

## Development of Implicit Hybrid Adams Type Block Linear Multistep Method for the Solution of Stiff Ordinary Differential Equations

<sup>1</sup>Cole, A. T., <sup>1</sup>David, I. J., & <sup>1</sup>Ale, S.

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Federal University of Technology, Minna, Nigeria.  
Email: cole.temilade@futminna.edu.ng Mobile: 07038960556

### Abstract

This paper proposes the derivation of a three-step eleventh order hybrid linear multi-step method (LMM) with nine off-step points for the solution of first order stiff differential equations. The obtained methods are then applied in block form as simultaneous numerical integrators over non-overlapping intervals. The numerical results show improved results over the existing methods in literatures considered, the schemes are consistent, zero-stable, and convergent.

**Keywords:** Hybrid, Collocation, Interpolation, Adams Type, Stiff differential equations, Zero-stable.

### Introduction

Stiff differential equations arise frequently as singularly perturbed problems in chemical reaction systems and in electrical circuitry, and as space discretization of parabolic partial differential equations (Cole, 2019).

Consider the first order ODE of the form:

$$y' = f(x, y), y(x_0) = y_0 \quad (1)$$

where prime indicates derivative with respect to  $x$  and  $f$  satisfies the Lipschitz condition of the existence and uniqueness of solution. The collocation methods are widely considered as ways of generating numerical solution to (1). The second Dahlquist order barrier places a severe restriction on Linear Multistep Methods (LMMs), the condition that the LMM be implicit is a requirement for method suitable for integrating stiff initial value problems (IVPs). One of the ways the development of high order LMM which overcome Dahlquist order barrier has been achieved is by incorporating supplementary stages, extra division points, or future points, (Muka, 2016). Methods that fall into this class are block methods, hybrid methods, and extended methods. Block methods are used to compute previous  $k$  blocks to calculate the current block where each block contains  $r$  points (Cole, 2019). A subclass of LMMs is the Adams type given as.

$$y_{n+k} = y_{n+k-1} + h \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \beta_j f_{n+j} \quad (2)$$

Cole, 2019 derived some hybrid Adams type block linear multistep methods using power series expansion, the idea of multistep collocation method (MCM) was adopted in the derivation of the schemes to obtain the continuous form which were evaluated at some off grid points and grid points to form block method. The schemes were consistent, zero-stable, and hence convergence. Muka, (2016) developed an extended block Adams-Moulton method for stiff IVPs which has superior stability regions with possible implementation on parallel computers like other block methods. The method is  $A(a)$  stable. Nwachukwu and Okor, (2018) developed second derivative generalized backward differentiation formulae (SDGBDF) for solving stiff IVPs in ordinary differential equations (ODEs). The order, error constants, zero stability, and region of absolute stability were discussed. The methods are  $A$  stable. Ramos, (2017) developed a two-step block method of hybrid type for the direct solution of general first-order initial-value problems of the form  $y' = f(x, y)$  where all the formulas in the method are obtained from a continuous approximation derived via interpolation and collocation at different points. The method is  $A$ -stable, which makes it appropriate for solving stiff problems.

Trapezoidal rule is the only Adams type family for integrating stiff IVPs, in this paper the derivation of implicit hybrid Adams type (IHAT) LMM for approximating stiff IVPs is presented.

### Derivation of Method

The combination of a multi-step structure with the use of nine off-step points is emphasized here, and the general multi-step method considered for the IVP (1) is given by.

$$\sum_{j=0}^k \varphi_j y_{n+j} + h \left( \sum_{j=0}^k \beta_j f_{n+j} + \beta_v f_{n+v} \right) \quad (3)$$

where  $\varphi_j$ ,  $s$  and  $\beta_j$ 's are coefficients and  $v = \left\{ \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{5}{4}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{7}{4}, \frac{9}{4}, \frac{5}{2}, \frac{11}{4} \right\}$ .

To obtain (3), we seek the approximation of the exact solution  $y(x)$  by assuming a continuous solution of the form:

$$y(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{i+c-1} \varphi_j p_j(x) \quad (4)$$

such that  $x \in [x_0, b]$ ,  $\varphi_j$ 's are unknown coefficients to be determined and  $p_j(x)$  are basis function of degree  $i + c - 1$ , furthermore,  $i$  and  $c$  which are the number of interpolation and collocation points respectively are carefully chosen to satisfy  $1 \leq c \leq k$  and  $c < 0$ . The integer  $k \geq 1$  is the step number of the method.

