

# DOES POVERTY DISCRIMINATE BETWEEN GENDERS? AN EXAMINATION OF HOUSEHOLD POVERTY IN NIGER STATE

<sup>1</sup> Mukaila Adebisi Ijaiya	<sup>2</sup> Ganiyat Adejoke Adesina-Uthman
(mkijaiya@gmail.com)	(almiqdad@gmail.com,)
+2347030224131	+2348099471198

<sup>1</sup>Department of Entrepreneurship and Business Studies, Federal University of Technology, Minna <sup>2</sup>Department of Economics, Faculty of Social Sciences, National Open University of Nigeria, Jabi Abuja

#### Abstract

Gender inequality has been acknowledged as an important factor that is affecting poverty in the world both at the micro and macro levels. Many countries around the world have been battling with the menace of poverty for over decades with no serious achievement on its reduction despite some efforts geared toward it under Millennium Development Goals (MDGs). This paper examines the impact of gender equality on household's poverty reduction in Niger State, Nigeria. It focuses on the micro level of gender equality and how it reduces the level of household's poverty in Niger State. The study employs a set of household data generated from the administration of structured questionnaire to 479 households in Niger State. The paper investigates the impact of gender equality on household's poverty reduction in Niger State, Nigeria using Analysis of Moment Structure (AMOS) software and Structural Equation Model (SEM) as method of analysis. The results obtained shows evidence of gender inequality which has significantly affects the living standard of the households of women in the study area. Consequently, fulfilling a priori expectation that gender inequality has negative impact on household's poverty reduction. The study suggests policy measures that would address household's poverty reduction and gender inequality through girl-child education and women empowerment programmes in Niger State in particular and Nigeria in general.

Keywords: Gender Equality; Households; Inequality, Poverty Reduction

## 1. Introduction

The progress made over the years in improving the social and economic status of women and girls notwithstanding, the situation of gender in the world is still measured by structural inequality and anachronisms. In the last decade, the international agreements negotiated at the United Nation (UN) level pledge with the governments of UN members to eradicate political, legal, and social discrimination against women in all spheres of society (Rodenberg, 2004).

Evidence shows that Nigeria is blessed with huge minerals and natural resources, but many of its people are poor and starving in the midst of plenty. The poverty trend in Nigeria can be traced back to the year of independence where about 15 percent of the population lived below poverty line. In 1980, with an estimated population of 64.6 million in Nigeria, the poverty level rose to 28.1 percent and subsequently rises to 69.1 percent in the year 2010. The percentage rate represents, in absolute term 112.4 million people from an estimated population of about 160 million people. It again rose to 70 per cent in the year 2011 and 2012 and slightly drop to 67 per cent in 2013 (Ijaiya, Oni, Ikupolati, Saliu, and Ochepa 2018).



In Nigeria, using institutional indicators by gender, such as percentage of university enrolment, percentage of teaching staff in tertiary schools, percentage of seats held in National Assembly, percentage of high ranking government administrators, percentage of Federal Ministry, Department and Agencies (MDAs), staff on grade level 15 - 17 and percentage of judges in courts also depicts the extent of inequality in Nigeria.

Niger State is part of North central region of Nigeria, and its poverty rate as at 2014 stood at 61.20 percent. The causes of poverty in the State can be attributed to high level of adult illiteracy, gender inequality, lack of access to basic needs, such as, food, shelter, drinkable water, health, sanitation, epileptic electric power supply among others (NBS, 2014; UN 2015). The effects of increase in the rate of poverty in the state can lead to poor nutrition and physical health problems, gender inequality which will eventually lead to malnutrition and starvation, infectious disease, mental illness and drug dependence related crime and violence, as well as increase in the rate of "Almangiri" menace (Ijaiya, Dayang &Rambeli, 2016).

Some of the factors that determined poverty and inequality (income and gender disparities) in Nigeria include among others; an unprecedented decline in both economic growth and social development caused by the huge fall in the price of crude oil in the international market [(the key foreign exchange earner of the nation) from US\$109 per barrel in 2008 to US\$37 per barrel in 2015], exchange rate volatility that led to excessive devaluation of the nation's currency (Naira) from N125.81 per US\$ in 2008 to N192.44 per US\$ in 2015 at official rate, increase in inflation rate from 9 per cent in 2014 to 18.5 per cent in 2016, macroeconomic policy inconsistency, instability and policy reversals, budget contraction, public sector dominance in production of goods and services, weak institutional capacity for economic policy management and coordination, lack of effective coordination among the three tiers of government, increase in security challenges in the North East and the Niger Delta that limited revenues, investment and output in both the real and oil sectors, a huge external debt overhand, deterioration in the state of infrastructural facilities (most especially electricity power supply), bad governance, pervasive rent seeking and corruption (AfDB, 2016).

