GEOELECTRICAL INVESTIGATION FOR GROUNDWATER POTENTIAL AT GOVERNMENT SECONDARY SCHOOL, KWAKUTI NIGER STATE, NIGERIA

[1,2]Aliu, O.D., [1]Alhassan U.D. and [1]Salako, K.A.
[1]Department of Physics, School of Physical Sciences, Federal University of Technology, Minna
[2]Department of Geophysics, School of Physical Sciences, Federal University of Technology, Minna, Niger State.
Corresponding Author's email: aliuonotu@yahoo.com

Abstract
Vertical Electrical Sounding (VES), using Schlumberger array was carried out to investigate the subsurface layer parameters used to delineate groundwater potential of a 500 x 500 m area of land defined by latitude 9.416622 N to 9.421171 N and longitude 6.618314 E to 6.622833 E located at Government Secondary School, Kwakuti, Niger State. A total of 36 VES points at 100 m interval were sounded with a 100 m maximum half inter current electrode spacing (AB/2). Result revealed that the study area is underlain by three (3) geoelectric layers which include: the top soil with 104.5 to 2260.5 Ωm, 0.6 to 3.8 m and 0.6 to 3.8 m as its range of resistivity, depth and thicknesses respectively; the weathered/fractured layer having resistivity of 44.9 to 606.0 Ωm, depth of 4.3 to 28.6 m and thickness of 4.2 to 26.2 m was considered aquifer layer. The fresh basement has 919.4 to 3816.9 Ωm as its range of resistivity value with undefined depth and thickness. The observed curve types were 100% H. Five (5) VES stations C3, C4, D2, D5, and E4 were delineated as aquifer potentials of the study area, their resistivity, depth and thickness range from 135.2 to 227.7 Ωm, 20.6 to 28.6 m and 17.8 to 26.2 m respectively.

1.1 Introduction

Water is considered to be a basic component of life as all living things rely heavily on it for their existence. It is highly needed for domestic, agricultural and industrial use and its sources are mainly the surface and groundwater source. Presently, the use and sustainability of water is getting more complex due to population growth, urbanization and industrialization.

Groundwater is the water present in soil pore spaces beneath Earth's surface and in the fractures of rock formations. It is available in different proportions, in various rock types and at various depths on the surface layer of the earth. A unit of rock or an unconsolidated deposit that can yield a usable quantity of water is referred to as an **aquifer**. The depth at which fractures or soil pore spaces in rock becomes fully saturated with water is called the water table (Alhassan et al., 2017).

It is considered to be a less contaminable source of water which makes it suitable for drinking and agricultural purpose; though fairly dispersed all over the world, it cannot be found in good quantity everywhere, hence the need for a careful investigation/survey beforehand (Alhassan *et al*., 2015).

Various geophysical methods have been employed successfully for ground water exploration in different parts of the world over the years. Some of these methods include magnetic, electrical, electromagnetic, gravity, seismic, remote sensing etc. Of all these methods, the electrical resistivity method has been the most widely used geophysical tool for groundwater investigation because of its advantage which include simplicity in field technique and data handling procedure and it is the most effective (Anomohanran, 2013; Alhassan *et al*., 2017).

The Vertical Electrical Sounding (VES) is an electrical resistivity method that is widely used for depth sounding due to its simplicity and it is used to estimate the electrical resistivity variation of the earth subsurface vertically downward since the electrical resistivity of most rocks is dependent on the amount of water in the pore spaces within the rocks, the dissemination of these pores and the salinity of the water in the pore spaces reliability (Olawuyi and Abolarin, 2013).

In this study, vertical electrical sounding method was used to determine the depth to bedrock which constitutes the basics for geotechnical survey.

2.1 Material and Method

The material used in this study are: ABEM SAS 4000 Terrameter, handheld global positioning system (GPS), measuring tape, electrodes, cables, pegs, geologic hammer and test resistor box.