A  $k$ -step continuous multi-step method with  $\varphi(x) = x^j, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 13, i = 1, c = 13, k = 3$  is constructed, imposing this condition gives:

$$\sum_{j=0}^{13} \varphi_j x_{n+1}^{j-1} = f_{n+i}, i = \left\{ 0, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}, 1, \frac{5}{4}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{7}{4}, 2, \frac{9}{4}, \frac{5}{2}, \frac{11}{4}, 3 \right\} \quad (5)$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{13} \varphi_j x_{n+1}^j = y_{n+i}, i = 2 \quad (6)$$

Equations (5) and (6) lead to system of  $i + c$  equations which are solved to obtain the coefficients  $\varphi_j$ 's. The values of  $\varphi_j$ 's are obtained using Maple 2015 software package. The scheme is obtained by substituting the values of the obtained  $\varphi_j$ 's into (4). Evaluating the scheme at points  $x \left\{ y_n, y_{n+\frac{1}{4}}, y_{n+\frac{1}{2}}, y_{n+\frac{3}{4}}, y_{n+1}, y_{n+\frac{5}{4}}, y_{n+\frac{3}{2}}, y_{n+\frac{7}{4}}, y_{n+2}, y_{n+\frac{9}{4}}, y_{n+\frac{5}{2}}, y_{n+\frac{11}{4}}, y_{n+3} \right\}$  gives the following twelve discrete equations:

