THE IMPACT OF CONSTRUCTION WASTE ON THE ENVIRONMENT

Ola C. S (1977) Town and Country Planning Law in Nigeria, Oxford University Pressured of

Ogunbode E.B.¹, Isa R.B.¹, Hassan I.O¹., & Olaniyan O.A.² Onokerhories Acres (2006) Proughteness of Found Hanning Repression in Migoria.

ABSTRACT

Ways by which construction sites in Minna, Lagos and Abuja- Nigeria handle the construction waste generated at project sites are improper, and most of these wastes are potential hazards to the community. The paper investigates the construction wastes that are potentially hazardous to the environment. It adopts the use of interviews and site investigations to collate data for analysis. The degree of impact of these wastes was evaluated on the parameters of matrix Impact scale and the checklist of the environmental impact analysis, results and remedies we're presented and recommendation made. The paper highlights the need to enlighten the public and construction companies on the dangers of indiscriminate disposal of construction waste because the waste that are airborne and miscible with water have a far reaching, irreversible impact, and as such require effective means of disposal.

Key words: Impact, environment, construction waste, hazard, Matrix.

INTRODUCTION

Environment as defined by the European union is the combination of elements whose complex interrelationships make up the settings, the surroundings and the condition of life of the individual and of society, as they are or as they are felt, also, Ran and wooden (1980) saw the importance of the environment to man and took their time to study it and they came out with this conclusion about what the Environment is: Environment is the whole complex of physical, social, cultural, economic, and aesthetic factors that affect individuals and communities and ultimately determine their form, Character, relationships and survival. Examples include: air and water quality, erosion control, natural hazards, land use planning, site selection and design, subdivision development, conservation of plant and animal life, urban congestion, overcrowding, displacement and relocation resulting from public or private action or natural disaster, noise pollution, urban design and the quality of the constructed environment, and the impact of the environment on people and the activities.

Waste in the construction industry has been the subject of several research projects around the world in recent years .Some of them have focused on the environmental damage that result from the generation of material waste. Carlos et al (1999). Wyatt (1978) stressed the consequences of high levels of waste in both reducing the future availability of materials and energy.

CONCEPT OF WASTE

According to koskela, (1992) waste is any inefficiency that result in the use of equipment, materials, labour, or capital in large quantities than those considered as necessary in the production of a building .waste includes both the incidence of material losses and the execution of unnecessary work, which general additional cost but do not add value to the product. Therefore, waste should be defined as any losses produced by activities that generate direct or indirect costs but do not add any value to the product from the point of view of the client.

CONSTRUCTION WASTE

The environmental protection department (2007) in Hong Kong concisely states that construction waste is any substance, matter, or thing which is generated as a result of construction, demolition and land clearing (CDL) waste includes all hazardous & non hazardous solid wastes resulting from construction,

² Department of Architecture, Federal University of Technology Minna.

¹Department of Building, Federal University of Technology Minna

remodeling, alterations, repairs, demolition and land clearing. COWAM (2006). Construction waste is a serious environmental problem in many large cities. A daily average of about 7,030 tonnes of construction and demolition (C&D) wastes were disposed off at landfills in 1998 in Hong Kong, representing about 42 percent of total waste intake at landfills, and most of which can be reclaimed; and in 1999, there were about 7,890 tonnes of C&D wastes disposed daily off at landfills, representing about 44 percent of total waste intake at landfills (Hong Kong Government–Environmental Protection Department, 2006). According to Lu, (1999), construction wastes are made up of about 40 percent of overall solid waste generation in Mainland China. Contrasted to the figures in other advanced countries, for example, C&D debris makes up only about 12 percent of the total waste received at Metro Park East Sanitary Landfill of Iowa State in the United States (Metro Waste Authority, 2000). waste generation in Mainland China is much higher than other countries.

DEFINITIONS AND CLASSIFICATION OF CONSTRUCTION WASTES.

Construction waste varies in size, form, physical, and chemical composition, though waste is not an easy thing to classify. John E.J (1981) adopted the following classification of construction waste.

- A. Materials damaged through poor storage, careless handling and poor workmanship
- B. Materials missing or deposited in areas which render then unsuitable;
- C. Materials damaged but unable within the contract for less important purpose

Wong and Robin (2004) classified construction and demolition waste into inert substances such as sand, brick and concrete, and non-inert substance such as bamboo, plastics, glass, wood and other organic materials. Additionally, waste can also be classified according to its origin, i.e. the stage that the main root causes is related to. Although waste is usually identified during the production stage, it can be originated by processes that precede production, such as materials, manufacturing, training of human resources, design, materials supply and planning. The main classification of waste as proposed by Shingo, (1989) is by the nature of the waste and this helps to understand the different forms of waste, why they occur and how to act in order to avoid them. Below are the various classifications.

