipschitz constant.
Then for any $y_{0}$ the initial value problem (1.3.1) has a unique solution $y(x)$ for $x \in[a, b]$. Consider the sequence of points $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ defined by $x_{n}=a+n h, n=0,1,2 \ldots$, where $h$ is the step length. An important property of the majority of computational methods of the solution (1.3.1) is that of discretization; that is, we seek an approximate solution, not on the continuous interval $a \leq x \leq b$, but on the discrete point $\left.\left\{x_{n}\right\}, n=0,1,2 \ldots, b-a\right\}$. Let $y_{n}$ be an approximation h
to the theoretical solution at $x_{n}$, that is to $y\left(x_{n}\right)$ and let $f_{n}=f\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$. A computational method to determine the sequence $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ which takes the form of a linear relationship between $y_{n-j}, f_{n+j}, j=0,1,2, \ldots . k$, is called a linear multistep method of step number $k$, or a linear $k$-step method.

The general linear multistep method may, therefore, be written as

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{k} \alpha_{\mathrm{j}} y_{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{j}}=\mathrm{h} \Sigma \beta_{\mathrm{j}} f_{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{j}}
$$

where $\alpha_{j}$ and $\beta_{j}$ are constants; we assume that $\alpha_{0} \neq 0$ and that not both $\alpha_{0}$ and $\beta_{0}$ are zero.

The problem of determining the solution $y(x)$ of the initial value problem (1.3.1) can be replaced by that of finding a sequence $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$, which satisfies the difference equation (1.3.2). Such equations are not easy to handle. In order to compute the sequence $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ numerically, we must have a set of some starting values $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{O}}, \mathrm{y}_{1}, \ldots \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{k}-1}$. In the case of one-step method, only one such value, $y_{0}$, is needed and we usually choose $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{O}}=\mathrm{A}$.

The difference method (1.3.2) is said to be explicit if $\beta_{\mathrm{k}}=0, \quad \alpha_{\mathrm{k}} \neq \mathbf{0}$ and implicit if $\beta_{\mathrm{k}} \neq 0$ and $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathrm{k}} \neq 0$ for an explicit method, (1.3.2) yields the current value $y_{n+k}$ directly in terms of $y_{n+j}, f_{n+j} j=0,1 \ldots k-1$. While an implicit method calls for the solution at each stage of the computation of the equation.

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n+k}=h \beta_{k} f\left(x_{n+k}, y_{n+k}\right)+g, \tag{1.3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\qquad$
where $g$ is a known function of the previously calculated values $y_{n+j}, f_{n+j}, n=0,1 \ldots k-1$.

We finally turn to problem of determining the coefficients $\alpha_{j}, \beta_{j}$ which appeared in (1.3.2). Any specific linear multistep method may be derived in a number of different ways. We shall consider some different approaches
which throw light on the nature of the approximation involved.

### 1.3.1 Derivation Through Taylor Expansion

Consider the Taylor expansion for $y\left(x_{n}+h\right)$ about $x_{n}$. $y\left(x_{n}+h\right)=y\left(x_{n}\right)+h y^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)+h^{2} y^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)+h^{3} y^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)+\ldots$.

$$
2!\quad 3!
$$

Truncating this. expansion after two terms and subtitute for $y^{\prime}(x)$ from the differential equation (1.3.1), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
y\left(x_{n}+h\right) \cong y\left(x_{n}\right)+h f\left[x_{n}, y\left(x_{n}\right)\right] \tag{1.3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (1.3.4) gives an approximate relation between exact values of the solution of (1.3.1). It is also a relationship between the exact solution and approximate solution of (1.3.1). If we replace $y\left(x_{n}\right), y\left(x_{n}+h\right)$ by $y_{n}, y_{n+1}$ respectively to give

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n+1}=y_{n}+h f_{n} \tag{1.3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is an explicit linear one-step method known as Euler's rule. The error associated with it is given in the expression

$$
\frac{h^{2}}{2!} y^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)+\frac{h^{3}}{3!} y^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)+\ldots \ldots \cdot
$$

Now, if we consider Taylor expansions for $\mathrm{y}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}+\mathrm{h}\right)$ and $y\left(x_{n}-h\right)$ about $x_{n}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y\left(x_{n}+h\right)=y\left(x_{n}\right)+h y^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)+h^{2} y^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)+h^{3} y^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)+\ldots \\
& 2!\quad 3! \\
& y\left(x_{n}-h\right)=y\left(x_{n}\right)-h y^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)+h^{2} y^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)-\frac{h^{3} y^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)+\ldots}{3!}
\end{aligned}
$$

Subtracting, we obtain

$$
y\left(x_{n}+h\right)-y\left(x_{n}-h\right)=2 h y^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)+h^{3} y^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)+\ldots \ldots
$$

$$
3
$$

Using the same argument as above, we obtain a linear multistep method

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+1}-\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}-1}=2 \mathrm{hf} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}} \tag{1.3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we replace $n$ by $n+1$ in (1.3.6) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n+2}-y_{n}=2 h f_{n+1} \tag{1.3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\qquad$
which is called the Mid-point rule. Its local truncation error is defined by

$$
\pm \underset{3}{h^{3}} y^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)+\ldots \ldots
$$

We can use similar approach to derive any linear multistep method of given specification. Suppose we wish to establish the most accurate one-step implicit method,

$$
Y_{n+1}+\alpha_{0} Y_{n} \cong h\left[\beta_{1} f_{n+1}+\beta_{0} f_{n}\right]
$$

we write its associated approximate relationship $y\left(x_{n}+h\right)+\alpha_{0} y\left(x_{n}\right) \cong h\left[\beta y^{\prime}\left(x_{n}+h\right)+\beta_{0} y^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)\right]$
and choose $\alpha_{0}, \beta_{1}, \beta_{0}$ so as to make the aproximation accurate enough. Using the following expansions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y\left(x_{n}+h\right)=y\left(x_{n}\right)+h y^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)+\frac{h^{2} y^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)+\ldots}{2!} \\
& y^{\prime}\left(x_{n}+h\right)=y^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)+h y^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)+h^{2} y^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)+\ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting in (1.3.7) and collecting the terms of the lefthand side gives.

$$
c_{0} y\left(x_{n}\right)+c_{1} h y^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)+c_{2} h^{2} y y^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)+c_{3} h^{3} y^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)+\ldots=0
$$

where $C_{0}=1+\alpha_{0}, C_{1}=1-\left(\beta_{1}-\beta_{0}\right), C_{2}=1 / 2-\beta_{1}, C_{3}=1-1 / 2 \beta_{1}$ Therefore, to make the approximation in (1.3.7) accurate enough, we choose $\alpha_{0}=-1, \beta_{1}=\beta_{0}=1 / 2$ hence $C_{3}=-1$.

Then the linear multistep is now

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n+1}-y_{n}=h / 2\left(f_{n+1}+f_{n}\right) \tag{1.3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is called the Trapezoidal rule and its local truncation error is

$$
\pm \frac{1}{12} \mathrm{~h}^{3} \mathrm{y}^{\prime \prime}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)+\ldots \ldots
$$

### 1.3.2. Derivation Through Numerical Integration

Consider:

$$
y\left(x_{n+2}\right)-y\left(x_{n}\right) \equiv \int_{x_{n}}^{x_{n+2}} y^{\prime}(x) d x
$$

$\qquad$

Using the differential equation (1.2.6) we can replace $y^{\prime}(x)$ by $f(x, y)$ in the integrand. By using Newton-Gregory forward interpolation formula,

$$
P(x)=P\left(x_{n}+r h\right)=f_{n}+r \Delta f_{n}+\frac{r(r-1)}{2!} \Delta^{2} f_{n}+\ldots \ldots
$$

we make the approximation

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{n}^{x_{n+2}} y^{\prime}(x) d x & =\int_{x_{n}}^{x_{n+2}}(x) d x=\int_{0}^{2}\left[f_{n}+r f_{n}+1 / 2 r(r-1) \Delta^{2} f_{n}\right] h d r . \\
& =h\left(2 f_{n}+2 \Delta f_{n}+\frac{\left.1 \Delta^{2} f_{n}\right)}{3}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Expanding $\Delta f_{n}$ and $\Delta^{2} f_{n}$ in terms of $f_{n}, f_{n+1}, f_{n+2}$ and substituting into (1.3.8) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n+2}-y_{n}=\frac{h}{3}\left(f_{n+2}+4 f_{n+1}+f_{n}\right) \tag{1.3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is Simpson's rule.
Similarly, if we replace (1.3.8) by the identity

$$
y\left(x_{n+2}\right)-y\left(x_{n+1}\right)=\int_{n+1}^{x_{n+2}} y^{\prime}(x) d x
$$

and put $y^{\prime}(x)=P(x)$ as defined above, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n+2}-y_{n+1}=\underset{12}{h}\left[5 f_{n+2}+8 f_{n+1}-f_{n}\right] \tag{1.3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a two-step Adams-Moulton methods.

### 1.3.3 Derivation Through Interpolation

Suppose we wish to derive the implicit two-step method (1.3.9). Let $y(x)$, the solution of (1.3.1), be approximated locally in the range $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}} \leq \mathrm{x} \leq \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+2}$ by a polynomial ( $\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{x})$. If $G(x)$ interpolates the points $\left(x_{n+j}, y_{n+j}\right), j=0,1,2$ and the derivative of $G(x)$ coincides with the prescribed derivative
$f_{n+j}$ for $j=0,1,2$. Then the conditins imposed on $G(x)$ are thus

$$
G\left(x_{n+j}\right)=y_{n+j}, \quad G^{\prime}(x)=f_{n+j}, j=0,1,2-(1.3 .11) .
$$

There are six conditions in all. Let $G(x)$ be a polynominal of four degree. That is, $G(x)=a x^{4}+b x^{3}+c x^{2}$ $+d x+e$ Eliminating the five coefficients $a, b, c, d, e$, between the six equations in (1.3.11) yields the identity

$$
y_{n+2}-y_{n}=\frac{h}{3}\left(f_{n+2}+4 f_{n+1}+f_{n}\right) \text {, which is the linear }
$$

multistep method in (1.3.9).
Suppose $G(x)$ is a polynomial of degree two, namely

$$
G(x)=a x^{2}+b x+c
$$

If we impose the following conditions

$$
y_{n}=G\left(x_{j}\right), y_{j+1}=G\left(x_{j+1}\right), f_{j}=G^{\prime}\left(x_{j}\right)
$$

and

$$
f_{j+1}=G^{\prime}\left(x_{j+1}\right)
$$

So that $y_{j}=G\left(x_{j}\right)=a x_{j}^{2}+b x_{j}+C$
and

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{j+1} & =G\left(x_{j+1}\right)=G\left(x_{j}+h\right) \\
& =a\left(x_{j}+h\right)^{2}+b\left(x_{j}+h\right)+C \\
& =a\left(x_{j}^{2}+2 h x_{j}+h^{2}\right)+b x_{j}+b h+C \\
& =a x_{j}^{2}+2 a x_{j} h+a h^{2}+b x_{j}+b h+C \\
y_{j+1} & =f_{j} \\
f_{j} & G^{\prime}\left(x_{j}\right)=2 a x_{j}+b \\
f_{j+1} & =G^{\prime}\left(x_{j}+h\right)=2 a\left(x_{j}+h\right)+b \\
& =2 a x_{j}+2 a h+b
\end{aligned}
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{j+1}-y_{j}= & \left(a x_{j}^{2}+b x_{j}+C\right)+2 a h x_{j}+a h_{2}+b h- \\
& \left(a x_{j}+b x_{j}+C\right) \\
= & 2 a h x_{j}+a h^{2}+b h \\
= & h\left(2 a x_{j}+2 a h+b\right)-a h^{2} \\
= & h f_{j+1}-a h^{2} \\
= & h f_{j+1}-h\left(f_{j+1}-f_{j}\right)=h\left(f_{j+1}+f_{j}\right) \\
y_{j+1}-y_{j}= & \frac{h}{2}\left(f_{j+1}+f_{j}\right), p u t{ }_{j}=n
\end{aligned}
$$

we have

$$
y_{n+1}=y_{n}+h_{2}\left(f_{n+1}+f_{n}\right)
$$

which is the trapezoidal rule (1.3.8)

### 1.3.4 Convergence of Linear Multistep Methods

A basic property required for an acceptable linear multistep method is that the solution $\left\{Y_{n}\right\}$ generated by the method converges in some sense to the theoretical solution $y(x)$ as the step length, h, approaches zero.