$$\begin{aligned} y_n - y_{n+2} = & -\frac{42194069}{638512875} \square f_n - \frac{97021984}{212837625} \square f_{n+\frac{1}{4}} + \frac{19044664}{70945875} \square f_{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{173147168}{127702575} \square f_{n+\frac{3}{4}} \\ & + \frac{22373536}{14189175} \square f_{n+1} - \frac{181696448}{70945875} \square f_{n+\frac{5}{4}} + \frac{60377264}{30405375} \square f_{n+\frac{3}{2}} - \frac{14992192}{7882875} \square f_{n+\frac{7}{4}} \\ & + \frac{10913087}{14189175} \square f_{n+2} - \frac{43033184}{127702575} \square f_{n+\frac{9}{4}} + \frac{19496392}{212837625} \square f_{n+\frac{5}{2}} \\ & - \frac{358496}{23648625} \square f_{n+\frac{11}{4}} \\ & + \frac{739276}{638512875} \square f_{n+3} \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 y_{n+\frac{1}{4}} - y_{n+2} = & \frac{250951589}{213497856000} \square f_n - \frac{2895487553}{35582976000} \square f_{n+\frac{1}{4}} - \frac{6476481263}{17791488000} \square f_{n+\frac{1}{2}} \\
 & - \frac{4269957120}{144310733} \square f_{n+\frac{3}{4}} - \frac{158145620}{475063673} \square f_{n+1} + \frac{5930496000}{138491} \square f_{n+\frac{5}{4}} \\
 & - \frac{277992000}{1098060061} \square f_{n+\frac{3}{2}} - \frac{5930496000}{227568593} \square f_{n+\frac{7}{4}} - \frac{63258624}{72298177} \square f_{n+2} \\
 & + \frac{21249785600}{32315941} \square f_{n+\frac{9}{4}} - \frac{17791488000}{17791488000} \square f_{n+\frac{5}{2}} + \frac{3582976000}{3582976000} \square f_{n+\frac{11}{4}} \\
 & - \frac{213496780000}{213496780000} \square f_{n+3} \tag{8}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 y_{n+\frac{1}{2}} - y_{n+2} = & -\frac{44983}{336336000} \square f_n + \frac{81383}{28028000} \square f_{n+\frac{1}{4}} - \frac{642261}{7007000} \square f_{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{5494261}{16816800} \square f_{n+\frac{3}{4}} \\
 & - \frac{4484480}{1858267} \square f_{n+1} - \frac{14014000}{4559} \square f_{n+\frac{5}{4}} - \frac{125125}{8389} \square f_{n+\frac{3}{2}} - \frac{14014000}{6887} \square f_{n+\frac{7}{4}} \\
 & - \frac{22422400}{6887} \square f_{n+2} - \frac{3363360}{3363360} \square f_{n+\frac{9}{4}} + \frac{7007000}{7007000} \square f_{n+\frac{5}{2}} - \frac{28028000}{28028000} \square f_{n+\frac{11}{4}} \\
 & + \frac{336336000}{336336000} \square f_{n+3} \tag{9}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 y_{n+\frac{3}{4}} - y_{n+2} = & \frac{318845}{83691159552} \square f_n - \frac{8919685}{13948526592} \square f_{n+\frac{1}{4}} + \frac{13995335}{2324754432} \square f_{n+\frac{1}{2}} \\
 & - \frac{41845579776}{4052105} \square f_{n+\frac{3}{4}} - \frac{9299017728}{68674805} \square f_{n+1} - \frac{512838115}{2324754432} \square f_{n+\frac{5}{4}} \\
 & - \frac{15567552}{528744725} \square f_{n+\frac{3}{2}} - \frac{258306048}{17042965} \square f_{n+\frac{7}{4}} - \frac{1116220405}{9299017728} \square f_{n+2} \\
 & + \frac{41845579776}{1935865} \square f_{n+\frac{9}{4}} - \frac{6974263296}{6974263296} \square f_{n+\frac{5}{2}} + \frac{140894208}{140894208} \square f_{n+\frac{11}{4}} \\
 & - \frac{83691159552}{83691159552} \square f_{n+3} \tag{10}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 y_{n+1} - y_{n+2} = & -\frac{28151}{5108103000} \square f_n + \frac{21128}{212837625} \square f_{n+\frac{1}{4}} - \frac{196739}{212837625} \square f_{n+\frac{1}{2}} \\
 & + \frac{127702575}{21258704} \square f_{n+\frac{3}{4}} - \frac{37837800}{3920003} \square f_{n+1} - \frac{70945875}{849752} \square f_{n+\frac{5}{4}} - \frac{6237758}{30405375} \square f_{n+\frac{3}{2}} \\
 & - \frac{70945875}{196739} \square f_{n+\frac{7}{4}} - \frac{37837800}{21128} \square f_{n+2} + \frac{127702575}{127702575} \square f_{n+\frac{9}{4}} \\
 & - \frac{212837625}{28151} \square f_{n+\frac{5}{2}} + \frac{212837625}{212837625} \square f_{n+\frac{11}{4}} \\
 & - \frac{5108103000}{5108103000} \square f_{n+3} \tag{11}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 y_{n+\frac{5}{4}} - y_{n+2} = & \frac{521303}{43051008000} \square f_n - \frac{1244171}{7175168000} \square f_{n+\frac{1}{4}} + \frac{4264699}{3587584000} \square f_{n+\frac{1}{2}} \\
 & - \frac{4305100800}{2033753} \square f_{n+\frac{3}{4}} + \frac{287067200}{985667673} \square f_{n+1} - \frac{647419943}{3587584000} \square f_{n+\frac{5}{4}} \\
 & - \frac{8008000}{45169279} \square f_{n+\frac{3}{2}} - \frac{3587584000}{6696251} \square f_{n+\frac{7}{4}} - \frac{329208157}{2870067200} \square f_{n+2} \\
 & + \frac{4305100800}{688087} \square f_{n+\frac{9}{4}} - \frac{3587584000}{3587584000} \square f_{n+\frac{5}{2}} + \frac{152459}{7175168000} \square f_{n+\frac{11}{4}} \\
 & - \frac{4305100800}{4305100800} \square f_{n+3} \tag{12}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 y_{n+\frac{3}{2}} - y_{n+2} = & \frac{133787}{81729648000} \square f_n - \frac{133787}{6810804000} \square f_{n+\frac{1}{4}} + \frac{56333}{567567000} \square f_{n+\frac{1}{2}} \\