Overproduction: related to the production of a quantity greater than required or earlier than necessary. This may cause waste of materials, man-hour or equipment usage. Its usually produces inventories of unfinished products or even their total loss, in case of materials that can deteriorate. An example of this kind of waste is the overproduction of mortar that cannot be used on time.

Transportation: concerned with the internal movement of materials on site .excessive handling, the use of inadequate equipment or bad conditions of pathways can cause this kind of waste. It is usually related to poor layout, and the lack of planning of material flows. Its main consequences are: waste of man hours, waste of energy, waste of space on site, and the possibility of materials waste during transportation.

Processing: related to the nature of the processing (conversion) activity, which could only be avoided by changing the construction technology. For instance, a percentage of mortar is usually wasted when a ceiling is being plastered.

Inventories: related to excessive or unnecessary inventories which lead to materials waste (by deterioration, losses due to inadequate stock conditions on site, robbery, vandalism), and monetary losses due to the capital that is tied up. It might be a result of lack of resources planning or the estimation of quantities.

Movement: concerned with unnecessary or inefficient movements made by workers during their job. This might be caused by inadequate equipment, ineffective work methods, or poor arrangement of the working place.

Production of defective products: it occurs when the final or intermediate product does not fit the quality specifications. This may lead to rework or to the incorporation of unnecessary materials to the building (indirect waste), such as the excessive thickness of plastering. it can be caused by a wide range of reasons: poor design and specification, lack of planning and control, poor qualification of the team work, lack of integration between design and production, etc.

METHODOLOGY

In this study seven construction sites in Minna, Lagos and Abuja were sampled for observation and administration of a well structured questionnaire to the professionals such as architects, builders, quantity surveyors and health officials, The public, and artisan/labour were also interviewed to test for the general awareness level on the impact of construction waste on the environment.

The major focus of the research is to determine the types of construction waste generated and its effect to the environment; level of awareness of public on the impact of construction waste. Data collected was analyzed using the simple matrix method and check list method of the environmental impact analysis (Onyeador & Ikwuegbu, 2005).

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Table 1 shows the Composition of construction wastes generated in the selected construction sites visited in Minna, Lagos and Abuja (which is in many respects similar, though there are differences in frequencies of occurrence). The wastes comprises of Concrete and Cement , Block Waste, Timber, Tile Waste, Steel And Aluminium, Glass And Plastic waste, and Packaging Material. Concrete and Cement, Block Waste, and Timber are the most prominent (100%) present in the entire site visited while packaging materials waste is the least (23%).

The effects of construction waste and the Environmental Impact Analysis (EIA) check list on the environment can be seen in table 2 and 3. Concrete and its constituents like cement and sand result to dusty conditions which contributes to air pollution and depletion of the Ozone layer especially when most of these wastes are burnt openly, the effect are irreversible and subsequently have a long term effect on the environment. Others on the list have short term, and sometimes negligible effect. construction wastes will result in a range of impacts that are common to most construction sites. Potential impacts include dust and noise, hideouts, the development of informal trading areas, unnecessary destruction of valuable flora and pollution of the soil and water resources.

Data collected revealed that 85% of the professionals that responded to the questionnaire are aware of the impact (effect) of construction waste on the environment, while only 3% were not conscious of the negative implications it has on the environment, a large amount of Artisans and labour (61%) who are directly engaged in the usage of this materials have no idea of the implications of these wastes on the environment. Averagely, the awareness of the general public is not encouraging (27%); the Health workers, sanitary inspectors and Environmental protection agency have a lot to do to keep our environment: Life, property, health, wildlife, air, water, the ozone layer etc save (Figure 1).

Table I: The major types, reasons and frequencies of occurrence of construction waste.

| S/N | TYPES OF CONST. WASTE | REASONS FOR WASTE GENERATION | FREQUENCIES (%) | | |
|-----|-------------------------------|---|-----------------|--|--|
| A | Concrete and Cement Waste | flow of plastering, demolished concrete, over-order and template leakage | 100 | | |
| В | Block Waste | transportation damage and cutovers | 100 | | |
| C | Timber Wastes | Cutovers | 100 | | |
| D | Tile Wastes | transportation damage and cutovers | 42 | | |
| Е | Steel And Aluminium Wastes | Cut steel bars and aluminium from basement, concrete, and roof activities | 87 | | |
| F | Glass And Plastics | Deformation during transportation and delivery. Obstruction and deformation | 56 | | |
| | Asset to page | work, used waste from unstandardized design | | | |
| G | Packaging Material | Packaging from tiles, glass, cement bags, constr. materials. | 23 | | |