The above mentioned materials were used to investigate the parameters of the subsurface structures of the study area. The Terrameter Signal Averaging System (SAS) model 4000 and its accessories were used to carry out the vertical electrical sounding (VES) of a total of thirty six (36) points in the study area. Six traverses with 6 VES points each were made, the inter traverse and inter VES point spacing were 100 metres and the Schlumberger array pattern with half inter electrode spacing (AB/2) ranging from 1-100 meters was adopted. Through a pair of current electrodes A and B, direct current (DC) was supplied into the ground and the potential difference was measured by means of another pair of electrodes M and N called the potential electrodes.

To increase the depth of investigation, the current electrode separation was increased while the potential separation remained constant. The sensitivity of the potential electrode measurement decreases as the current electrodes spacing increases, therefore, at some point, it was necessary to increase the potential electrode spacing.

The geometric factor, K, was first calculated for all the electrode spacing using the formula:

$$K = \pi\left(\frac{\left(\frac{AB}{2}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{MN}{2}\right)^2}{MN}\right) \qquad (3.1)$$

Then the apparent resistivity (ρa) values were obtained by multiplying K by the resistance(R) values

$$\rho_a = KR \qquad (3.2)$$

Also, the apparent resistivity values obtained were plotted against AB/2 using winResist software and from the plots; the resistivity, depth and thickness of each of the subsurface layer were deduced.

3.0 Results and Discussion

3.1 Geoelectric Section

The Geoelectric section (VES curve) as shown in Figure 1.1a and 1.1b, provides information about the subsurface layer resistivity, depth and thickness as summarised in table 1.1.



Figure 1.1a: Geoelectric section of VES point A_1



Figure 1.1b: Geoelectric section of VES point F_6

Table 1 shows the summary of results obtained from each geoelectric section across profile A to F which reveals that the study area is underlain by three (3) geoelectric subsurface layers. The first layer which is the top layer has resistivity value ranging from 104.5 – 2260.5 Ωm, its depth and thickness varies between 0.6 and 3.8 m and 0.6 and 3.8 m respectively which correspond to the geoelectrical parameters of weathered laterite and fresh laterite (table 3.1). The second layer has resistivity value of 44.9 – 606 Ωm, depth of 4.3 – 28.6 m and thickness of 3.4 – 26.2 m; this layer refers to the weathered/fractured basement. The resistivity of the third layer ranged from 919.4 – 3816.9 Ωm, its depth and thickness are undefined.