Despite the numerous policies and strategies put in place by the Nigeria government since independent in other to reduce the poverty rate in the country, evidence shows that the rate of poverty is still on the increase (NBS, 2014; Balogun, Yusuf, Omonana & Okoruwa, 2011; Balogun, 2011; Ojimba, 2012; Zaccheaus & Nwokoma, 2012; Ijaiya, Dayang & Norimah, 2016). As indicated in Table 1, there is no aspect of the institutional indicators that did not show that women in Nigeria were not marginalised over the years. For instance, in 2015, male university enrolment rate was 55.3 percent to female 44.7 percent, male in the nation's Senate in the National Assembly was 91.7 percent to female 8.3 percent and male judges in the nation's courts was 73.8 percent to female 26.8 percent (Ijaiya *et al.* 2018).

Recently, there is a concerned by both researchers and policymakers with the understanding of the reasons why Africa's have had limited impact on poverty reduction despite high growth rates. Measuring the growth of elasticity of poverty indicate that the effect is even lower in countries where inequality is high. However, most of these measures consider inequality along the income dimension. At the same time, there is another type of inequality that deserves



### Table 1: Gender Inequality in Nigeria

Year	Year University Teaching Enrolment Staff in (%) Tertiary		ching	Seats held in the National Assembly			High Ranking Government Administrators (%)				Federal MDAs Staff on Grade Level 15 – 17 (%)		Judges in Courts (%)			
		Schools (%)		(*	(%)											
					Sen	ate	Hou	se of	Gove	rnors	Dep	outy				
							Re	p.			Governors					
	Μ	F	М	F	Μ	F	Μ	F	Μ	F	Μ	F	Μ	F	Μ	F
2010	58.9	41.1	74.6	25.4	-	-	-	-	100	0	86.1	13.9	-	-	74.3	25.7
2011	57.3	42.7	75.6	24.4	92.7	7.3	93.9	6.1	100	0	91.7	8.3	75.4	24.6	73.5	26.5
2012	57.4	42.6	74.4	25.6	-	-	-	-	100	0	97.2	2.8	75.2	24.8	74.5	25.5
2013	56.1	43.9	74.5	25.5	-	-	-	-	100	0	94.4	5.6	74.0	26.0	73.7	26.3
2014	56.0	44.0	75.1	24.9	-	-	-	-	100	0	97.2	2.8	72.5	27.5	74.7	25.3
2015	55.3	44.7	73.7	26.3	91.7	8.3	92.8	7.2	100	0	94.4	5.6	72.1	27.9	73.8	26.2

Note: M is Male; F is Female

Source: NBS, (2015)

attention: That is the inequality among genders, which remains to be considerable in many African countries (ADR, 2015). Inequality between men and women is one of the most crucial disparities in many societies even in modern age particularly in the less developed countries, Nigeria inclusive. Women tend in general to fare quite badly in relative terms compared with men, even within the same families.

Achieving equality between men and women has both intrinsic and instrumental significance. Intrinsically, women, like men, have a right to justice in all societies. Instrumentally, achieving gender equality would have numerous economic and social benefits for women, their children and for society as a whole. Denying 50 percent of Africa's population from their deserved justice and the opportunities to contribute to economic and socioeconomic development; impact negatively on the continent as a whole. Despite the numerous merits of achieving a gender equal society, men and women are far from being equal in Africa. Therefore, this paper examines the impact of gender equality on household's poverty reduction in Niger State, Nigeria.

The rest of the paper is structured as follows: section two provides the literature review of poverty and gender inequality, section three provides methodology and data source, section four present and interpret the result, while conclusion and policy implication are provided in the last section.

## 2. Review of Related Literature

Gender inequality refers to a situation where there is no equal treatment of men and women. This unequal treatment can be partially or wholly on basis of the gender. Gender inequality arises mainly due to the differences in socially constructed roles in genders. This difference, between men and women; is generally as regards to political, social, economic or any other problem that is perceived to exist as a result of such differences (Women, 2017). Gender inequality is a universal problem. Inequality in treatment of men and women is one of the most crucial disparities in many societies. Differential treatment of women is reflected in matters such as education and opportunity to development, availability of health care facilities, nutrition, property rights, etc. The significance of this issue can be understood by the fact that the United Nations has set promotion of gender equality and empowerment of women as one of



its Millennium Development goals. Empowerment and equality are important human rights on their own. The record-class status of women carries a social cost, not only for women, but also for men, and society in general. Gender inequality exists in most countries of the world; however, the problem is more acute in some countries as compared to others. To be more specific, greater gender inequality has been observed in the developing countries of Asia, Africa and Latin America. According to Sen (2001) "Gender inequality is not one homogeneous phenomenon, but a collection of disparate and interlinked problems".

According to the UN-Habitat (2003), gender is a term that clearly means the economic, social and cultural attributes and opportunities linked with being male or female. In virtually all the societies across the globe women have the roles they play and so do men as well. This could be seen clearly in the activities that are ascribed to men and women which shapes access to and control of resources. While Okechuku (2013) identified gender as a social institution, cultural construct and power tool.