 & - \frac{817296480}{3118879} \square f_{n+\frac{3}{4}} - \frac{1816214400}{38542363} \square f_{n+1} + \frac{1135134000}{7503059} \square f_{n+\frac{5}{4}} \\
 & - \frac{30405375}{28097519} \square f_{n+\frac{3}{2}} - \frac{126126000}{1742911} \square f_{n+\frac{7}{4}} - \frac{72648576}{89987} \square f_{n+2} \\
 & + \frac{4068482400}{584203} \square f_{n+\frac{9}{4}} - \frac{1702701000}{1702701000} \square f_{n+\frac{5}{2}} + \frac{756756000}{756756000} \square f_{n+\frac{11}{4}} \\
 & - \frac{81729648000}{81729648000} \square f_{n+3}
 \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 y_{n+\frac{7}{4}} - y_{n+2} = & \frac{9959263}{951035904000} \square f_n - \frac{252766961}{1743565824000} \square f_{n+\frac{1}{4}} + \frac{821346049}{871782912000} \square f_{n+\frac{1}{2}} \\
 & - \frac{1046139494400}{94985467} \square f_{n+\frac{3}{4}} + \frac{15498362887}{49214636201} \square f_{n+1} - \frac{29059430400}{7236570071} \square f_{n+\frac{5}{4}} \\
 & + \frac{1945944000}{2507349353} \square f_{n+\frac{3}{2}} - \frac{290594304000}{184216480} \square f_{n+\frac{7}{4}} - \frac{7749184400}{475414129} \square f_{n+2} \\
 & + \frac{209227898880}{184329877} \square f_{n+\frac{9}{4}} - \frac{871782912000}{871782912000} \square f_{n+\frac{5}{2}} + \frac{1743565824000}{1743565824000} \square f_{n+\frac{11}{4}} \\
 & - \frac{1046139494400}{1046139494400} \square f_{n+3}
 \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 y_{n+\frac{9}{4}} = y_{n+2} + & \frac{184329877}{10461394944000} \square f_n - \frac{417640049}{1743565824000} \square f_{n+\frac{1}{4}} + \frac{441509227}{290594304000} \square f_{n+\frac{1}{2}} \\
 & - \frac{1046139494400}{6257449741} \square f_{n+\frac{3}{4}} + \frac{3821011693}{232475443200} \square f_{n+1} - \frac{9816495959}{29059430400} \square f_{n+\frac{5}{4}} \\
 & + \frac{107296613}{1945944000} \square f_{n+\frac{3}{2}} - \frac{2552320801}{32288256000} \square f_{n+\frac{7}{4}} + \frac{44643543443}{232475443200} \square f_{n+2} \\
 & + \frac{115234170509}{10461394944000} \square f_{n+\frac{9}{4}} - \frac{6054093569}{871782912000} \square f_{n+\frac{5}{2}} + \frac{143115689}{193729536000} \square f_{n+\frac{11}{4}} \\
 & - \frac{456196373}{10461394944000} \square f_{n+3}
 \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 y_{n+\frac{5}{2}} - y_{n+2} = & - \frac{193087}{7429968000} \square f_n + \frac{2349637}{6810804000} \square f_{n+\frac{1}{4}} - \frac{3612439}{1702701000} \square f_{n+\frac{1}{2}} \\
 & + \frac{32731249}{4086482400} \square f_{n+\frac{3}{4}} - \frac{12546839}{605404800} \square f_{n+1} + \frac{44018707}{1135134000} \square f_{n+\frac{5}{4}} \\
 & - \frac{1625861}{30405375} \square f_{n+\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{57802477}{1135134000} \square f_{n+\frac{7}{4}} + \frac{34426087}{605404800} \square f_{n+2} \\
 & + \frac{1362297487}{4086482400} \square f_{n+\frac{9}{4}} + \frac{154495511}{1702701000} \square f_{n+\frac{5}{2}} - \frac{19099973}{6810804000} \square f_{n+\frac{11}{4}} \\
 & + \frac{10480453}{81729648000} \square f_{n+3}
 \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 y_{n+\frac{11}{4}} - y_{n+2} = & \frac{6279127}{43051008000} \square f_n - \frac{13866379}{7175168000} \square f_{n+\frac{1}{4}} + \frac{42570291}{3587584000} \square f_{n+\frac{1}{2}} \\
 & - \frac{38554547}{861020160} \square f_{n+\frac{3}{4}} + \frac{333308253}{82700067200} \square f_{n+1} - \frac{787623847}{3587584000} \square f_{n+\frac{5}{4}} \\
 & + \frac{2514233}{8008000} \square f_{n+\frac{3}{2}} - \frac{1264870617}{3587584000} \square f_{n+\frac{7}{4}} + \frac{46838683}{114802688} \square f_{n+2} \\
 & + \frac{324301823}{43051008000} \square f_{n+\frac{9}{4}} + \frac{1302640901}{3587584000} \square f_{n+\frac{5}{2}} + \frac{584576011}{7175168000} \square f_{n+\frac{11}{4}} \\
 & - \frac{50840663}{43051008000} \square f_{n+3}
 \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 y_{n+3} - y_{n+2} = & -\frac{5942359}{5108103000} \square f_n + \frac{3247592}{212837625} \square f_{n+\frac{1}{4}} - \frac{6564377}{7094875} \square f_{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{43882936}{127702575} \square f_{n+\frac{3}{4}} \\
 & - \frac{19812941}{22702680} \square f_{n+1} + \frac{113671024}{70945875} \square f_{n+\frac{5}{4}} - \frac{66615022}{30405375} \square f_{n+\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{17826416}{7882875} \square f_{n+\frac{7}{4}} \\
 & - \frac{190748297}{113513400} \square f_{n+2} + \frac{34799384}{25540515} \square f_{n+\frac{9}{4}} - \frac{57330731}{212837625} \square f_{n+\frac{5}{2}} \\
 & + \frac{10782568}{23648625} \square f_{n+\frac{11}{4}} \\
 & - \frac{337524401}{5108103000} \square f_{n+3}
 \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