Definition (1.3.1): The linear multistep method (1.3.2) is said to be convergent for all $y_{n}$ of the $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ if and only if

$$
\lim _{h-->0} y_{n}=y\left(x_{n}\right), \text { for all } x \in[a, b] \text {, }
$$

and for all solutions $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ of the difference equation (1.3.2) satisfying starting conditions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Y_{\mu}=A_{\mu}(h) \text { for which } \lim _{h->0} A_{\mu}(h)=A, \\
& \\
& \qquad \mu=0,1,2 \ldots k-1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

With linear multistep method (1.3.1), if we associate the linear difference operator $L$ defined by
$L[y(x), h]=\quad \sum_{j=0}^{k}\left[\alpha_{j} y(x+j h)-h \beta_{j} y^{\prime}(x+j h)\right],--(1.3 .12)$
where $y(x)$ is an arbitrary function, continuously differentiable on $[a, b]$. Expanding the test function $y(x+j h)$ and its derivative $y^{\prime}(x+j h)$ as Taylor series about $x$, and collecting terms in (1.3.12) gives
$L[y(x), h]=C_{0} y(x)+C_{1} h y^{\prime}(x)+\ldots+C_{q} h q y(q)(x)+\ldots$
where, the $C_{q}$ are constants.
Definition (1.3.2): The difference operator (1.3.12) and the associated linear multistep method (1.3.2) are said to be of order P if, in (1.3.13), $\mathrm{C}_{0}=\mathrm{C}_{1}=\ldots=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}}=0, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}+1} \neq 0$.

Since $C_{p+1} \neq 0$, it implies that $C_{p+1}$ has an absolute significance. We call $C_{p+1}$ the error constant.

### 1.3.6 Local And Global Truncation Error

Definition (1.3.3): The local truncation error at $x_{n+k}$ of the method (1.3.2) is defined to be the expression $\mathrm{L}\left[\mathrm{y}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right.$; h$]$ given by (1.3.12), when $\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{x})$ is the theoretical solution of the initial value problem (1.3.1). In other words, the truncation error is the quantity $T$ which must be
added to the true representation of the computed quantity in order that the result be exactly equal to the quantity we are seeking to generate.

$$
\text { That is, } y \text { (true representation) }+T=y(e x a c t) .
$$

In general we define the truncation error

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}+1} h^{\mathrm{p}+1} \mathrm{y}^{(\mathrm{p}+1)}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)+\mathrm{o}\left(\mathrm{~h}^{\mathrm{p}+2}\right) \tag{1.3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p$ is the order of the method.
The global truncation error involves all. the truncation errors made at each application of the method, and depends in a complicated way on the coefficients of the method and on the initial value problem. It is this error which convergence demands shall tend to zero as h -->0, $n$-->>, $n h=x_{n}=x_{n}-a \quad$ remaining fixed.

### 1.3.6 Consistency and Zero - Stability

The linear multistep method (1.3.2) is said to be consistent if it has order $\mathrm{P} \geq 1$.

We now introduce the first and second characteristic polynomials of the linear multistep method (1.3.2) defined as $\rho(r)$ and $\sigma(r)$ respectively, where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\rho(r)=\sum_{j=0}^{k} \alpha_{j} r^{j}, \\
k(r)=\sum \beta_{j} r^{j} \\
j=o
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus, a linear multistep method is consistent if and only if

$$
\rho(1)=0, \rho^{\prime}(1)=\sigma(1) .
$$

If follows that for a consistent method, the first characteristic equation $\rho(r)$ always has a root at +1 . And for a method to be zero-stable the root of the first characteristic polynomial $\rho(r)$ has modulus greater than one, and if every root with modulus one is simple. thus a linear multistep method is said to be convergent if it is consistent and zero-stable.

## CHAPTER TWO

## General Review of Numerical methods for Singular and Discountinuous Systems

### 2.1 Literature Review

The study of numerical treatment for singular and discountinuous initial value problem had been carried out by Lambert and Shaw[5]. They proposed that the theoretical solution to the initial value problem

$$
y^{\prime}=f(x, y), y(0)=0
$$

be represented by a perturbed polynomial of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(x)=P_{L}(x)+\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\rho|A+x|^{N}, \\
\\
\rho|A+x|^{N},
\end{array} \quad N \in\{0,1 \ldots L\} \text { Or } \quad N \in\{0,1 \ldots L\}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

They defined $P_{L}(x)$ as a polynomial of degree $L$ and the second term on the right hand side as the perturbation term. A and N are the singularity parameters, with A controlling the location of the singularity and $N$ determining the nature of the singularity.

Shaw[6] later extended or improved on the theoretical solution by a perturbed polynomial. He proposed the adoption of a multistep method, thereby eliminating the need to generate the higher derivatives analytically. In his improved method, singularity parameters can be obtained by solving a pair of non-liner equations.

Lambert and Shaw[7] provided an alternative procedure that was based on a local representatin of the theoretical solution to $y^{\prime}=f(x, y)$ by a specialised form of rational function

$$
F(x)=\frac{P_{m}(x)}{(b+x),}
$$

where $P_{m}(x)$ is a polynomial of degree $m$. Thus, accordingly, the integration formulas which emanated from this rational function can cope with special singular initial value problem.

Luke et al [8] suggested in his study that the rational function thought of by Lambert and Shaw can be replaced by a generalized rational function

$$
f(x)={\underset{-}{f}}^{Q_{r}(x)} .
$$

Here the singularities are specified by the zeros of $Q_{r}(x)$.

The theory of ordinary non-linear differential equations offers no clue as to the point or location and the nature of singularities in the solution of an equation. Gear and Osterby(9) proposed an efficient method based on a local error estimators to detect and locate a point of discountinuity without using the singularity function. They made a provisin to pass the discountinuity and restart the integration process.

Fatunla[10] discussed the numerical treatment for singular and discountinuous initial value problems by adopting the generalised rational function of Luke (1975). Fatunla suggested a rational function of a special kind and represented the theoretical solution $y(x)$ locally by

$$
F_{k}(x)=\frac{A}{1+\sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{j} x^{j}, \quad k \geq 1,}
$$

where $A, a_{j}$ are real coefficients. In this case the singularity can be obtained from the poles of $F_{k}(x)$. Hence, he developed a one-step method to approximate the solution of the initial value problem. Fatunla's one-step method reduces the problem of the solution of non linear equations at every integration step which is characterised by the Lambert and Shaw methods.

Fatunla [10] also suggested the use of non-polynomial methods in dealing with singular and discontinuous initial value problems. Here he adopted the specialised form of rational function of Lambert and Shaw [1968]. The specialized rational function of Lambert and Shaw was defined as

$$
F(x)=\frac{P_{m}(x)}{(\overline{b+x)}},
$$

where $P_{m}(x)$ is a polynomial of degree $m$. Fatunla redefined the above rational function as

$$
F(x)=\frac{P_{m}(x)}{Q_{V}(x)},
$$

where $P_{m}(x)$ and $Q_{V}(x)$ are polynomials of degree $m$ and $v$ respecitvely.

The polynomial $P_{m}(x)$ and $Q_{V}(x)$ are given as

$$
P_{m}(x)=\sum_{r=0} a_{r^{x}} x^{r}
$$

and

$$
Q_{V}(x)=1+\sum_{r=1}^{V} b_{r} x^{r}
$$

He specified the singularities by the zeros of $Q_{V}(x)$ and developed a two-step method to approximate the solution of the initial value problem with the error function $E_{m v}(x)$, given by .

$$
E_{m v}\left(x_{n+j}\right)=0, j=0,1 \ldots s+1 .
$$

Adeboye[11] studied a convergent one step method for initial value problems in which some components of the solution contain discontinuities based on the Obrechkoff's method. He adopted the Obrechkoff's general one-step method

$$
Y_{n+1}=Y_{n}+\sum_{i=1}^{q} a_{i} h^{i} y^{i} n+1+\sum_{i=1}^{p} b_{i} h^{(i)} y_{n}(i)
$$

and developed a one-step method by solving for $a_{i}$ and $b_{i}$ in the Obrechkoff's general one-step method. Adeboye's explicit on-step method is given by

$$
Y_{n+1}=\frac{Y_{n}+h f_{n}}{1-h^{2} f_{n}}
$$

where $h$ is the step length and $f_{n}=y_{n}^{\prime}$. Even though, Adeboye did not specify the singularity function, the onestep method above is convergent and it is an improvement on Fatunla's predictor formula or a two-step integrator

$$
Y_{n+2}=\frac{2 y_{n+1}^{2}-2 y_{n} y_{n+1}+h y^{\prime} n+1 y_{n}}{2 y_{n+1}-2 y_{n}-h y^{\prime}{ }_{n+1}}
$$

Fatunla and Aashikpelokhai[12] developed a one-step method which was based on rational approximation for initial value problems. The integrator does not involve the solution of linear equations. Fatunla [13] developed a fourth order integrator which is very effective at solving stiff and highly oscillatory initial value problems. However, integrator cannot cope well with singular initial value problems. Hence, Fatunla and Aashikpelokhai[12] thought of an integrator which can cope with singular problems as well as stiff problems and hence developed a fifth-order one-step method based on an operator $U$ and defined by

$$
\left[1+q_{1} x+q_{2} x^{2}+q_{3} x^{3}\right) U(x)=P_{0}+p_{1} x+P_{2} x^{2}
$$

subject to the constraints

$$
U\left[x_{n+j}\right]=Y_{n+j}, j=0,1
$$

They finally came up with the integrator

$$
y_{n+1}=\frac{y_{n}+60 U y^{(1)}{ }_{n} h+\left[A y{ }_{n}^{(1)}{ }_{n}+30 U y^{(2)}{ }_{n}\right] h^{2}-C y_{n} h^{3}}{60 U+A h+B h^{2}+C h^{3}} .
$$

### 2.2 Overview of Non-Polynomial Methods

The non-polynomial method was first suggested by Lambert and Shaw[5]. They proposed that the theoretical solution to the initial value problem of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}), \quad \mathrm{y}(0)=\mathrm{y}_{0} \tag{2,2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\qquad$
be represented by either of the following perturbed polynomials

$$
F(x)=P_{m}(x)+\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\alpha|A+x| N, \quad N \in(0,1 \ldots m) & \text { or } \\
& \alpha|A+x|(2.22) \\
N_{\log }|A+x|, N \notin 0,1, \ldots m
\end{array}\right.
$$

with $P_{m}(x)$ a polynomial of degree $m$. They defined

$$
P_{m}(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{m} a_{j} x^{j}
$$

and the second term on the right hand side being the perturbation term. $A$ and $N$ are the singularity parameters, with A controlling the location of the singularity, while $N$ determines the nature of the singularity.