In the perspective of many, the term 'gender' is mostly touted as being related to the female gender. Nevertheless, as the society is changing, that notion has had impact on political, economic, social and cultural environments at the local, national and supra national levels. This development generated series of write-ups and one of such is the assertion made by Soetan (2003) where he postulated that the thought of gender is not limited to male or female, but rather it goes beyond that notion where an assessment of the relations between male and female are constantly being renegotiated.

In this regard gender analysis call for knowledge and understanding of both women and men's roles and responsibilities. However, the comparative analysis between these phenomenon is that it will highlight the gender inequalities of any society. Gender inequality does not imply that all women are worse off than all men. Relatively, gender (being male or female) is a significant social division characterized by inequality.

Many development agencies proffered meanings and clarity as regards the meaning of gender and other related concepts for instance, the Canada –Ukraine Gender Fund (2004) suggests that gender equality is when "women and men enjoy the same status and have equal opportunities for realizing their full human rights and potential to contribute to national, political, economic, social, and cultural development, and to benefit from the results". In a similar fold the UN-Habitat (2003) states that "gender analysis must take into consideration and address differentials in control over and access to land and other resources, inequalities in gender participation and roles in decision-making forums as well as inequalities in representation concerning urban planning and development".

Adediran (2006) posits that sustainability is only achievable when the management of gender related issues and ecosystem relationships within the confine of the immediate environment are known and recognized. This affirmation maintains that the throng of gender-differentiated information on the social, environmental, technical and economic aspects of development will render planning and forecasting for development more effectual and unrestricted, two themes that are needed for sustainable development.



The conception associated with equality stressed that both men and women enjoy equal opportunities. Aligning fully with the fact that gender equity is a process or approach for achieving gender equality in real sense. Even though, development organizations agree with the model that surround gender equity and as such the United Nations regards gender equality as a human right; alongside empowering women which is an indispensable tool for moving development further and reducing poverty (Igbuzor, 2010).

According to Okpe (2015), gender inequality can be pragmatically seen in the following areas: *Labour and Employment:* many women do not normally earn the same income as men for the same work done particularly when the work is casual or unorganized type which is where most women secure employment. Many studies showed that majority of public servants are discriminated against in the area of maternity, sexual harassment and employment practices.

Access to Finances and Credit: In Nigeria many banks and financial homes do not feel comfortable giving loans to women and most times women have to present men especially their spouses as guarantors before they can access credit for their economic activities. This consequently results in more women becoming poorer and hindering them from bringing out their full potentials thereby impacting negatively on their business potentials.

*Harmful Traditional Practices:* Many cultures have discriminatory cultural practices against women. Such traditional practices include female genital mutilation, widowhood practices, male preference, and domestic violence. All of these pull down women and lend weight to discrimination against them. The dense workload of women's household chores and lack of home decision making powers contribute to the deprivation of women in terms of their rights and life. Information on family planning where they exist at times produces detrimental side effects. Male favoritism leads to exploitation and low self-esteem for the female child right from birth and consequently, she does not develop her full potentials to enable her make efficient contribution to the nation.

*Violence against Women:* This cuts across all cultures and tradition, women are silent victims of rape, sexual assault/harassment and battery, derogatory widowhood practices, forced labor, human trafficking, incest, and other forms of gender assaults and abuses. Most common among them all is domestic violence which is still regarded as a private affair requiring no legal or official intervention.

Access to Justice: in this part of the world women are politically, economically, socially, culturally, educationally, and legally disadvantaged. They cannot take advantage of facilities and opportunities available to them to attain and enforce their fundamental human rights. They are mostly ignorant of their fundamental rights and freedoms.

## Poverty: Concepts, Causes and Consequences

Poverty is a multidimensional in nature; scholars have described it in different ways. There is no precise agreement on the definition of poverty. Depending on the societies and changes over time, the perceptions, contexts, meanings and usages may differ among the observers and researchers. For example, World Bank (2006) defines poverty as a condition of having insufficient resources or income. In its most extreme form, poverty is a lack of basic needs, such as adequate and nutritious food, clothing, housing, clean water, and health services.



According to United Nations (2009), "fundamentally, poverty is the inability of getting choices and opportunities, a violation of human dignity. It means lack of basic capacity to participate effectively in society. It means not having enough to feed and clothe a family, not having a school or clinic to go, not having the land on which to grow one's food or a job to earn one's living, not having access to credit. It means insecurity, powerlessness and exclusion of individuals, households and communities. It means susceptibility to violence, and it often implies living in marginal or fragile environments, without access to clean water or sanitation". Related to the definition of poverty are the causes and consequences of poverty. Maldonado (2004) classified the causes of poverty into two, (i) low productivity of available households.

The first one is associated to limited endowments (that is, human capital, technology and knowledge, social capital and physical capital), not well-defined property rights, and precarious access to markets (e.g., markets for goods and services, financial services, labour markets, and land markets). These constraints make it difficult for poor households to take fuller advantage of their productive opportunities.