Table 1: Layer resistivity, depth, thickness and curve type

VES Stations	Latitude (°)	Longitude (°)	No. of Layers	Layer Resistivity, ρ (Ωm)			Layer Depth, *d* (m)			Layer Thickness, *h* (m)			Curve Type
				ρ1	ρ2	ρ3	d1	d2	d3	h1	h2	h3	
A1	9.416622	6.618314	3	866.9	161.4	1876.7	2.2	15.8	∞	2.2	13.7	∞	H
A2	9.416628	6.619224	3	1081.8	239.7	2204.5	1.5	16.7	∞	1.5	15.3	∞	H
A3	9.416634	6.620134	3	1463.1	189.6	2030.3	1.9	16.7	∞	1.9	14.8	∞	H
A4	9.416640	6.621044	3	1003.7	155.5	2305.5	2.3	13.8	∞	2.3	11.5	∞	H
A5	9.416646	6.621954	3	1035.6	168.9	3528.0	1.6	12.2	∞	1.6	10.6	∞	H
A6	9.416652	6.622864	3	1073.4	281.3	3816.9	2.0	14.0	∞	2.0	12.1	∞	H
B1	9.417525	6.618308	3	1274.9	164.2	2093.7	2.3	17.2	∞	2.3	14.9	∞	H
B2	9.417531	6.619218	3	1340.7	179.2	2286.9	2.7	17.3	∞	2.7	14.6	∞	H
B3	9.417538	6.620128	3	774.2	174.4	3696.2	3.1	18.2	∞	3.1	15.2	∞	H
B4	9.417544	6.621038	3	651.0	189.4	2088.6	2.0	15.5	∞	2.0	13.5	∞	H
B5	9.417550	6.621948	3	848.8	252.5	2667.1	1.9	17.6	∞	1.9	15.7	∞	H
B6	9.417556	6.622858	3	450.6	254.6	2029.9	1.4	23.0	∞	1.4	21.6	∞	H
C1	9.418429	6.618302	3	1103.5	197.4	1906.2	2.7	21.7	∞	2.7	19.0	∞	H
C2	9.418435	6.619212	3	1114.1	186.2	3233.8	1.5	14.1	∞	1.5	12.6	∞	H
C3	9.418441	6.620122	3	1190.8	135.2	1991.3	2.2	23.0	∞	2.2	20.8	∞	H
C4	9.418447	6.621032	3	657.4	135.3	982.4	2.4	28.6	∞	2.4	26.2	∞	H
C5	9.418454	6.621942	3	104.5	44.9	3131.8	0.8	4.3	∞	0.8	3.4	∞	H
C6	9.418460	6.622852	3	1070.3	163.9	1834.9	0.6	4.8	∞	0.6	4.2	∞	H
D1	9.419333	6.618295	3	666.8	606.0	2746.8	1.0	7.2	∞	1.0	6.1	∞	H
D2	9.419339	6.619205	3	1019.7	159.7	1172.5	2.8	20.6	∞	2.8	17.8	∞	H
D3	9.419345	6.620115	3	1859.6	135.8	1252.2	1.8	18.7	∞	1.8	16.9	∞	H
D4	9.419351	6.621026	3	1443.1	200.2	1024.0	1.5	20.1	∞	1.5	18.5	∞	H
D5	9.419357	6.621936	3	1480.7	227.7	1468.5	1.6	25.7	∞	1.6	24.1	∞	H
D6	9.419363	6.622846	3	537.3	192.4	1452.3	2.1	20.5	∞	2.1	18.4	∞	H
E1	9.420236	6.618289	3	1656.5	85.9	946.1	1.5	24.0	∞	1.5	22.4	∞	H
E2	9.420242	6.619199	3	2260.5	102.8	919.4	1.5	16.7	∞	1.5	15.2	∞	H
E3	9.420249	6.620109	3	1076.3	136.5	1612.5	3.3	20.2	∞	3.3	16.9	∞	H
E4	9.420255	6.621019	3	889.3	186.6	1369.5	2.5	26.0	∞	2.5	23.5	∞	H
E5	9.420261	6.621929	3	834.2	230.7	1604.5	1.3	25.4	∞	1.3	24.1	∞	H
E6	9.420267	6.622840	3	532.4	231.4	1432.2	1.1	23.2	∞	1.1	22.1	∞	H
F1	9.421140	6.618283	3	1426.9	97.3	1299.4	1.4	9.4	∞	1.4	8.0	∞	H
F2	9.421146	6.619193	3	888.7	112.4	1457.2	3.8	19.9	∞	3.8	16.2	∞	H
F3	9.421152	6.620103	3	2203.2	130.2	1504.9	3.3	21.1	∞	3.3	17.8	∞	H
F4	9.421158	6.621013	3	1048.4	172.4	1492.5	3.3	21.2	∞	3.3	17.9	∞	H
F5	9.421165	6.621923	3	1455.5	193.4	1799.5	2.8	20.2	∞	2.8	17.4	∞	H
F6	9.421171	6.622833	3	866.2	308.4	1192.7	2.1	23.5	∞	2.1	21.4	∞	H

3.2 Geologic sections of the study area

Figure 2.1 to 2.6 reveals the vertical geologic section through profile A – F showing the layers of the subsurface structure, their depth and thickness.