### Basic Properties of the Developed Method

#### Order of the block

According to Fudziah et al. (2020), if  $y_{n+j}$  is the solution to  $y'_{n+j}$  and is sufficiently differentiable, then  $y_{n+j}$  and  $y'_{n+j}$  can be expanded into a Taylor's series about point  $x_n$  to obtain

$$T_n = \frac{1}{\square \sigma(1)} (C_0 y(x_n) + C_1 \square y'(x_n) + C_2 \square^2 y''(x_n) + \dots) \tag{19}$$

where

$$\left. \begin{aligned}
 C_0 &= \sum_{j=0}^k \varphi_j \\
 C_1 &= \sum_{j=0}^k j \varphi_j - \sum_{j=0}^k \beta_j \\
 &\vdots \\
 C_q &= \frac{1}{q!} \sum_{j=0}^k j^q \varphi_j - \frac{1}{(q-1)!} \sum_{j=0}^k j^{q-1} \beta_j
 \end{aligned} \right\} \tag{20}$$

Definition 1: A linear multistep method is said to be of order  $p$  if  $C_0 = C_1 = \dots = C_p = 0, C_{p+1} \neq 0$ . Therefore,  $C_{p+1}$  is the error constant and  $C_{p+1} \square^{p+1} y^{p+1}(x_n)$  is the principal truncation error at point  $(x_n)$ . Thus, the local truncation error (LTE) of order  $p$  can be written as

$$LTE = C_{p+1} \square^{p+1} y^{p+1}(x_n) + O(h^{p+2}) \tag{21}$$

From our calculation, the implicit hybrid linear multistep method has high order and relatively small error constant shown in Table 1.

#### Consistency

Definition 2: A linear multistep method (3) is said to be consistent if (i) the order  $p \geq 1$ , (ii)  $\sum_{j=0}^k \varphi_j = 0$ , (iii)  $\sum_{j=0}^k j \varphi_j = \sum_{j=0}^k \beta_j$ .

For scheme (7).

#### Condition (i)

The method has order  $p = 14 \geq 1$ .

Condition (ii)

$$\sum_{j=0}^k \varphi_j = 1 - 1 = 0$$

Condition (iii)

$$\sum_{j=0}^k j\varphi_j = 0(1) - 2(1) = -2$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^k \beta_j &= -\frac{42194069}{638512875} - \frac{97021984}{212837625} + \frac{19044664}{70945875} - \frac{173147168}{127702575} + \frac{22373536}{14189175} - \frac{181696448}{70945875} \\ &+ \frac{60377264}{30405375} - \frac{14992192}{7882875} + \frac{10913087}{14189175} - \frac{43033184}{127702575} + \frac{19496392}{212837625} \\ &- \frac{358496}{23648625} + \frac{739276}{638512875} = -2 \\ \Rightarrow \sum_{j=0}^k j\varphi_j &= \sum_{j=0}^k \beta_j = -2 \end{aligned}$$

Hence the scheme is consistent.

For scheme (8).

Condition (i)

The method has order  $p = 14 \geq 1$ .

Condition (ii)

$$\sum_{j=0}^k \varphi_j = 1 - 1 = 0$$

Condition (iii)

$$\sum_{j=0}^k j\varphi_j = \frac{1}{4}(1) - 2(1) = -\frac{7}{4}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^k \beta_j &= \frac{250951589}{213497856000} - \frac{2895487553}{35582976000} - \frac{6476481263}{17791488000} - \frac{293241529}{4269957120} - \frac{809066881}{158145620} \\ &+ \frac{313854457}{5930496000} - \frac{144310733}{277992000} - \frac{475063673}{5930496000} - \frac{138491}{63258624} + \frac{1098060061}{21249785600} \\ &- \frac{227568593}{17791488000} + \frac{72298177}{3582976000} - \frac{32315941}{213496780000} = -\frac{7}{4} \\ \Rightarrow \sum_{j=0}^k j\varphi_j &= \sum_{j=0}^k \beta_j = -\frac{7}{4} \end{aligned}$$

Hence the scheme is consistent.

Applying these conditions to schemes (9-18) we have that schemes (9-18) are consistent as shown in Table 1.

### Zero Stability

Definition 3: A linear multistep method of the form (3) is said to be zero stable if no root of the first characteristic polynomial  $\rho(r)$  has modulus greater than one and if every root with modulus one is simple.

Applying the above to schemes (7-18) they were found to be zero stable as shown in Table 1.

**Consistency**

According to Henrici (1962), we can establish the convergence of the three-step implicit hybrid Adams type block linear multistep method since convergence = consistency + zero stability.