The second one is the instability of income and consumption results from the incidence of shocks and the lack of mechanisms to anticipate and cope with adverse occurrences. The inability of households to deal efficiently with shocks may lead to loss of productive assets and, thereby, reduce income-generating opportunities. To solve this problem, households may choose strategies that generate lower, but more stable returns in the process trap into poverty. Consequently, poverty involves a complex array of risk factors that adversely affect the population in a multitude of ways. It has a wide ranging and often devastating effects. World Bank (2006) highlight five major consequences of poverty. These are malnutrition and salvation; infectious disease and exposure to the element; mental illness and drug dependence; crime and violence and lastly; long-term effect.

The bulk of consequences related to gender inequality and industrial development especially in the developing nations can be segmented into economic, environmental and socially related spheres. The critical are summarized below: Economically, research has revealed that there is low level of women's participation in the manufacturing sector. UNDESA (2010) found that, women accounts for only 24% of available jobs in manufacturing and they are more likely to access low-payment, low productivity and access vulnerable jobs with no basic rights, social protection nor voice than the men folks. Women produce between 60 and 80% of food in most developing countries and are responsible for half of the world's food production (UNIDO, 2012).

In the same vein the available statistics excerpted from UNDESA (2010) gave an insight as regards literacy level and gender, where women account for 2/3 of the world's 774 million illiterate adults, and above one-quarter of researchers in the field of science. It was also discovered that there is still a momentous gender gap between young males and females who study within the shells of primary, secondary and tertiary education.

In the environmental context, research revealed that there is very low level of access to adequate resources, advanced technologies and modernized operating practices. This is seen to create



exclusion of vulnerable women, girls, men and boys from fully contributing to economic growth and sustainable development.

More so, there is low exigency access to energy which is considered a kind of inefficiency that greatly hinders full participation of women and indirectly promotes gender inequality. One out of five persons' lacks access to modern energy services, in a nutshell, three billion people rely on alternative source of energy such as wood, coal, charcoal or animal waste for cooking and heating(UNIDO, 2012).

Consequently, this study is an attempt to examine the connection between gender inequality and poverty in Niger state with the aim of filling a gap on whether poverty discriminate among gender. Most studies on gender and poverty are usually focused on contribution of inequality on poverty.

## Empirical Review of Gender Inequality and Poverty Reduction

Kabeer (2015) provides a brief history of feminist contributions to the analysis of gender, poverty, and inequality in the field of international development in his study. It draws out the continuous threads running through these contributions over the years, as the focus has moved from micro-level analysis to a concern with macro-level forces. It concludes with a brief note on some of the confusions and conflations that continue to bedevil attempts to explore the relationship between gender, poverty, and inequality.

Lang and Lingnau (2015) based their study on post-2015 development agenda which requires to improve the concepts and measure of different forms of poverty and inequality. They build on the experiences made with the Millennium Development Goals, their study gave an overview of several possible approaches by discussing the strengths and weaknesses of the agenda. They considered national, international, relative and multidimensional concepts. It was argued that the discussions on the post-2015 agenda offer an opportunity to introduce new global measurements of progress that complement gross domestic product-based approaches, they made a case for a simple yet comprehensive index as a headline indicator that could help to better inform poverty and inequality reduction policies in the post-2015 world.

Cole, Puskur, Puskur and Zulu (2015) use qualitative data to investigate whether poverty is a consequence of women's limited access to natural resources or a cause that further exacerbates gender inequalities in Zambia. The study shows that many Zambians relied on wetlands, lakes, and rivers for their livelihoods. Social norms and power relations restrict access to natural resources provided by these aquatic agricultural systems for certain social groups, thus differentially impacting livelihood security (especially for women). A gender transformative lens and the concept of the "masculine rural" helps exploring poverty in the Barotse Flood plain in western Zambia. Sorting cause from consequence in such a context may help inform policies and research and development interventions that aim to facilitate equitable conditions for women who depend on resources provided by aquatic agricultural systems to secure their livelihoods.

Ogbeide and Agu (2015) in their study sought to establish whether or not there is a causal relationship between poverty and inequality in Nigeria. Using Granger causality techniques, issues pertaining to poverty and inequality have also continued to receive wider attention among

0

LAPAI INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MANAGEMENT AND SOCIAL SCIENCES A Publication of the Faculty of Management & Social Sciences, IBB University, Lapai, Niger State-Nigeria Vol. 11 No.2, December, 2019 ISSN: 2006-6473

scholars in various economies of the globe. However, there seems to be a gap in literature as to the existence of a causal relationship between poverty and inequality. Should there be causality; the direction of causality is yet to be known, especially as it pertains to Nigerian economy. Therefore, this study found out that there is a direct line of causality between poverty and inequality as well as indirect channels through unemployment and low life expectancy on inequality which exacerbate poverty in Nigeria.