3.2.1 Geologic Section of Profile A

The geologic section through profile A (Figure 2.1) reveals that the profile is characterised by three layers. The first layer is the top soil which spreads through the entire profile; its resistivity, depth and thickness range from 866.9 – 1463.1 Ωm, 1.5 – 2.3 m and 1.5 – 2.3 m respectively.
The second layer is a weathered/fractured layer, its resistivity, depth and thickness varies between 155.5 and 281.3 Ωm, 12.2 and 16.7 m and 10.6 and 15.3 m respectively; it spreads across the entire profile. The third layer underlies the second layer, it is the fractured/fresh basement, it has a resistivity range of 1876.7 – 3816.9 Ωm and undefined depth and thickness.



Figure 2.1: Vertical geologic section through profile A

3.2.2 Geologic Section of Profile B

Figure 2.2 represents the geologic section through profile B, it reveals that the profile is characterised by three geoelectric layers. The first layer which is the top soil spreads through the entire profile; its resistivity, depth and thickness range from 450.6 – 1340.7 Ωm, 1.4 – 3.1 m and 1.4 – 3.1 m respectively. The second layer refers to the weathered/fractured layer, its resistivity, depth and thickness varies between 164.2 – 254.6 Ωm, 15.5 – 23.0 m and 13.5 – 21.6 m respectively; it spreads across the entire profile. The second layer is underlain by the third layer which is the fresh basement, it has a resistivity value of 2029.9 – 3696.2 Ωm and undefined depth and thickness.



Figure 2.2: Vertical geologic section through profile B

3.2.3 Geologic Section of Profile C

The geologic section through profile C (Figure 2.3) reveals that three distinct layers exist therein. The first layer is the top soil spreading through the entire profile; its resistivity, depth and thickness ranges from 104.5 – 1190.8 Ωm, 0.6 – 2.7 m and 0.6 – 2.7 m respectively. The second layer is a weathered/fractured layer which also spreads across the entire profile, its resistivity, depth and thickness varies between 44.9 – 197.4 Ωm, 4.3 – 28.6 m and 3.4 – 26.2 m respectively. The third layer which is the fresh basement underlies the second layer, it has a resistivity range of 982.4 – 3233.8 Ωm and undefined depth and thickness. There is a reservoir effect spreading from VES C_3 to C_4 which makes these points favourable for groundwater development.



Figure 2.3: Vertical geologic section through profile C

3.2.4 Geologic Section of Profile D

Three geoelectric layers exist within profile D as clearly revealed by its geologic section (Figure 2.4). The first layer which is the top soil spreads through the entire profile; its resistivity, depth and thickness range from 537.3 – 1859.6 Ωm, 1.0 – 2.8 m and 1.0 – 2.8 m respectively. The second layer which is the weathered/fractured layer has resistivity, depth and thickness of 135.8 – 606.0 Ωm, 7.2 – 25.7 m and 6.1– 24.1 m respectively; it spreads across the entire profile. The fresh basement which is the third layer underlies the second layer, it has a resistivity range of 1024.0 – 2746.8 Ωm with depth and thickness undefined. VES point D2 and D5 are good points for groundwater exploitation.



Figure 2.4: Vertical geologic section through profile D

3.2.5 Geologic Section of Profile E

Figure 2.5 shows the geologic section through profile E, it reveals that three distinct layers exist therein. The first layer is the top soil which spreads through the entire profile; its resistivity, depth and thickness range from 532.4 – 2260.5 Ωm, 1.1 – 3.3 m and 1.1 – 3.3 m respectively. The second layer is a weathered/fractured layer which also spreads across the entire profile, its resistivity, depth and thickness varies between 85.9 – 231.4 Ωm, 16.7 – 26.0 m and 15.2 – 24.1 m respectively. The third layer which is the fresh basement has a resistivity range of 919.4 – 1612.5 Ωm with an undefined depth and thickness. VES point E_4 will be suitable for groundwater development.