Lambert and Shaw obtained a one-step mețhods of order $(m+1)$ by imposing the constraints

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F\left(x_{n+j}\right)=y\left(x_{n+j}\right), j=0,1 \\
& F^{(s)}\left(x_{n}\right)=y^{(s)}\left(x_{n}\right), s=0,1, \ldots m+1
\end{aligned}
$$

on the interpolating functions (2.2.2). Thus
and

$$
y_{n+1}=Y_{n}+\sum_{j=1 j!} h^{j} y^{(j)} n(-1)^{M-N} \frac{\left(A+x_{n}\right)^{m+1} y_{n}(m+1)}{N!(M-N)!} \quad X
$$

They defined the local truncation error

$$
t_{n+1}=\sum_{j=m+2}^{\infty}\left\{y_{\left(A+x_{n}\right)^{j-m-1}}^{(j)} n^{-\frac{j-m-2}{N-m-1}} z_{n}^{(m+1)}\right\}_{j!}^{h^{j}}
$$

The singularity parameters can be obtained by the following:-

$$
N_{(n)}=m+1+\frac{y_{n}(m+1) 2}{y_{n}(m+2) 2-y_{n}(m+1)} y_{n i}^{(m+3)}
$$

and

$$
\} \quad---(2.2 .6)
$$

$$
N_{(n)}=-x_{n}+\frac{y_{n}(m+2)}{y_{n}^{(m+2) 2-y_{n}(m+1)} y_{n}(m+3)}
$$

Shaw [6] extended the discussion above to multistep methods, thereby eliminating the need to generate the higher derivative analytically. In this case, the singularity parameters can be obtained by solving a pair of non linear equations.

Luke et al [8] suggested the adoption of a generalized rational function :

$$
F(x)=\frac{P_{m}(x)}{Q_{n}(x)}
$$

He specified the singularities function by the zeros of $\Omega_{n}(x)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { where } \beta^{m_{j}}=m(m-1) \ldots .(m-j), j>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Fatunla[10] defined the polynomials. $P_{m}(x)$ and $Q_{n}(x)$ as

$$
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{x})=\sum^{m} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{x}^{r}
$$

$\qquad$
and $Q_{n}(x)=1+\sum b_{r} a^{r}$

He specified the error function $E m, n(x)$ as follows

$$
\operatorname{Em}, \mathrm{n}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{y}(\mathrm{x})-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{x})-(2.2 .8)
$$

On differentiating with respect to $x$, he obtained
$E^{\prime} m, n(x)=\Omega_{n}(x) y^{\prime}(x)+\Omega_{n}^{\prime}(x) y(x)-P^{\prime}{ }_{m}(x)$ $\qquad$ (2.2.9)

He illustrated the development of integration algorithm with a case where $m=n=1$ in (2.2.7) which gives $E_{11}(x) 0=\left(1+b_{1} x\right) y(x)-\left(a_{0}+a_{1} x\right)$ $\qquad$ (2.2.10)
and $E^{\prime}{ }_{11}(x)=\left(1+b_{1} x\right) y^{\prime}(x)+b_{1} y(x)-a_{1}$ $\qquad$ (2.2.11)

Imposing the constraints

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{11}\left(x_{n+j}\right)=0 \\
& \text { That is, } E_{11}\left(x_{n+1}\right)=0 \\
& \text { and } x_{n}=0, x_{n+j}=j
\end{aligned}
$$

in (2.2.10) and (2.2.11) and replacing $y^{\prime}{ }_{j}$ by hy'j

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Y_{n}=a_{0} \\
& \text { (i) } \\
& \left.\left(1+b_{1}\right) y_{n+1}=a_{0}+a_{1} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . . \text { (ii) }\right\}_{2}^{\}} 2.2 .12 \\
& \left(1+2 b_{1}\right) y_{n+2}=a_{0}+2 a_{1} \quad \ldots \ldots \ldots .\left(\text { iii) }{ }^{\}}{ }^{\}}\right. \\
& \left(1+b_{1}\right) h y_{n+1}^{\prime}+b_{1} y_{n+1}=a_{1} \quad \ldots . . . \text { (iv) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Substitute (i) and (iv) in (ii) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{1}=\frac{y_{n+1}-y_{0}-h y^{\prime} n+1}{h y^{\prime} n+1} \tag{2.2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Adopting (ii) in (iii) gives

$$
\left(1+2 b_{1}\right) y_{n+2}=\left(1+b_{1}\right) y_{n+1}+a_{1}
$$

$\qquad$ (2.2.14)

Inserting (iv) and (2.2.13) in (2.2.14) he obtained what he called predictor formula

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{n+2}=\frac{y_{n+1}^{2}-2 y_{n} y_{n+1}+h y_{n+1}^{\prime} y_{n}}{2 y_{n+1}-2 y_{n}-h y^{\prime} n+1} \quad \ldots \ldots \text { (2.2.15) } \\
& \text { We shall adopt the above integration formula to }  \tag{2.2.15}\\
& \text { perform some numerical experiments in chapter four of this } \\
& \text { work. }
\end{align*}
$$

### 2.3 Overview of A Convergent Explicit One-step Method

Adeboye[11] developed a convergent explicit one-step method based on the Obrechkoff's one-step method. Obrechkoff developed an absolutely stable implicit onestep method of maximum order $2 p$, based on the first $p$ derivatives of the Taylor's series expansion of $y$ for the solution of initial value problem.

$$
y^{\prime}=\lambda y, y(0)=y_{0}
$$

He gives the interval of stability as ( $-\infty, 0$ ).

Adeboye[11] modified the Obrechkoff's method thus:
Obrechkoff's general one-step method is defined by

$$
y_{n+1}=y_{n}+\sum_{i=1}^{q} a_{i} h^{i} y^{(i)}{ }_{n+1}^{p}+\sum_{i=0}^{p} b_{i} h^{i} y^{(i)} n-(2 \cdot 3 \cdot 1)
$$

Hence

$$
y_{n+1}=y_{n}+\sum_{i=1}^{2} a_{i} h^{i} y^{(i)} n+1+\sum_{i=0}^{2} b_{i} h^{i} y^{(i)} n-\quad \text { (2.3.1) }
$$

that is from (2.3.1), $q=1,2, p=0,1,2$.
From (2.3.2) he obtained
$y_{n+1}=y_{n}+\left(a_{1}+b_{1}\right) h y^{\prime}{ }_{n}+\left(a_{1}+a_{2}+b_{2}\right) h^{2} y^{\prime \prime}{ }_{n}$ $\qquad$ (2.3.3)

The Taylor's series expansion for $y_{n+1}$ is given by

$$
\begin{array}{r}
y_{n+1}=y_{n}+h y^{\prime}{ }_{n}+\underset{2}{h^{2}} y_{n}^{\prime \prime}+\ldots \\
\text { i.e. } y_{n+1}=y_{n}+h y_{n}^{\prime}+h^{2} y_{n}^{\prime \prime}+\ldots
\end{array}
$$

Equating the coefficients of equal powers of $h$ in (2.3.3) and (2.3.4), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{1}+b_{1}=1 \\
& a_{1}+a_{2}+b_{2}=1 / 2
\end{aligned}
$$

The above equations have four unknowns. He fixed one of the unknowns arbitrarily to reduce the equation to a one-parameter family of solutions. Hence, in putting $b_{2}=$ 0 , then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{1}=1-b_{1} \\
& a_{2}=1 / 2-a_{1}=1 / 2-1+b_{1}=b_{1}-1 / 2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting in (2.3.3), we obtained
$\left.y_{n+1}=y_{n}+(1-b) y^{\prime}{ }_{n+1}+h^{2}\left(b-b_{2}\right) y_{n+1}{ }^{\prime \prime}+h b_{1} y^{\prime}{ }_{n}--2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5\right)$

Equation (2.3.5) is a one-parameter family of second order methods.

Adeboye[11] illustrates the development of an explicit one-step scheme for initial value problems by considering the initial value problems
and

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}=1+y^{2}, y(0)=1 \tag{2.3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}=y^{2}, y(0)=1 \tag{2.3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the solutions of (2.3.6) and (2.3.7) there are discontinuities at $\mathrm{x}=1$ and $\mathrm{x}=\pi / 4$ respectively. He adopted the method (2.3.5) by differentiating (2.3.6) and (2.3.7) to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}=2 y^{\prime} \tag{2.3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting for (2.3.5), $y_{n+1}$ "its equivalence of $y^{\prime \prime}$ ) in (2.3.8), we obtain
$y_{n+1}=y_{n}+\left(1-b_{1}\right) y_{n+1}^{\prime}+2\left(b_{1}-b_{2}\right) y_{n+1} y^{\prime}{ }_{n+1}+h b_{1} y^{\prime}{ }_{n}$ Expanding $y_{n+1}$ in powers of $h$ about $x_{0}$, and using only the first term of the expansion gives
$y_{n+1}=y_{n}+\left(1-b_{1}\right) y^{\prime} n_{n}+2 h^{2}\left(b_{1}-1 b_{2}\right) y_{n+1} y^{\prime} n+1+h b_{1} y^{\prime}{ }_{n}$ He further put $b_{1}=1$ to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{n+1}=y_{n}+h^{2} y_{n+1}^{\prime} y_{n+1} h y^{\prime} n \\
& y_{n+1}=\left(1-h^{2} y^{\prime} n+1\right)=y_{n} h y^{\prime} n
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
y_{n+1}=\frac{y_{n}+h y^{\prime}{ }_{n}}{1-h^{2} y^{\prime}} \quad \text { or } \quad y_{n+1} \quad y_{n}+h f_{n} \quad \frac{y_{n}}{1-h^{2} f n} \ldots \text { (2.3.9) }
$$

The method (2.3.9) is a second order one-step scheme for initial value problems of class one. The scheme is convergent. We shall illustrate the adoption of the above scheme to some initial value problems in chapter four of this write up.