## Theoretical framework

According to Sen (2009), economic development needs to be defined in terms of 'entitlement' and 'capability'. By entitlement, it means a set of alternative commodity bundles that an individual can command through the totality of rights and obligations that one faces. Thus, entitlement generates 'capabilities' that represent a person's freedom to achieve various functioning combinations. In other words, capability is essentially one type of freedom. And by economic development, Sen (2009) suggests capability expansion or expansion of freedoms. For instance, poverty is a failure to achieve certain minimum capabilities. Lack of freedoms like hunger, malnutrition, poverty, poor health, economic insecurity, poor schooling and health care among others generates inequalities. Despite remarkable growth, a vast section of population of the contemporary society is deprived of basic freedoms. One of such lack of freedom leading to inequality is gender bias. Though Human Development Index (HDI) incorporates some aspects of human development, inequalities in opportunities between men and women are missed out in HDI. Gender bias in health care, mortality rates of women are high compared to men. It is the adverse sex ratio as observed in Asia and North Africa which is attributed to 'missing women' concept (UNDP, 2018).

With the advancement of economic progress as well as social progress, such clear cut bias against women should have declined. Unfortunately, such trend is on the rise. Capability deprivation results in gender inequality. Through sex- selective abortion, female fetuses are eliminated so that no female child is born. In 1998, as a result, for the world as a whole, shortfall of women relative to men exceeded 100 million. This is called 'missing women'. (Allyse, Mollie; Berson; Sridhar; Margaret, 2015). According to World Development Report (2012), the figure is 3.9 million in low income countries. Substantive freedoms that a male member enjoys are denied to female member. For example, women eat less and get little medical attention. Educational gender gap is also perceptible. Women have no or little power or authority over any household decision-making process. Outside the home, women are politically marginalised. All these reflect gender disparity. To measure the extent of this disparity or inequality, UNDP (1995) took a new initiative to construct an index called 'gender-related development index' (GDI). Also to measure the extent of empowerment of women, the UNDP devised 'gender empowerment index' (GEI) (1995). The HDI measure achievements in human development in terms of three indicators, but ignores differences between men and women. Gender differentials in achievement are studied with the index called GDI. Like the HDI, GDI measures achievements in the same three dimensions and variables in respect of men and women.

These three dimensions are: estimated earned income measured by US \$; knowledge, as measured by the adult literacy rate and the combined primary, secondary and tertiary gross enrolment ratio; and a long and healthy life, as measured by life expectancy at birth. The study



therefore aligns with the postulation of Sen (2009) that economic development is an entitlement that generate capability and failure to attain some minimum capability in some basic needs is what usually leads to poverty.

### 3. Methodology

This study was conducted in Niger State of Nigeria. Niger State is one of the State in the Northern part of Nigeria, specifically, North Central Region. The State is located in an area of about 150 Kilometer from Abuja, the Federal Capital of Nigeria and on Latitude 8022'N and 11030'N and Longitude 3030'N and 7020'E.

Data was collected through structured questionnaire administered among the heads of households in Niger State between the month of February 2019 and May 2019. A multistage sample design was used to collect cross sectional data from households in the study area. Specifically, a stratified sampling method and a random sampling were used in selecting the respondents. The first stage was to identify the sample areas which comprise 25 local government areas, which was divided into 3 senatorial district.

In the state, two local government areas were randomly selected from each of the senatorial districts based on the proximity, ecological, socio-cultural, language speaking, and economic variations. This was necessary for equal representation of the study area. The second stage identified the number of households and population in each study area, while the third stage of the sampling involves random selection of 87 households in each of the selected study areas. In all a total sample of about 519 heads of households were randomly selected to respond to the questions in the questionnaires.

In determining the influence of gender inequality on poverty reduction in Niger State, Nigeria, an econometrics model of simultaneous equation modeling through structural equation model was built around the indicators of gender equality and poverty reduction as the main objective of the paper. The model was used in estimating the impact of these indicators on the poverty reduction in Niger State, Nigeria. The variables considered are as follows: Knowledge (Education status), Income status and Participation in government.

Where:

PovR = Poverty reduction of the female heads of household in the study area.

- ES = Education status of the heads of household in the study area.
- IS = Income status of the heads of household in the study area.
- PG = participation in government

Ui = Error terms.

The responses to the questionnaires by the respondents were coded and then analyzed using SPSS version 22. Structural Equation Model (SEM) was conducted using Analysis of Moment Structure (AMOS). All tests were at 95 percent confidence interval.

Structural Equation Model (SEM) is a statistical tool used in testing and estimating the causal relationships among latent variables. SEM was derived from an econometrics simultaneous equation modeling. SEM technique can be categorized among the second generation multivariate analysis such as confirmatory factor analysis, correlation, multiple linear regression and path analysis (Fornell, 1987). Also, SEM is a technique used by researcher to simultaneously assess the relationships that exist between multiple independent and dependent constructs. SEM can also be called latent variables model, the term structural depicts a causal relationship that the parameters show. AMOS was the software used in the analysis of the data.