**Table 1: Order, Error Constant, Characteristics and Roots of Polynomials**

| Equation number | Order | Error Constant                         | Polynomial               | Roots of polynomial |
|-----------------|-------|--|--------------------------|---------------------|
| 7               | 14    | $\frac{7619}{486930382848000}$         | $1 - r^2$                | $\rho(r) = -1, 1$   |
| 8               | 14    | $\frac{19061}{1072038370816000}$       | $r^{\frac{1}{4}} - r^2$  | $\rho(r) = 0, 1$    |
| 9               | 14    | $\frac{6887}{22571126882304000}$       | $r^{\frac{1}{2}} - r^2$  | $\rho(r) = 0, 1$    |
| 10              | 14    | $\frac{1935865}{11232837288754937856}$ | $r^{\frac{3}{4}} - r^2$  | $\rho(r) = 0, 1$    |
| 11              | 14    | $\frac{1909}{514198484287488000}$      | $r - r^2$                | $\rho(r) = 0, 1$    |
| 12              | 14    | $\frac{521303}{5778208481869824000}$   | $r^{\frac{5}{4}} - r^2$  | $\rho(r) = 0, 1$    |
| 13              | 14    | $\frac{133787}{5484783832399872000}$   | $r^{\frac{3}{2}} - r^2$  | $\rho(r) = 0, 1$    |
| 14              | 14    | $\frac{521303}{577208481869824000}$    | $r^{\frac{7}{4}} - r^2$  | $\rho(r) = 0, 1$    |
| 15              | 14    | $\frac{1935865}{11232837288754937856}$ | $r^{\frac{9}{4}} - r^2$  | $\rho(r) = 0, 1$    |
| 16              | 14    | $\frac{6887}{22571126882304000}$       | $r^{\frac{5}{2}} - r^2$  | $\rho(r) = 0, 1$    |
| 17              | 14    | $\frac{19061}{10720238370816000}$      | $r^{\frac{11}{4}} - r^2$ | $\rho(r) = 0, 1$    |
| 18              | 14    | $\frac{7619}{486930382848000}$         | $r^3 - r^2$              | $\rho(r) = 1, 0, 0$ |

**Numerical experiments**

In this section, effectiveness, and applicability of our new method (IHAT) is demonstrated on two stiff differential systems of ODEs, we consider both linear and nonlinear stiff system of IVPs in ordinary differential equation. Their performance is compared with the exact solution and with other methods in cited literature.

The notations used are:

IHAT: Implicit hybrid Adams type

Error: |Exact solution - Computed solution|

MaxErr: Maximum error =  $\max_i \frac{|y_i - y(x_i)|}{|1 + y(x_i)|}$

**Example 1:** This problem is a system of standard test problem which is a mildly stiff linear problem.

$$\begin{cases} y_1' = -8y_1 + 7y_2, y_1(0) = 1 \\ y_2' = 42y_1 - 43y_2, y_2(0) = 8 \end{cases}$$

The exact solution is

$$\begin{cases} y_1(x) = 2e^{-x} - e^{-50x} \\ y_2(x) = 2e^{-x} + 6e^{-50x} \end{cases}$$

**Table 2: Comparison of absolute errors for problem 1**

| x   | Error in NM<br>y component | Mesh values<br>[ref] | Error in GLM<br>y component [ref] |
|-----|----------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 0.1 | 2.85579712e-16             | 10.0                 | 1.04e-2                           |
| 0.2 | 3.46425700e-16             | 20.0                 | 3.81e-3                           |
| 0.3 | 3.15176715e-16             | 30.0                 | 1.34e-5                           |
| 0.4 | 2.54885792e-16             | 40.0                 | 4.74e-6                           |
| 0.5 | 1.93245058e-16             | 50.0                 | 1.67e-8                           |
| 0.6 | 1.40650865e-16             | 60.0                 | 5.90e-9                           |
| 0.7 | 9.9527242e-17              | 70.0                 | 2.08e-11                          |
| 0.8 | 6.8990087e-17              | 80.0                 | 7.33e-12                          |
| 0.9 | 4.7075183 e-17             | 90.0                 | 2.58e-14                          |
| 1.0 | 3.1725048e-17              | 100.0                | 9.12e-15                          |