### 2.4 Overview of Inverse Polynomial Methods

Even though the scheme based on rational approximations are quite effective for the solution of singular initial value problems, the derivation of these schemes are very tedious and complicated. In view of this, Fatunla[10] suggested the use of inverse rational function. He approximated theoretically the solution $y(x)$ to the initial value problem

$$
y^{\prime}=f(x, y), y(0)=y_{0}
$$

locally by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{k}(x)= \frac{A}{k} \\
& 1+\sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{j} x^{j}, \quad k \geqslant 1 \longrightarrow \text { (2.4.1) }
\end{aligned}
$$

where $A, a_{j}$ are real coefficients to be determined.
He defined the error function $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{x})$ as k

$$
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{x})=\left(1+\sum \mathrm{a}_{j} \mathrm{x}^{j}\right) \mathrm{y}(\mathrm{x})-\mathrm{A} \quad \text { (2.4.2) }
$$

which on differentiation gives

$$
\left.E_{k}^{\prime}(x)=\left(1+\sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{j} x^{j}\right) y^{\prime}(x)+\sum_{j=1}^{k} j a_{j} x^{j-1}\right) y(x)
$$

$\qquad$

The imposition of the constraints

$$
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{i}}\right)=0, \quad i=0,1 \ldots \mathrm{k}
$$

and the transformation
$x \doteq x_{0}+$ th, gives the integration formula

$$
Y_{n+k}=\frac{Y_{n}}{\sum_{\substack{1 \\ j=1}}^{k} k^{j} a_{j}},
$$

He obtained the numerical values of the components of the $k$-vector $a=\left(a, a_{2} \ldots a_{k}\right)^{T}$, by ensuring that the interpolating function (2.4.1) satisfies the differential equation at $k$ points

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{x_{n+j}, j=0,1 \ldots k-1\right\} . \text { This implies } \\
& E^{\prime}\left(x_{n+j}\right)=0, i=0,1 \ldots k-1 \tag{2.4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

He adopted the transformation $x=x_{0}+$ th in (2.4.5) and replaced $y^{\prime} i$ by $h y^{\prime} i$ to obtain linear system of $k$ dimension:

$$
\mathrm{Ra}=\mathrm{b}
$$

$\qquad$ (2.4.6)
where $R$ is $a k$ by $k$ matrix with its elements specified as

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{i j}=h y_{1}^{\prime}{ }^{j}+j i^{j-1} Y_{i}, i=0,1 \ldots k-1 \tag{2.4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and b is $\mathrm{a} k$-vector whose ith element is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{i}}=-\mathrm{hy}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\prime}, \quad \mathrm{i}=0,1 \ldots \mathrm{k}-1 \tag{2.4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The system (2.4.6) has unique solution if

$$
\operatorname{det}(\mathrm{R}) \neq 0 .
$$

If the $\operatorname{det}(R)=0$, then there is a strong indication of a singularity. The singularity can be obtained from the poles of $F_{k}(x)$.

Fatunla developed a one-step method by setting $k=1$ in system (2.4.4). that is,

$$
y_{n+1}=\frac{y_{n}}{\left(1+a_{i}\right)}, \quad \text { (2.4.9) }
$$

Using (2.4.6), he obtained

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}_{01} \mathrm{a}_{1}=\mathrm{b}_{0} \tag{i}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.4.7),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}_{01}=\mathrm{y}_{0} \tag{ii}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\qquad$
and from (2.4.8),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{b}_{0}=-\mathrm{hy} \mathbf{\prime}_{0} \tag{iii}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\qquad$

Substituting (ii) and (iii) into (i) gives

$$
a_{1}=-h y^{\prime} 0 / y_{0}
$$

Substituting for ( $\mathrm{a}_{1}$ ) in (2.4.9) gives

$$
y_{n+1}=\frac{y_{n}^{2}}{y_{n}-h y_{n}^{\prime}}
$$

He specifíed the local truncation error by

$$
t_{n+1}=\frac{\left(3 / 2 y_{n} y^{\prime} n-y_{n}^{2}\right)}{y_{n}-h y^{\prime}{ }_{n}} h^{2},|y(x)|+\left|y^{\prime}(x)\right| \neq 0
$$

This suggests that (2.4.10) is at least of order $P \geq 1$, provided $\left|y_{n}\right| \neq 0$. In a situation where $y_{n}$ vanishes the meshzise h, can be adjusted. We shall perform numerical experiment using the integrator (2.4.10) in chapter four.

### 2.5 Overview of A fifth Order L-Stable Numerical Methods

Fatunla and Aashikpelokhai[12] developed a one-step method for first order initial value problems. The integrator does not involve the solution of linear equations. Fatunla [13] developed a highly accurate fourth order explicit one-step numerical scheme which is L-stable. The method is given by

$$
Y_{\mathrm{n}+1} \quad=\quad \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{n}}+\mathrm{Rf} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}}+\mathrm{Sf}_{\mathrm{n}}(1)
$$

$\qquad$
where the matrices $R$ and $S$ are defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{a}_{2} \phi-\mathrm{a}_{1} \psi \\
& \mathrm{~S}=\phi+\psi
\end{aligned}
$$

and the diagonal matrices $\phi, \psi$ have entries given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi_{i}=\frac{e^{a_{1 i} h}-1}{a_{2 i}\left[a_{1 i}+a_{2 i}\right]} \quad i=1,(1) m \\
& \psi_{i}=\frac{e^{-a} 2 i}{} a_{2 i}\left[a_{1 i}+a_{2 i}\right],
\end{aligned} i=1, \quad(1) m
$$

The stiffness/oscillatory parameters $a_{1 i}$ and $a_{2 i}$ are evaluated using


The integrator (2.5.10) is very effective at solving stiff and highly oscillatory initial value problems. Hence Fatunla and Aashikpelokhai[12] thought of an integrator which can cope well with singular problems as well as stiff problems. They developed the integrator by considering the operator $U$ defined by

$$
\left(1+q_{1} x+q_{2} x^{2}+q_{3} x^{3}\right) U(x)=P_{0}+P_{1} x+p_{2} x^{2} \ldots(2.5 .2)
$$

subject to the constraints

$$
U\left(X_{n+j}\right)=Y_{n+j}, j=0,1 \ldots-----(2 \cdot 5 \cdot 3)
$$

They further inposed the condition

$$
y_{n}=y\left(x_{n}\right)-\cdots-\cdots-----(2.5 \cdot 4)
$$

The integrator is a one - step method. Therefore it is expected to use the value $y_{n}$ to compute $y_{n+1}$ as an approxination to $y\left(x_{n+1}\right)$. To achieve this, they determined the relationship between $Y_{n}$ and $Y_{n+1}$ using Taylor series expansion of $Y\left(X_{n+1}\right)$ and $Y_{n+1}$ about $X=X_{n}$ with

$$
h_{n}=x_{n+1}-x_{n} \quad \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdot \cdots(2.5 .5)
$$

To evaluate $\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3} P_{0}, P_{1}, P_{2}\right)$ and for $Y_{n+1}$ to be good approxination to $y\left(X_{n+1}\right)$, they impose the constraints that the power series of

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 \\
& \infty \\
& 3 \\
& Y_{n+1}=\underset{\alpha=0}{\left[\sum_{\alpha} p^{\alpha}(n+1)^{\alpha}\right]\left[\sum_{i=0}(-1)^{i}{ }_{\{1+} \sum_{\beta=1} q_{\beta^{h}} \beta_{(n+1)^{1}} \beta_{\}^{i}}\right]} \tag{2.5.6}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
y\left(X_{n+1}\right)= & \sum_{r=0} h^{r} y^{r} \cdot(x) \quad \text { where } x_{n}=n h, \quad \text { whe } \\
y^{(r)}\left(X_{n}\right)= & d^{r} y(x) \mid \\
& d x^{r} \quad \mid x=X_{n} . \text { must concide for } h^{r}, r=0,1 \ldots 5 .
\end{aligned}
$$

This demand makes the integrator to be, of order at least five. Equating the terms of the Taylor series given by (2.5.6) and (2.5.7) gives

$$
\begin{gather*}
P_{0}=y_{n}  \tag{2.5.8}\\
P_{1} x_{n+1}=h y_{n(1)}+q_{1} y_{n} x_{n+1} \ldots-(2.5 .9)  \tag{2.5.9}\\
P_{2} x_{n+1}^{2}=\frac{h^{2} y_{n}(2)+h y_{n}(1) q_{1} x_{n+1}+y_{n} q_{2} x^{2}{ }_{n+1}--(2.5 .10)}{q_{1} x_{n+1}=\frac{\left(a_{1}+a_{2}+a_{3}\right) h}{60\left(u_{1}+u_{2}+u_{3}\right)}} \\
q_{2} x_{n+1}^{2}=\frac{\left(b_{1}+b_{2}+b_{3}\right) h^{2}}{60\left(u_{1}+u_{2}+u_{3}\right)} \\
\therefore \quad q_{1} x_{n+1}^{3}=\frac{\left(c_{1}+c_{2}+c_{3}\right) h^{3}}{60\left(u_{1}+u_{2}+u_{3}\right)} \tag{2.5.11}
\end{gather*}
$$

where the $a_{i}, b_{i}, c_{i}$ and $u_{i}$ are given by
$a_{1}=36 y_{n}{ }^{(5)}\left[2 y_{n}{ }^{(1) 2}-y_{n}{ }^{(2)} y_{n}\right]$
$\left.\mathrm{a}_{2}=60 \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{(3)}\left[\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{(4)} \mathrm{y}-4^{(3)} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{(1)}\right] \quad\right\} \boldsymbol{-} \quad$ (2.5.14)
$\left.\mathrm{a}_{3}=180 \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{(2)} \mathrm{y}(3) \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{(2)}-\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{(4)} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{(1)}\right]$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{b}_{1}=15 \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{(4)}\left[4 \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{(3) 2} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{(1)}-\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{(4)} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{n}}\right] \\
& \mathrm{b}_{2}=12 \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{(5)}\left[\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{(3)} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}-3 \mathrm{y}^{\left.\left.\mathrm{n}^{(2)} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{(1)}\right] \quad\right\}---(2 \cdot 5 \cdot 15)}\right. \\
& \mathrm{b}_{3}=30 \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}^{(2)}\left[3 \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{(4)} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{(2)}-4 \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}^{(3) 2}\right] \\
& c_{1}=15 y_{n}{ }^{(4)}\left[y_{n}{ }^{(1)} y_{n}{ }^{(4)}-2 y_{n}{ }^{(2)} y_{n}{ }^{(3)}\right] \\
& \left.c_{2}=10 y_{n}^{(5)}\left[4 y_{n}{ }^{(3) 2} y_{n}-3 y_{n}{ }^{(2)} y_{n}{ }^{(5)}\right] \quad\right\}---(2 \cdot 5 \cdot 16) \\
& c_{3}=3 y_{n}^{(3)}\left[6 y_{n}{ }^{(2)}-4 y_{n}^{(3)} y_{n}^{(1)}\right] \\
& \mathrm{u}_{1}=3 \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{(4)}\left[\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{(2)} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}-2 \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{(1) 2}\right] \\
& \left.\left.u_{2}=4 y_{n}{ }^{(3)}\right)\left[3 y_{n}{ }^{(2)} y_{n}{ }^{(1)}-y_{n}{ }^{(3)} y_{n}\right] \quad\right\} \quad----(2.5 .17) \\
& u_{3}=3 y_{n}^{(2)}\left[4 y_{n}{ }^{(3)} y^{(1)} n^{-6} y_{n}^{(2) 2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Adopting these results in. (2.5.2) and (2.5.3) they obtained the integrator,

$$
y_{n+1}-y_{n}=\frac{60 U y_{n}^{(1)} \mathrm{h}+\left[A y_{n}^{(1)}+30 U y_{n}^{(2)}\right] \mathrm{h}^{2}-C y_{n} h^{3}}{60 U+\mathrm{Ah}+\mathrm{Bh}^{2}+\mathrm{Ch}^{3}}--(2.5 .18)
$$

where

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
A=\sum_{i=1}^{3} a_{i} \\
B=\sum_{i=1}^{3} b_{i} \\
C=\sum_{i=1}^{\sum c_{i}} \\
U=\sum_{i=1}^{3} u_{i}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

and the $a_{i}, b_{i}, c_{i}$ and $u_{i}$ are as given respectively by (2.5.14-2.5.17).

The integrator (2.5.18) is convergent and L-stable.