According to Kline (1998), a sample size that is more than 200 can be considered to be large enough for Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) analysis. Hence, this study is qualified to adopt Structural Equation Model (SEM) technique, because the sample size exceeds 200.

### 4. Results

#### Measurement Model Fit

In the measurement model, the model fit generated along with the output including the Comparative fit index (CFI), Normed fit index (NFI), Goodness of fit index (GFI), Root Mean Square of Error Approximation (RMSEA) and Relative Chi-square meet their expected range to justify the validity of the measurement model.

Fit Indices	Authors	Recommended Values	Values from current model		
CFI	Bentler, (1990),	>.90	9.54		
	Hatcher, (1994)				
NFI	Bentler & Bonett,	>.90	9.76		
	(1987)				
GFI	Yuan, K.H, (2005),		9.58		
	Steiper, J.H, (2007),	>90			
	Hair et.al., (2010	>.80			
RMSEA	Byrne, (2001), Hu	< 0.50 or <= 0.08	0.04		
	& Bentler (1999)				
Relative Chi-square	Marsh & Hocevar,	0.05  or < 5.0	2.543		
	(1985), Bentler				
	(1990)				

Table 2: Established Criteria for fit Indices

The CFI generated for the study was 9.54, NFI is 9.76, GFI is 9.58, RMSEA is 0.04 and Relative chi-square is 2.543. Also, the unidimensionality was tested and this was achieved because all factors loading were positive and greater than 0.5.

The value in diagonal and bold is the square root of AVE of the construct while other values are the correlation between the respective constructs. The discriminant validity is said to be achieved when a diagonal value (bold) is higher than the values in its row and column. Therefore, this study exhibits sufficient discriminant validity since the value in bold is higher than the values in its row and column. Also, there is the absence of multicollinearity since the correlation coefficient among the latent variables did not exceed 0.85 (See Zainudin, 2015).

L/	APA	I IN
A Pu	ablica	ation
Vol.	11	No

Table 3: Discrim	inant Validity Testing			
	ES	IS	PG	Pov
ES	0.75			
IS	0.24	0.79		
PG	0.29	0.42	0.84	
Pov	0.36	0.44	0.37	0.92

Table 4: Results of SEM on the Impact of Gender Inequality on Poverty Reduction in Niger State, Nigeria

Construct Results	β	SE	Beta	CR		ρ
ES	-0.213	0.042	-0.245	3.213	0.641	Not Significant
IS	-0.143	0.041	-0.423	2.413	0.543	Not Significant
PG	-0.254	0.043	-0.615	4.234	0.734	Not Significant
R = 0.82						
$R^2 = 0.68$						

Table 4, shows the regression analysis on the impact of gender inequality on poverty reduction in Niger State, Nigeria. TheR<sup>2</sup>value of 0.68 shows that 68 percent variation in the dependent variable; Poverty can be explained by the explanatory variables (gender inequality components). According to Chin, Marcolin and Newsted (2003), R<sup>2</sup> values greater than 0.67 is having practical value. R<sup>2</sup> value between 0.33 and 0.66 is assumed to have moderate explanatory value, while R<sup>2</sup> value between 0.19 and 0.32 has weak explanatory value. Therefore, R<sup>2</sup> for this study is 0.68 and it has a practical explanatory power. Looking at the individual variables, all independent variables, gender inequality components are statistically insignificant, an indication that the model is acceptable.

Educational status (ES) has a coefficient of -0.213, with critical ratio of 3.213 was negatively related to poverty reduction and statistically insignificant at 1 percent level. The beta result of -0.245 indicates that educational status has 24.5 per cent direct effect on poverty reduction among gender in Niger State, this can be attributed to the fact that female education is not a priority in the study area rather male education is preferred. Income status (IS) has coefficient of -0.143 with critical ratio of 2.413 been negatively related to poverty reduction and statistically insignificant at 1 per cent level. The beta result of -0.423 indicates that income status has 42.3 per cent direct effect on poverty reduction among gender in Niger State, Nigeria. This could be as a result of the fact that most women are not allowed to work, they are mostly full housewife, and they are not engaging in any economic activities. This can be attributed to the religion belief or their ethical belief. Participation in government (PG) has coefficient of -0.254 with critical ratio of 4.234 was negatively related and statistically insignificant at 1 percent level. The beta result of 0.615 indicates that participation in government has 61.5 per cent direct effect on poverty reduction among gender in Niger State, Nigeria. This can be attributed to the fact that women in the study area are not allowed to participate in politics because of their religion and ethical belief. All these have negative impact on gender poverty reduction in the study area. This result goes in line with our a priori expectation also similar with the work of Blau, (2016)



and Kabeer, (2015) who were of the view that gender inequality components such as educational status, income status and participation in government have negative impact on poverty status in United States.