**Table 3: Comparison of result for problem 1**

| X    | Exact value                | $y_i$ | Approximate Value<br>(IHAT) | Error           |
|------|----------------------------|-------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| 0.01 | 1.373569007785702683544012 | $y_1$ | 1.373569007785702969123724  | 2.85579712e-16  |
|      | 5.619283625774136648770609 | $y_2$ | 5.619283625774134935292347  | 1.713478262e-15 |
| 0.02 | 1.592517905442068282846104 | $y_1$ | 1.592517905442068629271804  | 3.46425700e-16  |
|      | 4.167673993642164534014771 | $y_2$ | 4.167673993642162455460567  | 2.078554204e-15 |
| 0.03 | 1.717760906948586524931776 | $y_1$ | 1.717760906948586840108491  | 3.15176715e-16  |
|      | 3.279672027987595327464740 | $y_2$ | 3.279672027987593436404470  | 1.891060270e-15 |
| 0.04 | 1.786243595068033726984422 | $y_1$ | 1.786243595068033981870214  | 2.54885792e-16  |
|      | 2.733590577724322570242418 | $y_2$ | 2.733590577724321040927705  | 1.529314713e-15 |
| 0.05 | 1.820373850377529223013322 | $y_1$ | 1.820373850377529416258380  | 1.93245058e-16  |
|      | 2.394968840744820789200023 | $y_2$ | 2.394968840744819629729704  | 1.159470319e-15 |
| 0.06 | 1.833741998800633476094964 | $y_1$ | 1.833741998800633616745829  | 1.40650865e-16  |
|      | 2.182251477375681076950360 | $y_2$ | 2.182251477375680233045213  | 8.43905147e-16  |
| 0.07 | 1.834590256389577956976159 | $y_1$ | 1.834590256389578056503401  | 9.9527242e-17   |
|      | 2.045971940345807462154663 | $y_2$ | 2.045971940345806864991262  | 5.97163401e-16  |
| 0.08 | 1.827917053884537385527802 | $y_1$ | 1.827917053884537454517889  | 6.8990087e-17   |
|      | 1.956126526105676647583828 | $y_2$ | 1.956126526105676233643359  | 4.13940469e-16  |
| 0.09 | 1.816753374004214066998564 | $y_1$ | 1.816753374004214114073747  | 4.7075183e-17   |
|      | 1.894516349771910212471566 | $y_2$ | 1.894516349771909930020534  | 2.82451032e-16  |
| 0.1  | 1.802936889072833679231862 | $y_1$ | 1.802936889072833710956910  | 3.1725048e-17   |
|      | 1.850102518066431948908314 | $y_2$ | 1.850102518066431758558087  | 1.90350227e-16  |

**Example 2:** Consider the stiff system of two dimensional Kaps problem with corresponding initial conditions.

$$\begin{cases} y_1' = -1002y_1 + 1000z, y_1(0) = 1 \\ y_2' = y_1 - y_2(1 + y_2), y_2(0) = 1 \end{cases}$$

The exact solution is

$$\begin{aligned} y_1(x) &= e^{-2x} \\ y_2(x) &= e^{-x} \end{aligned}$$



Table 4: Comparison of result for problem 2

| x   | Exact                       | $y_i$ | Approximate value (IHAT)    | Error   |
|-----|-----------------------------|-------|-----------------------------|---------|
| 0.1 | 0.9801986733067553022208135 | $y_1$ | 0.9801986733067553022208141 | 6e-25   |
|     | 0.9900498337491680535739060 | $y_2$ | 0.9900498337491680535739064 | 4e-25   |
| 0.2 | 0.9607894391523232094392109 | $y_1$ | 0.9607894391523232094392107 | 2e-25   |
|     | 0.9801986733067553022208149 | $y_2$ | 0.9801986733067553022208141 | 8e-25   |
| 0.3 | 0.9417645335842487095371528 | $y_1$ | 0.9417645335842487095371537 | 9e-25   |
|     | 0.9704455335485081769325284 | $y_2$ | 0.9704455335485081769325296 | 1.2e-24 |
| 0.4 | 0.9231163463866357829107598 | $y_1$ | 0.9231163463866357829107617 | 1.9e-24 |
|     | 0.9607894391523232094392107 | $y_2$ | 0.9607894391523232094392124 | 1.7e-24 |
| 0.5 | 0.9048374180359595731642491 | $y_1$ | 0.9048374180359595731642518 | 2.7e-24 |
|     | 0.9512294245007140090914253 | $y_2$ | 0.9512294245007140090914275 | 2.2e-24 |
| 0.6 | 0.8869204367171575155275652 | $y_1$ | 0.8869204367171575155275688 | 3.6e-24 |
|     | 0.9417645335842487095371528 | $y_2$ | 0.9417645335842487095371554 | 2.6e-24 |
| 0.7 | 0.8693582353988058196630844 | $y_1$ | 0.8693582353988058196630887 | 4.3e-24 |
|     | 0.9323938199059482288579726 | $y_2$ | 0.9323938199059482288579756 | 3.0e-24 |
| 0.8 | 0.8521437889662113384563470 | $y_1$ | 0.8521437889662113384563520 | 5.0e-24 |
|     | 0.9231163463866357829107598 | $y_2$ | 0.9231163463866357829107632 | 3.4e-24 |
| 0.9 | 0.8352702114112720213123850 | $y_1$ | 0.8352702114112720213123905 | 5.5e-24 |
|     | 0.9139311852712281867473535 | $y_2$ | 0.9139311852712281867473573 | 3.8e-24 |
| 1.0 | 0.8187307530779818586699355 | $y_1$ | 0.8187307530779818586699417 | 6.2e-24 |
|     | 0.9048374180359595731642491 | $y_2$ | 0.9048374180359595731642532 | 4.1e-24 |