## CHAPTER THREE

### 3.0 DEVOLOPMNET OF A NEW NUMERICAL INTEGRATION FOR SINGULAR AND DISCONTINOUS SYSTEMS

### 3.1 INTRODUCTION

Fatunla [10] suggested the adoption of a rational function

$$
F(x)=\frac{P_{m}(x)}{Q_{n}(x)} \text {, where }
$$

$$
P_{m}(x)=\sum_{r=0}^{m} a_{r} x^{r} \text { and } Q_{n}(x)=1+\sum_{r=0}^{n} b_{r} x^{r}
$$

He approximated the theoretical solution $y(x)$ to the initial value problem

$$
\left.y^{\prime}=F(x, y), y(0)=y_{0} \ldots \ldots-13 \cdot 1\right)
$$

locally by

$$
F_{k}(x)=\frac{A}{1+\sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{j} x^{j},} \quad K \geq 1,
$$

----------(3.1.2 )
where $A, a_{j}^{\prime}$ are real constants.
Fatunla's interpolating function (3.1.2 is modified thus:

We can also approximate the theoretical solution $y(x)$
locally by

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{x})=\frac{\mathrm{A}}{1+\sum_{\substack{k-1 \\ j=0}}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{x}^{j}} \quad \mathrm{~K}>1,------------(3.1 .3)
$$

where $A$, aj are real coefficients. That is

$$
y(x)=F_{k}(x)
$$

From equation (3.1.3) we define the error equation as

$$
E_{k}(x)=\left(1+\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} a_{j} x^{j}\right) y(x)-A
$$

If we differentiate (3.1.4) with respect to $x$, we have $E^{\prime}{ }_{k}(x)=\left(1+\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} a_{j} x^{j}\right) y^{\prime}(x)+\left(j \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} a_{j} x^{j-1}\right) y(x) \cdots(3.1 .5)$

We now impose the following constraint

$$
E_{k}\left(x_{n+i}\right)=0, i=0,1-\cdots-k-1
$$

and also adopt the transformation
$x_{n}=0, x_{n+j}=j$ in equation (3.1.4) in order to obtain the value of $A$ in the numerator of (3.1.3)

That is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(1+\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} a_{j} x^{j}\right) y(x)-A=E_{k}(x) \\
& \Rightarrow\left(1+\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} a_{j}(0)\right) y(0)-A=0 \\
& y(0)+y(0) \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} a_{j}(0)-A=0 \\
& y(0)-A=0 \\
& y(0)=A
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $A \cong Y_{n}$
With $A \cong Y_{n}$, then (3.1.3) becomes

$$
F_{k}(x)=\frac{Y_{n}}{1+\sum_{\substack{k-1 \\ j=0}}^{a_{j} x^{j}}}
$$

In order to obtain $a k$ - step non linear multi- step formula, we simply replace $x$ by $k$ in (3.1.6. Thus,

$$
y_{n+k}=\frac{Y_{n}}{1+\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} a_{j} k^{j}}, \quad K>1 \ldots-\ldots-\ldots(3 \cdot 1 \cdot 7)
$$

It now remains to find the numerical values of the components of the $k$ - vector $a=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3} \ldots a_{k-1}\right)^{T}$. We can achieve this by ensuring that the interpolating function (3.1.3) satisfies the differential equation at $K$ points $\left\{x_{n+i}, i=01,2, \ldots k-1\right\}$.

This implies,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{\prime}\left(x_{n+i}\right)=0, i=01, \ldots k-1 \tag{3.1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we adopt the transformation, $x_{n}=0, x_{n+i}=i$ in equation (3.1.8) and replacing $y_{i}{ }^{\prime}$ by $h y_{i}{ }^{\prime}$ we obtain the following linear system in $k$ dimension.

$$
\mathrm{Ra}=\mathrm{b} \ldots . \ldots \ldots(3.1 .9)
$$

where $R$ is $a k$ by $k$ matrix, and $b$ is $a k$-vector. The elements of matrix $R$ and vector $b$ are specified as follows using (3.1.5) and the transformation above.

That is,

$$
\left(1+\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} a_{j} x^{j}\right) y^{\prime}(x)+\left(j \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} a_{j} x^{j-1}\right) y(x)=E_{k}^{\prime}(x)
$$

$$
\text { Then } \left.y^{\prime}(x)+\underset{j=0}{\left(\sum_{j} a^{j}\right.}\right) y^{\prime}(x)+\left(\underset{j=0}{k-1} \sum_{j} x^{j-1}\right) y(x)=0
$$

$$
h y_{i}^{\prime}+h_{i}^{j} y_{i}^{\prime}+j_{i}^{j-1} y_{i}=0
$$

$$
h_{i}^{j} y_{i}^{\prime}+j_{i}^{j-1} y_{i}=h y_{i}^{\prime}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.R_{i j}=h_{i}^{j} y_{i}^{\prime}+j_{i}^{j-1} y_{i}, \quad \begin{array}{rl}
i & =0,1 \ldots k-1\} \ldots(3.1 .10) \\
j & =0,1 \ldots k-1
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and $b_{i}=-h y_{i}{ }^{\prime}, i=0,1 \ldots . \ldots k-1 \ldots \ldots(3.1 \cdot 11)$
The system (3.1.9) has a unique solution
if $\operatorname{det} .(R) \neq 0 \quad---------(3.1 .12)$

In $a$ situation where $\operatorname{det}(\mathrm{R})=0$, there is a strong indication of the existence of singularity, and we can over step this singularity by adjusting the step-size. The singularity can be obtained from the poles of $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{x})$

### 3.2 THE PROPOSED NUMERICAL INTEGRATOR

The proposed integrator shall be a two-step numerical integrator. That is by setting $k=2$ in (3.1.7). we have $Y_{n}$

$$
\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}+2}=
$$

$$
1
$$

$$
1+\sum_{j=0} 2^{j} a_{j}
$$

$$
y_{n+2}=\frac{Y_{n}}{1+a_{0}+2 a_{1}}
$$

We shall now find the value of $a_{0}$ and $a_{1}$ using equations (3.1.10) and (3.1.11)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { That is, } R_{00} a_{0}=b_{0} \\
& \text { But } R_{00}=0 \text { and } b_{0}=-h y_{0}^{\prime} \\
& \text { Since } R_{00}=0 \text {, there is no unique solution to (3.2.2) } \\
& \text { (3.2) by }
\end{aligned}
$$

(3.1.12) above. Hence there is an indication of existance of singularity. To overstep this we go further to find the value of $a_{1}$ and consider $a_{0}$ to be zero.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { That is } R_{11} a_{1}=b_{1}-----(3.2 .3) \\
R_{11}=h y^{\prime}{ }_{1}+y_{1} \\
b_{1}=h y^{\prime}{ }_{1} \\
\therefore\left(h y^{\prime}{ }_{1}+y_{1}\right) a_{1}=-h y^{\prime}
\end{gathered}
$$

-by' ${ }_{1}$
$a_{1}=$

$$
\begin{equation*}
h y^{\prime}{ }_{1}+y_{1} \tag{3.2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting (3.2.3) into (3.2.1), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{n+2}=\frac{y_{n}}{2 h y^{\prime}}=\frac{y_{n}}{h y^{\prime}{ }_{1}+y_{1}-2 h y^{\prime}{ }_{1}} \\
& 1 \text { - } \\
& \overline{h y^{\prime}{ }_{1}+y_{1}} \\
& \overline{h y^{\prime}}+y_{1} \\
& y_{n}\left(h y^{\prime}{ }_{1}+y_{1}\right) \\
& \therefore y_{n+2}=  \tag{3.2.5}\\
& \text { : } \quad y_{1}-h y y^{\prime}{ }_{1} \\
& y_{n}\left(\text { ht }^{\prime} n+1+y_{n+1}\right) \\
& \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{I}} \cong \\
& y_{n+1}-h y y_{n+1}^{\prime} \\
& y_{n}\left(h f_{n+1}+y_{n+1}\right) \\
& \therefore \quad y_{n+2} \cong \frac{y_{n+1}-h f_{n+1}}{} \tag{3.2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

(3.2.6) is the proposed two-step numerical integrator.

### 3.3 CONVERGENCE OF THE METHOD

Theorem: A two-step numerical integrator of the form

$$
y_{n+2}=\frac{y_{n}\left(h f_{n+1}+y_{n+1}\right)}{y_{n+1}-h f_{n+1}}
$$

is convergent if and only if :
i. it is consistent

## ii. it is zero stable

## PROOF

We shall establish the convergence of the method by showing that the method is consistent and zero - stable. i. The integrator (3.3.1) can be written as

$$
\begin{gathered}
y_{n+2}=\frac{y_{n}\left(h f_{n+1}+y_{n+1}\right)}{y_{n+1}-h f_{n+1}} \\
y_{n+2}\left(y_{n+1}-h f_{n+1}\right)=y_{n}\left(h f_{n+1}+y_{n+1}\right) \\
y_{n+2} y_{n+1}-h y_{n+2} f_{n+1}=h y_{n} f_{n+1}+y_{n} y_{n+1} \\
y_{n+2} y_{n+1}-y_{n} y_{n+1}=h y_{n} f_{n+1}+h y_{n+2} f_{n+1} \\
y_{n+1}\left(y_{n+2}-y_{n}\right)=h f_{n+1}\left(y_{n}+y_{n+2}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

So that $y_{n+1}\left(y_{n+2}-y_{n}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=h f_{n+1} \tag{3.3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
y_{n}+y_{n+2}
$$

We now consider the first and second characteristics equations $\rho(r)$ and $\sigma(r)$ of (3.3.2)

That is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho(r)=\frac{r\left(r^{2}-r^{0}\right)}{r^{2}+r^{0}}=\frac{r^{3}-r}{r^{2}+1} \\
\therefore \quad & \rho(r)=\frac{1-1}{1+1}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { And } \quad \rho^{\prime}(r) & =\frac{\left(r^{2}+1\right)\left(3 r^{2}-1\right)-\left(r^{3}-r\right)(2 r)}{\left(r^{2}+1\right)^{2}} \\
\rho^{\prime}(1) & =\frac{(1+1)(3-1)-(1-1)(2)}{(1+1)^{2}}=\frac{2 \times 2-0}{4}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, $\sigma(r)=r$
This implies that $\sigma(1)=1$
Hence $\rho^{\prime}(1)-\sigma(1)=1-1=0$
Since,

$$
\rho^{\prime}(1)=0 \text { and } \rho^{\prime}(1)-\sigma(r)=0,
$$

it implies that the integrator is consistent.
ii. From the first characteristics equation of (3.3.2)

$$
\rho(r)=\frac{r\left(r^{2}-1\right)}{r^{2}+1}=0
$$

It implies that $r\left(r^{2}-1\right)=0$.
Hence $r=0$ or $r=r \pm 1$
Since the first characteristics equation of $\rho(r)$ has root with modulus less than one and the roots with modulus one each are simple roots, then the integrator is zero stable.

Therefore the two step numerical integrator is convergent since it is shown to be consistent and zerostable.

## CHAPTER FOUR

### 4.0 NUMERICAL SOLUTIONS FOR SINGULAR AND DISCONTINUOUS SYSTEMS.

### 4.1 SPECIFIC NUMERICAL EXAMPLES OF SINGULAR AND DISCONTINUOUS SYSTEMENS USING THE NEW SCHEME

Here we shall solve some initial value problems in which some compnents of the solution contain. discontnuities.
Problem I. Solve $y^{\prime}=1+y^{2}, y(0)=1$

## Solution

The exact solution is $y=\tan (x+\pi)$
we use $h=0.05$ and generate $y_{1}$ from the exact solution.

$$
\text { That is, } y_{1}=\tan \left(0.05+\frac{\pi}{4}\right)=1.10535559
$$

From the integrator

$$
y_{n+2}=\frac{\left(h f_{n+1}+y_{n+1}\right)}{y_{n+1}-h f_{n+1}}
$$

Thus, for example by putting $y_{1}=1.10535559$, we obtain:

$$
y_{2}=\underline{y_{0}\left(\mathrm{hf}_{1}+y_{1}\right)}=\underline{1.216446139}=1.223462647
$$

$$
y_{1}-h f_{1} \quad 0.9942650412
$$

$$
Y_{3}=\frac{y_{1}\left(\mathrm{hf}_{2}+\mathrm{y}_{2}\right)}{\mathrm{y}_{2}-\mathrm{hf}_{2}}=\frac{1.490357231}{1.098619605}=1.356572579
$$

Table 4.1 shows the performance of the integrator against the theoritical solution.