## 5. Conclusion and Recommendations

This paper investigates the impact of gender inequality components on poverty reduction in Niger State, Nigeria, using Structural Equation Model technique. This study focused on investigating on the causal relationship between gender and poverty using Niger state, Nigeria. The findings of this study revealed that educational status (ES), income status (IS), and participation in government (PG) all have negative impact on poverty reduction in Niger State, Nigeria. Gender inequality and poverty have been seen as two evils that are highly associated with a view of impacts which seem incontestable and must be fought together. The result of the study showed clearly that there is a very high level of poverty and poverty does not discriminate among gender in the study area in Niger State. There is a feedback causality effect between gender and poverty in Niger State, Nigeria. Income and educational status as well as participation in government does not reduce poverty in the study area. This is consistent with the few studies that have investigated the causal relationship between both. The result of the study further showed that educational status, income status and participation in government causes inequality between genders in the study area. Thus, there is an indirect link between poverty and gender inequality as well as an inequality causing poverty.

It recommends that female education should be encouraged in the study area, it's should be a major tool to be considered in the fight against poverty and gender inequality in the study area. It is also recommended that the issue of income inequality should also be addressed among genders; this can be done through women empowerment. This should not be left for the government alone; the private sectors are also encouraged to be actively involved in this as well as individuals through imbibing the spirit of entrepreneurship. The study also recommended that women participation in government should also be encouraged; this will go a long way in reducing the inequality among women in the study area. This study thus, concludes that since gender inequality and poverty are two major problems that are eating up the country, policy measure that would improve gender equality and poverty reduction in the study area should be put in place. Niger State government should try as much as possible to encourage women employment in the state.

### References

- Adeniran, A. (2006). A Non-dependent framework for development, Thisday, Wednesday, August 23, 2006, Pp. 45.
- African Development Report (2015). Growth, poverty and inequality nexus: Overcoming barriers to sustainable development
- African Development Bank. (AfDB) (2016). Gender, poverty and environmental indicators on African countries 2016. Abidjan: AfDB.
- Aigbokhan, B. E. (2017). The Price of inequality in Nigeria. In *Presidential Address Delivered* at the 58th Annual Conference of the Nigerian Economic Society held at the Nicon Luxury Hotel, Abuja.



Allyse, M; Mollie A. Minear; E., Berson; S. & Sridhar; M. R. (2015). Non-invasive prenatal testing: a review of international implementation and challenges. *Int. J. Womens Health*7, 113–126

Arriagada, I. (2005). Dimensions of poverty and gender policies. Cepal Review.

- Balogun, O. L. (2011). Determinants of poverty among rural households in South Western States, Nigeria. International Journal of Agricultural Science, Research and Technology, 1(3), 99-104.
- Balogun, O. L., Yusuf, S. A., Omonona, B. T., & Okoruwa, V.O. (2011). Social capital andmicrocredit effects on poverty among the rural households in South-West States, Nigeria. ARPN Journal of Agriculture and Biological Science. 6(3), 210-221.
- Bentler, P. & Bonett, D.G. (1987). Significance test and goodness of fit in the analysis of covariance structure. *Psychological Bulletin, 88, 588-603.*
- Bentler, P. M. (1990). Comparative Fit Indexes in Structural Equation Models. *PsychologicalBulletin*, 107, 238-246.
- Blau, F. D. (2016). Gender, inequality, and wages. OUP Catalogue.
- Canada-Ukraine Gender Fund (2004): Canadian charter of rights and freedoms: Concept of gender and gender relationswww.genderfund.com.ua
- Chin, W. W., Marcolin, B. L., & Newsted. P. R. (2003). A partial least squares latent variablemodeling approach for measuring interaction effects: Results from a Monte Carlosimulation study and an electronic-mail emotion/adoption study. *Information SystemsResearch*, 2, 189-217.
- Cole, S. M., Puskur, R., Puskur, S., & Zulu, F. (2015). Exploring the intricate relationship between poverty, gender inequality and rural masculinity: A case study from an aquatic agricultural system in Zambia. *Culture, Society and Masculinities*, 7(2), 154.
- Fornell, C. (1987). A second generation of multivariate analysis: classification of methods and implications for marketing research. *Review of Marketing, American Marketing* Association, Chicago, IL, 407-450.
- Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Rabin, B. J., & Anderson, R. E. (2010). Multivariate Data Analysis (7th Ed).Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall, pp. 56-78.
- Hatcher, L. (1994). A Step-by-Step Approach to Using the SAS(R) System for factor analysis and structural equation modeling. Cary, NC: SAS Institute, pp. 25-39.
- Hu, L.T., & Bentler, P. M. (1999). Cutoff criteria for fit indexes in covariance structure analysis: Conventional criteria versus new alternatives, structural equation modeling. *AMultidisciplinary Journal*, 6(1), 1-55.
- Igbuzor, O. (2010), "Methodological Issues in Gender Studies in Nigeria" in The Nigerian Social Scientist, 3(1), 14-20.
- Ijaiya, M.A; Oni, E.O; Ikupolati, A.O; Saliu, A.A; & Ochepa, A.A. (2018). Street Vendors and Household Poverty Reduction in Niger State, Nigeria. *Lapai International Journal of Management and Social Sciences*. 9(1), 39-51.
- Ijaiya. M. A; Dayang A. A. M., & Norimah R. (2016). Social capital and poverty reduction in Niger State, Nigeria: A structural equation modelling approach. *International Journal of* Academic Research in Business and Social Science. 6(11), 287-302.
- Ikechukwu, O. N. (2013), "Social welfare analysis of gender inequality in education and employment: Printwell Press Ltd