Figure 2.5: Vertical geologic section through profile E

3.2.6 Geologic Section of Profile F

The geologic section through profile F (Figure 2.6) reveals that the subsurface is made up of three geoelectric layers. The first layer is the top soil which spreads through the entire profile; its resistivity, depth and thickness range from 866.2 – 2203.2 Ωm, 1.4 – 3.8 m and 1.4 – 3.8 m respectively. The second layer is a weathered/fractured layer which also spreads across the entire profile, its resistivity, depth and thickness varies between 97.3 – 308.4 Ωm, 9.4 – 23.5 m and 8.0 – 21.4 m respectively. The third layer which is the fresh basement has a resistivity range of 1192.7 – 1799.5 Ωm with an undefined depth and thickness.



Figure 2.6: Vertical geologic section through profile F

3.3 Delineated aquifer potentials of the study area

Table 2 contains the VES points delineated as aquifer potential of the study area, the range of resistivity, depth and thickness of these aquifers are 135.2 to 227.7 Ωm, 20.6 to 28.6 m and 17.8 to 26.2 m respectively.

Table.2 Delineated aquifer potentials of the study area

VES Stations	Latitude (°)	Longitude (°)	No. of Layers	Layer Resistivity ρ (Ωm)			Layer Depth (m)			Layer Thickness (m)			Curve Type
				ρ1	ρ2	ρ3	d1	d2	d3	h1	h2	h3	
C_3	9.418441	6.620122	3	1190.8	135.2	1991.3	2.2	23.0	∞	2.2	20.8	∞	H
C_4	9.418447	6.621032	3	657.4	135.3	982.4	2.4	28.6	∞	2.4	26.2	∞	H
D_2	9.419339	6.619205	3	1019.7	159.7	1172.5	2.8	20.6	∞	2.8	17.8	∞	H
D_5	9.419357	6.621936	3	1480.7	227.7	1468.5	1.6	25.7	∞	1.6	24.1	∞	H
E_4	9.420255	6.621019	3	889.3	186.6	1369.5	2.5	26.0	∞	2.5	23.5	∞	H

4.0 Conclusion

Electrical resistivity method has been shown to be a suitable and very efficient tool in investigating groundwater potential by the results obtained from the analysis of the data acquired in field of survey. The resistivity of the top layer, weathered/fractured layer and fresh basement layer varies from 104.5 to 2260.5 Ωm, 44.9 to 606.0 Ωm and 919.4 to 3816.9 Ωm respectively across the entire study area; the depth of the top layer ranges from 0.6 to 3.8 m, that of the weathered/fractured layer varies from 4.3 to 28.6 m while that of the fresh basement layer is undefined across the six(6) profiles investigated; also, the study area has 0.6 to 3.8 m and 4.2 to 26.2 m as the thickness of its the top layer and weathered/fractured layer respectively, the fresh basement layer has an undefined thickness.

References

Alhassan, U. D., Obiora, D. N., Okeke, F. N. (2015). The Assessment of Aquifer Potential and Aquifer Vulnerability of Southern Paiko, North Central Nigeria, Using Geoelectric Method. *Global Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences*. 21: 51-70.

Alhassan, U. D., Obiora, D. N., Okeke, F. N. (2017). Geoelectrical Investigation of Groundwater Potentials of Northern Paiko, Niger State, North Central Nigeria. *Journal of Earth Science*. 28(1). Available: http://en.earth-science.net.

Anomohanran, O. (2013). Geophysical Investigation of Groundwater Potential in Ukelegbe, Nigeria. *Journal of Applied Sciences*. 13(1): 119-125.

Olawuyi, A. K.; Abolarin, S. B. (2013)Evaluation of Vertical Electrical Sounding Method for Groundwater Development in Basement Complex Terrain of West-Central Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Technological Development*. 10 (2): 22-28.