TABLE 4.1

## X

0.05
0.10
0.15
0.20
0.25
0.30
0.35
0.40
0.45
0.50
0.55
0.60
0.65
0.70
0.75
0.80
0.85
0.90
0.95
1.00

EXACT SOLUTION

1. 10535559
1.223048888
1.356087851
1.508497647
1.685796417
1.8957655123
2.2.14974764
2.464962757
2.868884028
3.408223442
4.169364046
5.331855223
7.3404436575
11.6813738
28.23825285
$-68.47966835$
$-15.45789164$
$-8.687629547$
$-6.020299716$
$-4.588037825$

NEW SCHEME
1.10535559
1.223462647
1.356572579
1.509573919
1.687119434
1.898012479
2.152670853
2.469554488
2.875314481
3.418434019
4.1854220
5.360482452
7.398142675
11.83889669
29.24158055
$-62.8918434$
$-15.13357206$
$-8.576871132$
-5.96331911
$-4.552159575$

There is a simple pole (singularity) at the point $x=\underset{4}{\pi}$.

Problem II Solve $\mathrm{y}^{\prime}=\mathrm{y}^{2}, \mathrm{y}(0)=1$

## Solution

$$
\text { The exact solution is } y=\frac{1}{1-x}
$$

We use unique meshsize $h=0.1$ and generate $Y_{1}$.

$$
\text { That is }, y_{1}=\frac{1}{1-0.1}=1.11111111 .
$$

There is discontnuity at the point $\mathrm{x}=1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { With } y_{1}=1.11111111 \text { we obtain } \\
& y_{2}=\frac{y_{0}\left(\mathrm{hf}_{1}+\mathrm{y}_{1}\right)}{\mathrm{y}_{1}-\mathrm{hf} \mathrm{H}_{1}}=\frac{1.234567901}{0.987654321}=1.250000
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, table 4.2 shows the performance of the integrator against the theoritical solution.

Table 4.2

| X | EXACT SOLUTION | NEW SCHEME |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 0.1 | 1.11111111 | 1.11111111 |
| 0.2 | 1.250000 | 1.240000 |
| 0.3 | 1.428571428 | 1.428571428 |
| 0.4 | 1.66666667 | 1.66666667 |
| 0.5 | 2.000000 | 2.000000 |
| 0.6 | 2.500000 | 2.500000 |
| 0.7 | 3.333333 | 3.3333333 |
| 0.8 | 5.000000 | 5.000000 |
| 0.9 | 10.000000 | 10.000000 |
| 1.0 | $\infty$ | $\infty$ |

PROBLEM III Solve $\mathrm{y}^{\prime}=\mathrm{xy}^{2}, \mathrm{y}(0)=2$

## Solution

The theoritical solution to this proble is $y=\frac{2}{1-x^{2}}$. It has simple poles (singularities) at the point $x= \pm 1$. The meșhsize for this problem is $\mathrm{h}=0.1$. By generating

$$
y_{1}=\frac{2}{1-(0.1)^{2}}=2.02020202,
$$

then we obtain by the integrator $\mathrm{y}_{2}=2.082474227$
Table 4.3 shows the performance of the integrator aganist the theoritical solution

Table 4.3

## X EXACT SOLUTION NEW SCHEME

0
0.1
2.00000
2.000000
0.2
2.02020202
2.02020202
2.0824744227
0.3
2.08333333
2.195796171
0.4
2.197802198
2.376183322
0.5
2.380952381
2.657045863
0.6
2.6666667
3.106887568
0.7
. 5.921568627
3.874638377
0.8
5.5555556
5.41943779
0.9
10.52631579
9.80597169
1.0
$+\infty$
86.55115567
1.1
-9.523809524
$-12.357829 .02$
1.2
$-4.545454545$
$-9.663164322$

### 4.2 Comparison of the results with some established

## Schemes

For the initial value problem

$$
y^{\prime}=1+y^{2}, y(0)=1,
$$

the performance of the integrator is compared with Fatunla [10], Adeboye [II] and Fatunla and Aashikpoelokhai [12] in table 4.4 below.

Table 4.4

X EXACT SOLUTION NEW SCHEME FATUNLA[10] ADEBOYE[11] FATUNLA

| 0.10 | 1.22304888 | 1.223462647 | 1.23530451 | 1.223433967 | 1.22304888 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.20 | 1.508497647 | 1.509573919 | 1.537684973 | 1.5099500011 | 1.50849765 |
| 0.30 | 1.8957655123 | 1.898012479 | 1.951571978 | 1.89853126 | 1.89576512 |
| 0.40 | 2.464962757 | 2.469554488 | 2.56946039 | 2.469199634 | 2.46496276 |
| 0.50 | 3.408223442 | 3.418434019 | 3.621678307 | 3.417521518 | 3.40822344 |
| 0.60 | 5.331855223 | 5.360482452 | 5.888280275 | 5.35733987 | 5.33185522 |
| 0.65 | 7.3404436575 | 7.398142675 | 8.446889 | 7.39121204 | 7.34043658 |
| 0.70 | 11.83889669 | 11.83889669 | 14.774102 | 11.81602726 | 11.6813738 |
| 0.75 | 28.23825285 | 29.24158055 | 57.272939 | 29.064451 | 28.2382529 |
| 0.80 | 68.47966835 | -62.8918434 | -30.7186028 | -64.0294308 | -68.4796683 |
| 0.90 | -8.6876295 | -8.575971 | -7.521752 | -8.581515 | -8.6876295 |
| 1.00 | -4.588037 | -4.552159 | -4.244590 | -4.550432 | -4.588037 |

The table above verifies that Fatunla and Aashikpelokai [12] has better performance than the new scheme, FAtunla [10] and Adeboye [11].

We now compare the performance of the integrator with Adeboye [11] and Fatunla [10] for the solution of the initial value problem $y^{\prime}=y^{2}, y(0)=1$ in table 4.5

TABLE 4.5 X EXACT SLOLUTION NEW SCHEME ADEBOYE [11] FATUNLA [10]

| 0 | 1.000000 | 1.00000 | 1.000000 | 1.0000000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.1 | 1.11111111 | 1.11111111 | 1.11111111 | 1.111111111 |
| 0.2 | 1.250000 | 1.2499988 | 1.24993925 | 1.24993925 |
| 0.3 | 1.428571428 | 1.428571428 | 1.428571428 | 1.373632654 |
| 0.4 | 1.6666667 | 1.6666667 | 1.666665 | 1.592365127 |
| 0.5 | 2.00000 | 2.0000000 | 1.9999998 | 1.893951333 |
| 0.6 | 2.50000 | 2.5000000 | 2.500000 | 2.336466749 |
| 0.7 | 3.333333 | 3.3333333 | 3.333333 | 3.048811424 |
| 0.8 | 5.000000 | 5.0000000 | 4.9999998 | 4.386028938 |
| 0.9 | 10.000000 | 10.0000000 | 10.0000000 | 7.812703145 |
| 1.0 | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | 35.71853141 |

Table 4.5 shows that both the integrator and Adeboye [11] performed better for the above initial value problem. The local truncation error is zero in each step. This implies that the two schemes are better. Fatunla[10] performance compared with theoretical solution indicates that the scheme performs well at the first few steps. There are some significant global errors before the point of discontnuity. In table 4.6 we compare the performance of the integrator with Adeboye[11] and Fatunla[10] for the initial value problem:

$$
y^{\prime}=y^{2}, y(0)=2
$$

TABLE 4.6

X EXACT SLOLUTION NEW SCHEME ADEBOYE[11]

| 0 | 2.000000 | 2.00000 | 2.000000 | 2.0000000 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 0.1 | 2.02020202 | 2.02020202 | 2.000000 | 2.000000 |
| 0.2 | 2.08333330 | 2.08247420 | 2.0481927 | 2.0408163 |
| 0.3 | 2.19780222 | 2.19579620 | 2.1501350 | 2.1276596 |
| 0.4 | 2.3809523 | 2.3761833 | 2.3210178 | 2.272772 |
| 0.5 | 2.666667 | 2.6570458 | 2.5923643 | 2.500000 |
| 0.6 | 3.1250000 | 3.1068876 | 3.0302021 | 2.8571429 |
| 0.7 | 3.1250000 | 3.8746384 | 3.789992701 | 3.4482759 |
| 0.8 | 5.5555556 | 5.4194374 | 5.3314222 | 4.5454545 |
| 0.9 | 10.5263158 | 9.8059072 | 9.8437399 | 7.14285715 |
| 1.0 | $\infty$ | 86.5511557 | 145.1418592 | 20.00002 |
| 1.1 | -9.5238095 | -12.35782902 | -10.73996318 | -19.99998 |
| 1.2 | -4.5454544 | -9.6631643 | -7.24731337 | -6.2499998 |

Table 4.6 shows the high performance of the integrator and Adeboye [11] over that of Fatunla [10] at the uniform meshsize $h=0.1$. Fatunla [10] gives rise to results which are still less accurate than the integrator and Adeboye [11].

## 4.3 <br> ERRORS IN COMPUTATIONAL RESULTS

The local truncation errors existing in the computation of problem $I$ is shown in table 4.7 below. The local truncation error is computed by

Error $=|\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{h})-\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{x})|$, where $\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{x})$ is the theoritcal solution and $y(x, h)$ is the numerical solution by the scheme.

## TABLE 4.7

X EXACT SOLUTION ERROR IN ERROR IN ERROR IN ERROR IN INTEGRATOR FATUNLA[10] ADEBOYE[11]FATUNLA \& AASHIKPEKAI [12]

| 0.10 | 1.22304888 | $4.137(-4)$ | $1.228(-2)$ | $3.857(-4)$ | $2.0(-10)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 0.20 | 1.508497859 | $1.076(-3)$ | $2.918(-1)$ | $1.002(-3)$ | $2.0(-10)$ |
| 0.30 | 8.895765123 | $2.247(-3)$ | $5.580(-2)$ | $2.087(-3)$ | $2.0(-10)$ |
| 0.40 | 2.46962757 | $4.592(-3)$ | $1.045(-1)$ | $4.237(-3)$ | $2.0(-10)$ |
| 0.50 | 3.40822344 | $1.021(-2)$ | $2.135(-1)$ | $9.321(-3)$ | $3.0(-10)$ |
| 0.60 | 5.331855223 | $2.863(-2)$ | $5.564(-1)$ | $2.604(-2)$ | $5.0(-10)$ |
| 0.65 | 7.34046575 | $5.771(-2)$ | $1.107(0)$ | $5.072(-2)$ | $7.0(10)$ |
| 0.70 | 11.6813738 | $1.003(0)$ | $3.092(0)$ | $1.349(-1)$ | $1.0(-9)$ |
| 0.75 | 28.23825285 | $5.587(0)$ | $2.903(1)$ | $8.276(-1)$ | $4.0(-9)$ |
| 0.80 | -68.4796683 | $3.243(-1)$ | $3.776(1)$ | $4.053(-1)$ | $2.0(-10)$ |
| 0.90 | -8.68766295 | $1.107(-1)$ | $1.666(0)$ | $1.062(-1)$ | $2.0(-10)$ |
| 1.0 | -4.588037 | $3.587(-2)$ | $3.421(-1)$ | $7.643(-3)$ | $2.0(-10)$ |

From the table we notice that Fatunla and Aashikpelokai[12] results show exceedingly high performance. The global errors are highly negligible. We also observe that the table shows that smaller meshsize $h$ produce small global errors at each mesh point.