- Kabeer, N. (2015). Gender, poverty, and inequality: a brief history of feminist contributions in the field of international development. *Gender & Development*, 23(2), 189-205.
- Kline, R. B. (1998). Principle and practice of structural equation modeling. New York: The Guilford Press.
- Laderchi, C. R., Saith, R., & Stewart, F. (2003). Does it matter that we do not agree on the definition of poverty? A comparison of four approaches. Oxford development studies, 31(3), 243-274.
- Lang, V. F., & Lingnau, H. (2015). Defining and measuring poverty and inequality post-2015. *Journal of International Development*, 27(3), 399-414.
- Maldonado, J. H. (2004). Relationships among poverty, financial services, human capital, riskcoping, and natural resources: Evidence from ElSalvador and Bolivia. *Dissertation Presented In: Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree Doctor of Philosophy in the Graduate School of the Ohio State University*. Department of Agricultural Environmentand Development Economics, Ohio State University.
- Marsh, H. W., & Hocevar, D. (1985). Application of confirmatory factor analysis to the study of self-concept: first-and higher order factor models and their invariance across groups. *Psychological Bulletin*, 97(3), 562-582.
- Miranda, F. (2003), "Capital social, pobreza y género. Aportes para la reflexión", preliminar document for discussion at the Poverty and Gender Discussion Group, organized by the Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), Women and Development Unit, Santiago, Chile, June.
- National Bureau of Statistic (NBS). (2014). The Nigeria Poverty Profile 2014. Report of the National Bureau of Statistics Harmonized Nigeria Living Standard Survey (HNLSS).
- National Bureau of Statistics (NBS) (2015). Statistical report on women and men in Nigeria. Abuja: NBS.
- Nwosu, E. O., & Orji, A. (2017). Addressing poverty and gender inequality through access to formal credit and enhanced enterprise performance in Nigeria: An empirical investigation. *African Development Review*, 29(S1), 56-72.
- Ogbeide, E. N. O., & Agu, D. O. (2015). Poverty and income inequality in nigeria: any causality? *Asian Economic and Financial Review*, 5(3), 439.
- Ojimba, T. P. (2012). Socio Demographic Factors as Determinant of poverty in Crude Oil Polluted Crop Farms in River State. *International Journal of Food and Agricultural Economics*, 1(1), 13-25.
- Okpe, O. (2015) Mainstreaming gender in the african development process: A critic of nepad and the women question, Nigeria: BSU Press.
- Rodenberg, B. (2004). Gender and poverty reduction: New Conceptual Approaches in International Development Cooperation.
- Sen, A. (2009). "Capability: Reach and limit," in E. Chipper-Martinetti (ed.), Debating Global Society. Reach and Limit of the Capability Approach, Milan: Feltrinelli, pp. 15–28.
- Soetan, R. (2003)" An evaluation of governments poverty alleviation programme: the way forward", being paper presented at Dialogue on Governments Poverty Alleviation Programme, Center for Gender and Social Policy Studies, Thailand.
- UN-Habitat (2003). A conceptual guide to "gender"www.unchs.orgAnd http://www.unhabitat.org/gov



- United Nation Development Program (2018). Human Development Indices and Indicators: 2018 Statistical Update.
- United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) (2009) Nigeria: Human Development Report 2009. Lagos: UNDP
- United Nations Development Programme [UNDP]. (1995). *Human Development Report*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs (UNDESA) (2003), Agenda21 www.un.org/esa/sustdevt/documents/agenda21
- United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO) (2012) www.womenunlimited.org/gender-inequality-and-itscauses%2F&usg=AOvVaw2kOtGkg5VHMcYiJ-I1h8cS
- World Bank. (2006). Poverty at a Glance, World Bank Issue Brief/Poverty. www.worldbank.org/INTPOVERTY/Resources/Poverty
- Zaccheaus, A. O., & Nwokoma, O. G. (2012). Non-timber forest products and poverty reductionpolicy framework in Ikenne Local Government Area, Ogun State, Nigeria. *International Journal of Asian Social Science*, 2(9), 1401-1420.
- Zainudin, A. (2015). Structural equation modelling made simple. A gentle approach to learningstructural equation modelling. MPWS Rich Publication Sdn. Bhd, pp. 60-91.