Table 5: Comparison of Maximum error for Problem 2

| Method  | x  | H     | N     | $y_i$ | MAXE            |
|---|----|-------|-------|-------|-----------------|
| IHAT  | 1  | 0.01  | 100   | $y_1$ | 3.029999001e-25 |
|   |    |       |       | $y_2$ | 2.009999916e-25 |
|   | 10 | 0.01  | 1000  | $y_1$ | 3.408970783e-24 |
|   |    |       |       | $y_2$ | 2.152414669e-24 |
| Wu and Xia<br>As in Higinio (2017)            | 1  | 0.002 | 500   | $y_1$ | 2.5606e-7       |
|   |    |       |       | $y_2$ | 8.0150e-8       |
|   | 10 | 0.001 | 10000 | $y_1$ | 5.5468e-16      |
|   |    |       |       | $y_2$ | 6.0936e-12      |
| Akinfenwa et al.[ref]<br>As in Higinio (2017) | 1  | 0.02  | 50    | $y_1$ | 9.1102e-13      |
|   |    |       |       | $y_2$ | 1.2527e-12      |
|   | 10 | 0.02  | 500   | $y_1$ | 2.1977e-20      |
|   |    |       |       | $y_2$ | 1.3542e-15      |
| HBM [ref]<br>As in Higinio (2017)             | 1  | 0.02  | 50    | $y_1$ | 1.2258e-13      |
|   |    |       |       | $y_2$ | 2.4555e-15      |
|   | 10 | 0.02  | 500   | $y_1$ | 2.1200e-21      |
|   |    |       |       | $y_2$ | 3.0914e-18      |

## Discussion of Result

Two numerical examples are used to test the efficiency of the newly developed scheme. Yakubu *et al.*, solved problem 1, a system of standard test problem which is a mildly stiff linear problem while Markus *et al* solved problem 2 in the interval [0, 15]. There is remarkable agreement with the exact values while the new IHAT performs better than Yakubu *et al* and Markus *et al.*

## Conclusion

In this paper, it is shown that implicit linear multistep methods for stiff initial value problems can be formulated as implicit hybrid Adams type linear multistep methods for the direct solution of stiff systems of IVPs in ODEs. The three-step Adams type is of order eleven and gives very low error terms. The consistency and zero stability of the new method guarantee its convergence. Based on the result obtained in Tables 3 and 4 there is improvement on the convergence rate of the three-step implicit scheme with nine off-grid points. The new method is highly accurate and performs better than the literatures cited.

## References

- Abdelrahim, R., Omar, Z. & Kuboye, J. O. (2016). New hybrid block method with three off step points for solving first order ordinary differential equations. *Am J Appl Sci.* 1(3), 3-6.
- Ackleh A. S., Allen E. J., Kearfott R. B., et al. (2009). Classical and modern numerical analysis: theory methods and practice. Boca Raton, FL: Chapman and Hall/CRC Boca Raton.
- Cole, A. M., (2019). Three- Step Implicit Block Linear Multistep Method for the Solution of Ordinary Differential Equations, Unpublished MTech Thesis, Fut, Minna, Nigeria. 55-68.
- Cole, A. T & Abd'gafar, T. T., (2019). Hybrid Block Method for Direct Solution of General Fourth Order Ordinary Differential Equations using Power Series Function, *A paper presented at 6<sup>th</sup> Annual International Conference on Mathematical Analysis and Optimization: Theory and Application (ICAPTA) held at National Mathematical Center, Abuja: 55-68.*
- Fudziah, I., Zanriah, A. M., & Zarina, B. I. (2020). Implicit Two-Point Block Method for Solving Fourth-Order Initial Value Problem Directly with Application. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering.* 3(2), 34-96
- Henrici, P. (1962). Discrete Variable Methods in Ordinary Differential Equations, John Wiley, New York. 285p.
- Muka, K. O. (2016). Development of an Extended Block Adams-Moulton Method for Stiff Initial Value Problems. *The Journal of the Mathematical Association of Nigeria (ABACUS)* 43(2), 473-484.
- Nwachukwu, G., & Okor, T. (2018). Second Derivative Generalized Backward Differentiation Formulae for Solving Stiff Problems. *IAENG International Journal of Applied Mathematics.* 4(8), 1-15.
- Ramos, H., (2017). An Optimized Two-Step Hybrid Block Method for Solving First Order Initial-Value Problems in ODEs. *Differential Geometry - Dynamical Systems*, 19, 107-118.
- Yakubu, D. G., Kwami, A. M., & Ahmed, M. L. (2012). A special class of continuous general linear methods. *Computational and Applied Mathematics* 31(2), 259-281.