Table 4.8 shows the computational errors in the integrator, Adeboye[11] and Fatunla[10] for problem II above.

Problem II: $y^{\prime}=y^{2}, y(0)=1$
TABLE 4.8

| $\times$ EXACT SOLUTION | ERROR IN | ERROR IN |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ERROR IN


| 0 | 1.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.1 | 1.111111111 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.2 | 1.25000 | 0 | 0 | $6.075(-5)$ |
| 0.3 | 1.428571428 | 0 | 0 | $5.492(-2)$ |
| 0.4 | 1.6666667 | 0 | 0 | $7.430(-2)$ |
| 0.5 | 2.000 | 0 | 0 | $1.060(-1)$ |
| 0.6 | 2.5000 | 0 | 0 | $1.635(-1)$ |
| 0.7 | 3.3333 | 0 | 0 | $2.845(-1)$ |
| 0.8 | 5.0000 | 0 | 0 | $6.1409(-1)$ |
| 0.9 | 10.000 | 0 | 0 | $2.187(0)$ |
| 1.0 | $\infty$ | 0 | 0 | - |

We observe from the table above the exceedingly high accuracy in the results of the integrator and Adeboye[11]. We also notice that smaller meshsize $h$ produce smaller global errors.

We compute the computational errors in the result. of problem III as it is shown in table 4.9 below. Problem III $y^{\prime}=x y^{2}, y(0)=2$.

TABLE 4.9
X EXACT SOLUTION ERROR IN ERROR IN ERROR IN
INTEGRATOR ADEBOYE[11] FATUNLA[10]

| 0 | 2.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.1 | 2.02020202 | 0 | $-2.02(-1)$ | $-2.02(-1)$ |
| 0.2 | 2.08333333 | $-8.591(-4)$ | $-3.514(-2)$ | $-4.25(-2)$ |
| 0.3 | 2.197802 | $-2.2261(-3)$ | $-4.788(-2)$ | $-7.036(-2)$ |
| 0.4 | 2.3809523 | $-4.769(-3)$ | $-5.993(-2)$ | $-1.082(-1)$ |
| 0.5 | 2.666667 | $-9.621(-3)$ | $-7.43(-1)$ | $-1.666(-1)$ |
| 0.6 | 3.125000 | $-1.811(-2)$ | $-9.479(-2)$ | $-2.678(-1)$ |
| 0.8 | 5.555556 | $-1.361(-1)$ | $-2.241(-1)$ | $-1.01(0)$ |
| 0.9 | 10.526318 | $-7.205(-1)$ | $-6.826(-1)$ | $-3.383(0)$ |
| 1.0 | $\infty$ | - | - | - |
| 1.1 | -9.5238095 | $2.834(0)$ | $1.216(0)$ | $1.0476(1)$. |
| 1.2 | -4.5454545 | $-5.1177(-0)$ | $-2.7018(0)$ | $-1.7045(-0)$ |

The values above suggest that the integrator and Adeboye[11] perform better than Fantula[10]. Therefore the integrator is a feasible numerical method.

## CHAPTER FIVE

## COMPUTER PROGRAMS

### 5.1 Computer Programs for the Problems Discussed in Chapter Four

PROGRAM PROB1 (input,output);

```
USES
    CRT;
CONST
    NOOFPTS = 50;
    PI = 3.141592654;
VAR
    EXACTY, X, Y {DUMMY VARIABLES} : ARRAY[0..NOOFPTS] OF REAL;
    STEP : REAL;
    INITX, FINALX : REAL;
    LASTI, I : INTEGER;
    COUNTER: REAL;
    CH:CHAR;
```

FUNCTION F(X, Y : REAL ) : REAL;
\{THE DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION TO BE SOLVED IS OF THE FORM
$\left.\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}=\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y})\right\}$
VAR SUPF : REAL;
BEGIN
$\mathrm{F}:=1+\operatorname{SQR}(\mathrm{Y}) ;\{$ PROBLEM TO BE SOLVED $\}$
END;
FUNCTION YEXACT( X : REAL ) : REAL;
\{THIS FUNCTION GENERATES RESULTS FOR THE EXACT SOLUTION OF THE
DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION UNDER CONSIDERATION (SEE FUNCTION F(X,Y)
\}
VAR
THETA : REAL;
BEGIN
THETA $:=\mathrm{X}+\mathrm{PI} / 4$;
YEXACT $:=\operatorname{SIN}($ THETA $) / \operatorname{COS}($ THETA $) ;\{$ THE EXACT SOLUTION OF $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y})$ \}
END;
PROCEDURE DISPRES( N: INTEGER );
VAR
PAGENO, LINENO, TOTLINE, J: INTEGER;
ERROR: REAL;
BEGIN
CLRSCR;

```
TOTLINE := 25;
WRITELN(' X EXACT NEW ERROR');
WRITELN(' SOLUTION SCHEME');
LINENO := 2;
FOR J := 0 TO N DO
BEGIN
    WRITE( X[J]:6:3,' ',YEXACT( X[J] ) :10:7,' ', Y[J]:10:7,' ');
    EXACTY[J] := YEXACT( X[J ] );
    ERROR := EXACTY[J ] - Y[ J ];
    WRITELN(ERROR:10:7);
    LINENO := LINENO + 1;
    IF LINENO = TOTLINE - 1 THEN
    BEGIN
        CH := READKEY;
        CLRSCR;
        PAGENO := PAGENO + 1;
        LINENO := 1;
    END{IF};
    END;
END;
{*************************************}
BEGIN {MAIN }
    CLRSCR;
    WRITE( 'PLEASE ENTER THE STEP LENGTH: ' );
    READLN( STEP );
    WRITE('PLEASE ENTER THE INITIAL VALUE OF X: ' );
    READLN(INITX );
    WRITE('PLEASE ENTER THE LAST VALUE OF X: ');
    READLN(FINALX);
    WRITE( 'PLEASE ENTER THE VALUE OF Y AT ',INITX:5:3,': ' );
    READLN( Y[0] );
    WRITE( 'PLEASE ENTER THE VALUE OF Y[1]: ' );
    READLN( Y[1] );
    X[0] := INITX;
    X[1] := INITX + STEP;
    COUNTER := INITX + STEP;
    I := 2;
    WHILE COUNTER <= FINALX DO
    BEGIN
        COUNTER:= COUNTER + STEP;
        X[I] := X[I-1] + STEP;
        Y[I]:= Y[I-2 ]*(STEP * F(X[I-1],Y[I-1] ) + Y[I-1]);
        Y[I] := Y[I]/( Y[I-1]-( STEP * F(X[I-1],Y[I-1]) ) );
        I := I + 1;
    END;
    LASTI := I - I;
    DISPRES(LASTI);
    CH := READKEY;
```

END

PROGRAM PROB2 (input,output);

```
USES
    CRT;
CONST
    NOOFPTS = 50;
    PI=3.141592654;
VAR
    EXACTY, X, Y {DUMMY VARIABLES} : ARRAY[0..NOOFPTS] OF REAL;
    STEP, MINH, MAXH, INCR : REAL;
    INITX, FINALX : REAL;
    X0, Y0 : REAL;
    K, LASTI, I : INTEGER;
    COUNTER: REAL;
    CH: CHAR;
```

$\{* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * ~\} ~$
FUNCTION F(X, Y : REAL ) : REAL;
\{THE DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION TO BE SOLVED IS OF THE FORM
$\left.\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}=\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y})\right\}$
VAR SUPF: REAL;
BEGIN
$\mathrm{F}:=\mathrm{SQR}(\mathrm{Y}) ;$;PROBLEM TO BE SOLVED $\}$
END;
$\{* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *\}$

FUNCTION YEXACT ( X : REAL ) : REAL;
\{THIS FUNCTION GENERATES RESULTS FOR THE EXACT SOLUTION OF THE DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION UNDER CONSIDERATION (SEE FUNCTION F(X,Y)
\}
BEGIN
YEXACT := $1 /(1-\mathrm{X})$; \{ THE EXACT SOLUTION OF F(X,Y) \}
END;
$\{* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *\}$
PROCEDURE DISPRES( N: INTEGER );
VAR
PAGENO, LINENO, TOTLINE, J : INTEGER;
ERROR: REAL;
BEGIN
CLRSCR;
TOTLINE : $=25$;
WRITELN(' X EXACT NEW ERROR');

WRITELN(' SOLUTION SCHEME' );
LINENO :=2;
FOR J : = 0 TO N DO
BEGIN
WRITE( X[J]:6:3,' ');

```
IF J = 10 THEN
    WRITE( 'INFINITY INFINITY')
ELSE
BEGIN
        WRITE(YEXACT( X[J] ) :10:7,' ');
        WRITE(Y[J]:10:7,'' ');
    END;
    EXACTY[J]:= YEXACT( X[J]);
    ERROR := EXACTY[J ] - Y[J ];
```

    IF \(\mathbf{J}=10\) THEN
        WRITELN
    ELSE
WRITELN( ERR.OR: 10:7);
EXACTY[J] := YEXACT( X[J]);
LINENO := LINENO + 1;
IF LINENO $=$ TOTLINE -1 THEN
BEGIN
CH:=READKEY;
CLRSCR;
PAGENO := PAGENO + 1 ;
LINENO := 1 ;
END\{IF\};
END;
END;
BEGIN \{MAIN \}
CLRSCR;
WRITE( 'PLEASE ENTER THE STEP LENGTH: ' );
READLN( STEP );
WRITE('PLEASE ENTER THE INITIAL VALUE OF X: ' );
READLN( INITX );
WRITE('PLEASE ENTER THE LAST VALUE OF X: ');
READLN(FINALX);
WRITE( 'PLEASE ENTER THE VALUE OF Y AT ',INITX:5:3,': ' );
READLN ( Y[0] );
WRITE( 'PLEASE ENTER THE VALUE OF Y[1]: ' );
READLN( Y[1] );
X[0] := INITX;
X[1] := INITX + STEP;
COUNTER := INITX + STEP;

```
\(1:=2\);
WHILE COUNTER <= FINALX DO
BEGIN
    COUNTER := COUNTER + STEP;
    X[I] := X[I-1]+STEP;
    \(\mathrm{Y}[\mathrm{I}]:=\mathrm{Y}[\mathrm{I}-2]^{*}(\operatorname{STEP} * \mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{X}[\mathrm{I}-1], \mathrm{Y}[\mathrm{I}-1])+\mathrm{Y}[\mathrm{I}-1])\);
    \(\mathrm{Y}[\mathrm{I}]:=\mathrm{Y}[\mathrm{I}] /(\mathrm{Y}[\mathrm{I}-1]-(\operatorname{STEP} * \mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{X}[\mathrm{I}-1], \mathrm{Y}[\mathrm{I}-1]))\) );
    I := I + 1;
END;
LASTI := I-1;
DISPRES(LASTI);
CH := READKEY;
END
```

PROGRAM PROB3 (input,output);

```
USES
    CRT;
CONST
    NOOFPTS = 50;
    PI = 3.141592654;
VAR
    EXACTY, X, Y {DUMMY VARIABLES} : ARRAY[0..NOOFPTS] OF REAL;
    STEP, MINH, MAXH, INCR : REAL;
    INITX, FINALX : REAL;
    X0, Y0 : REAL;
    K, LASTI, I : INTEGER;
    COUNTER: REAL;
    CH: CHAR;
```

FUNCTION F(X, Y : REAL ) : REAL;
\{THE DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION TO BE SOLVED IS OF THE FORM
$\left.\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}=\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y})\right\}$
VAR SUPF: REAL;
BEGIN
$\mathrm{F}:=\mathrm{X} * \mathrm{SQR}(\mathrm{Y}) ;$ \{PROBLEM TO BE SOLVED\}
END;

FUNCTION YEXACT (X : REAL ) : REAL;
\{THIS FUNCTION GENERATES RESULTS FOR THE EXACT SOLUTION OF THE DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION UNDER CONSIDERATION (SEE FUNCTION F(X,Y) \} \{VAR
THETA : REAL;

```
}
```

```
{ THETA:= X + PI/4;}
    YEXACT := 2 / ( 1-SQR(X) ); { THE EXACT SOLUTION OF F(X,Y) }
END;
{*************************************}
PROCEDURE,DISPRES( N: INTEGER );
VAR
PAGENO, LINENO, TOTLINE, J : INTEGER;
ERROR: REAL;
BEGIN
    CLRSCR;
    TOTLINE := 25;
    WRITELN(' X EXACT NEW ERROR');
    WRITELN(' SOLUTION SCHEME');
    LINENO := 2;
    FOR J := 0 TO N DO
    BEGIN
        WRITE( X[J]:6:3,' ');
        IF J = 10 THEN
        WRITE('INFINITY ')
        ELSE
            WRITE(YEXACT( X[J] ):10:7,' ');
        WRITE(Y[J]:10:7,' ' );
        EXACTY[J ] := YEXACT( X[ J ] );
        ERROR:= EXACTY[J]-Y[J];
        IF J = 10 THEN
        WRITELN
        ELSE
            WRITELN(ERROR:10:7);
        LINENO := LINENO + 1;
        IF LINENO = TOTLINE - 1 THEN
        BEGIN
        CH := READKEY;
        CLRSCR;
        PAGENO := PAGENO + 1;
            LINENO := 1;
        END{IF};
    END;
END;
{*************************************}
BEGIN {MAIN }
    CLRSCR;
    WRITE( 'PLEASE ENTER THE STEP LENGTH: ' );
    READLN( STEP );
    WRITE('PLEASE ENTER THE INITIAL VALUE OF X: ' );
    READLN( INITX );
    WRITE('PLEASE ENTER THE LAST VALUE OF X: ');
```

READLN(FINALX);
WRITE( 'PLEASE ENTER THE VALUE OF Y AT ',INITX:5:3,': ' );
READLN ( Y[0] );
WRITE( 'PLEASE ENTER THE VALUE OF Y[1]: ' );
READLN( Y[1] );
$\mathrm{X}[0]:=$ INITX;
X[1] := INITX + STEP;
COUNTER := INITX + STEP;
I := 2;
WHILE COUNTER <= FINALX DO
BEGIN
COUNTER := COUNTER + STEP;
X[I]:=X[I-1]+STEP;
$\mathrm{Y}[1]:-\mathrm{Y}[1-2]^{*}($ STEP $* \mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{X}[\mathrm{I}-1], \mathrm{Y}[1-1])+\mathrm{Y}[1-1])$;
$\mathrm{Y}[\mathrm{I}]:=\mathrm{Y}[\mathrm{I}] /(\mathrm{Y}[\mathrm{I}-1]-(\operatorname{STEP} * \mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{X}[\mathrm{I}-1], \mathrm{Y}[\mathrm{I}-1]))$ );
$\mathrm{I}:=\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{I}$;
END;
LASTI: = I-1;
DISPRES(LASTI);
CH := READKEY;
END.

| $\mathrm{y}=1+$sqr $(\mathrm{y}), \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}(0)=1$ <br> Exact <br> Solution |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0.0000 | 1.0000000 |
| 0.0500 | 1.1053556 |
| 0.1000 | 1.2230489 |
| 0.1500 | 1.3560879 |
| 0.2000 | 1.5084976 |
| 0.2500 | 1.6857964 |
| 0.3000 | 1.8957651 |
| 0.3500 | 2.1497476 |
| 0.4000 | 2.4649628 |
| 0.4500 | 2.8688840 |
| 0.5000 | 3.4082234 |
| 0.5500 | 4.1693640 |
| 0.6000 | 5.3318552 |
| 0.6500 | 7.3404366 |
| 0.7000 | 11.6813738 |
| 0.7500 | 28.2382529 |
| 0.8000 | -68.4796678 |
| 0.8500 | -15.4578961 |
| 0.9000 | -8.6876295 |
| 0.9500 | -6.0202997 |
| 1.0000 | -4.5880378 |


| New |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| Scheme | Error |
| 1.0000000 | 0.0000000 |
| 1.1053556 | -0.0000000 |
| 1.2234626 | -0.0004138 |
| 1.3565726 | -0.0004847 |
| 1.5095739 | -0.0010763 |
| 1.6871194 | -0.0013230 |
| 1.8980125 | -0.0022474 |
| 2.1526709 | -0.0029232 |
| 2.4695545 | -0.0045917 |
| 2.8753145 | -0.0064305 |
| 3.4184340 | -0.0102106 |
| 4.1854221 | -0.0160580 |
| 5.3604825 | -0.0286273 |
| 7.3981428 | -0.0577062 |
| 11.8388970 | -0.1575232 |
| 29.2415826 | -1.0033297 |
| -62.8918387 | -5.5878292 |
| -15.1335715 | -0.3243246 |
| -8.5768710 | -0.1107586 |
| -5.9633190 | -0.0569807 |
| -4.5521595 | -0.0358783 |

$$
y=\operatorname{Sqr}(y), y(0)=1
$$

| X | EXACT <br> SOLUTION |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0.0000 | 1.0000000 |
| 0.1000 | 1.1111111 |
| 0.2000 | 1.2500000 |
| 0.3000 | 1.4285714 |
| 0.4000 | 1.6666667 |
| 0.5000 | 2.0000000 |
| 0.6000 | 2.5000000 |
| 0.7000 | 3.3333333 |
| 0.8000 | 5.0000000 |
| 0.9000 | I0.0000000 |
| 1.0000 | INFINITY |

## NEW <br> SCHEME

$1.0000000 \quad 0.0000000$
$1.1111111 \quad 0.0000000$
$1.2500000 \quad 0.0000000$
$1.4285714 \quad 0.0000000$
$1.6666667 \quad 0.0000000$
$2.0000000 \quad 0.0000000$
$2.5000000 \quad 0.0000000$
$3.3333333 \quad 0.0000001$
4.99999990 .0000001
$9.9999995 \quad 0.0000005$

ERROR
0.0000000
0.0000000
0.0000000

$$
y=\operatorname{Sqr}(y), y(0)=1
$$

## X

0.0000
0.1000
0.2000
0.3000
0.4000
0.5000
0.6000
0.7000
0.8000
0.9000
1.0000

EXACT
SOLUTION
1.0000000
1.1111111
1.2500000
1.4285714
1.6666667
2.0000000
2.5000000
3.3333333
5.0000000
10.0000000

INFINITY

## NEW SCHEME

1.0000000
1.1111111
1.2500000
1.4285714
1.6666667
2.0000000
2.5000000
3.3333333
4.9999999
9.9999995 INFINITY

## ERROR

0.0000000
0.0000000
0.0000000
0.0000000
0.0000000
0.0000000
0.0000000
0.0000001
0.0000001
0.0000005
$y=x * \operatorname{sqr}(y), y(0)=2$

X
0.0000
0.1000
0.2000
0.3000
0.4000
0.5000
0.6000
0.7000
0.8000
0.9000
1.0000
1.1000
1.2000

EXACT
SOLUTION
2.0000000
2.0202020
2.0833333
2.1978022
2.3809524
2.6666667
3.1250000
3.9215686
5.5555556
10.5263158

INFINITY
-9.5238095
$-4.5454545$

NEW
SCHEME
$2.0000000 \quad 0.0000000$
2.0202020 2.0824742
2.1957962
2.3761833
2.6570459
3.1042747
3.8733800
5.4138502
9.7919394
85.7856389
-12.3760542
-13.1279990

ERROR
0.0000000
0.0008591
0.0020060
0.0047691
0.0096208
0.0207253
0.0481886
0.1417054
0.7343763
2.8522447
8.5825445

### 5.2 DISCUSSION OF THE RESULTS

The numerical values for problem one above suggest that the integrator is a feasible numerical method for treating initial value problems with discontinities/ singularities. We observe from the computational errors that smaller meshsize ,h, produce smaller global errors.

However, the integrator being two-step, is expected to use the values of $y_{n}$ and $Y_{n+1}$ to compute $y_{n+2}$ as an approximation to $y\left(x_{n+2}\right)$. To achieve this we simply generate the value of $y_{n+1}$ using the exact solution. The integrator couverges rapidly when used to solve certain initial value problems with singularities.

The numerical values as shown in the results of problem two indicate also that the integrator is a good numerical methods. The results show the exceedingly high performcane of the integrator. The integrator gives accurate results nearly as good as the theoretical results.

Also in problem three we constrast the results and observe that the integrator performs well in this class of initial value problems therefore the integrator is well suited for initial value problems with discontinuities or singularities.

### 5.3 SUMMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

### 5.3.1 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

We shall conclude this project by summarising the details of the previous chapters. In chapter one, we discussed the general historical background of the subject differential equation. In chapter one also we give some
discussions about the development of some important linear multi-step methods such as Euler's rule, Mid-point rule, trapezoidal rule, Adams-Moulton methods etc. We also discussed the derivation of some finite difference schemes for solving partial differential equations.

Chapter two is mainly the literature review on the treatment of singular and discontinuous initial value problems. The overview of some methods of treating singular and discontinuous system such as non- polynomial methods, inverse polynomial, explicit convergent one-step method, and a fifth order L-stable numerical methods were made.

A new scheme for treating singular and discontinuous systems was established in chapter three. The integrator is zero stable and consistent. Hence, it is convergent. The new scheme is proposed to cope with singular and discontinuous intial value problems. It may not cope with stiff and oscillatory differential equation.

Some numerical experiments were performed in chapter four using the new integrator. We also compared the performance of the new integrator with the theorical solutions. The integrator converges rapidly for certain initial value problems.

Finally some computer programmes were written to solve the initial value problems discussed in chapter four. The results of the programmes as contrasted with the theoritical solutions show that the integrator is a good numerical method.

### 5.3.2 RECOMMENDATION

The area of mathematical formulation of physical phenomena in electical engineering, simulation, control theory and economics often gives or leads to an initial value problem of the form $y^{\prime}=f(x, y), y(0)=y_{0}$. The fundamental concern is always the computation or solution of such problems. However, most of the conventional integrator formulas, i.e linear multistep methods perform very inefficiently in the treatment of a singularity. In order to circumvent this problem it is important to provide alternative strategies so as to establish algorithms which will perform well in the treatment of singularities. To achieve this, it is recommendable to research into the subject of this research work and earlier works.
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