Table 2. Effects of Construction waste on Environment

| Type of waste | Air | Water | Soil | Wild life | Vegetation | Health |
|----------------------------|-----|-------|------|-----------|------------|------------|
| Concrete and Cement | CC | С | ? | CC | C | CC |
| Waste | | | | | | |
| Block Waste | CC | ? | N/A | N/A | CC | N/A |
| Timber Wastes | N/A | ? | ? | CC | CC | CC |
| Tile Wastes | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | C | C |
| Steel & Aluminium | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | C | CC |
| Wastes | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Glass And Plastic | N/A | N/A | ? | N/A | C | CC |
| Paint and other decorative | CC | CC | N/A | ? | CC | ? |
| | | | | | To Birthan | esperie de |
| Packaging Material | ? | ? | ? | ? | CC | ? |

[•] B Indicates positive impact (benefit), C negative impact (cost), BB or CC a sizeable impact, N/A not applicable (where no impact is expected), ? Question mark (where it is not yet certain whether there will be an impact or not).

| Types | No impact | Positive | of Construc Negative Impact | Short Term | Long term | Reversible | Irreversible |
|----------------------------|--------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Concrete & Cement Waste | | envesso bi | u ogsa y o ne | alta respansi | √ | Block Waste | ✓ |
| | | Puction six | | Lagrand | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Block Waste | | | ✓ | ✓ | | ✓ | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | dan kepitulis a tur ne Nezetza | nikumijsti. O zavika | | na. Stankina in | |
| Tile Wastes | | | i mont opene | boar at year | | 1 | |
| Steel & Aluminum Wastes | | | | | | ∨ | |
| | | | rises at Con | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Glass & Plastic | | | ✓ | ✓ | | √ | |
| Paint and other decorative | | | ✓ | ✓ | | | ✓ |
| Packaging Material | | | ✓ | ✓ | | ✓ | |




Figure 1. Level of awareness of stakeholders on the impact of construction waste on the environment.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

From the paper and data presented, the following becomes glaring:

- Existence of man is impossible without his environment and divers activities are carried out daily in our environment, which have gradual destructive effects and leave a residual negative impact on our environment, most of these impacts could be reversible, sometimes non-reversible, long term or short term. Construction and demolition are one of the major human activities done in the environment and when it is not controlled and carefully done, its implication the environment is drastic, not only on human being but also on every other environmental habitats (nature).
- All those involve in construction projects contributes to wastes: designers, material suppliers, site managers, manufacturer, constructor, operatives etc.
- Construction waste is a voluntary waste and it constitutes the most visible and obviously affront to the environment and human (life) health, since it is an act or omission, which alters the nature of land.
- Construction waste is notorious, dangerous and harmful and could be classified as an industrial waste.

In view of the enormity of the effect construction wastes have on the environment, the following recommendations are given so as to keep our environment (nature) for human existence:

- Establishment of an agency responsible for the monitoring and regulation of discharges into the environment in every municipal e.g. Environmental Protection Agency.
- Promulgation/enactment of legislation/regulations for the protection of human health and ecological system from pollution hazards.
- Development of programmes that would advance technology and competence, monitoring and abatement in our educational institutions.
- Mobilization of the community, construction companies, existing regulatory bodies through workshops, seminars, symposiums in the preservation and protection of the environment.
- Development of warning systems that could avert construction waste pollution disasters.
- Evolvement of industrialization policy that places adequate premium on the protection and conservation of the environment and its enforcement.

REFERENCES

Carlos, T.F., Eduardo, L.I., & Ercilia H.H. (1999). Method For Waste Control in the Building Industry. University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA.

Hong Kong Government-Environmental protection department (2000) ,Monitoring of solid waste in Hong Kong, Waste Statistics for 2000, Environmental Protection Department, Hong Kong Government,

Hong Kong Government-Environmental Protection Department (2006), *Environmental Report 2006*, Environmental Protection Department, Hong Kong Government,

Iris Communication Inc. Construction waste types and quantities.15 January 2008 http://www.oikos.com/product/.

John, E.J. (1981).site control of materials by Bufferworths.England

Koskela, L (1992). "Application of the New Production Philosophy to Construction." CIFE, Technical Report No. 72, Stranford, USA.

Lu, K.A. (1999), "The actuality and integrate using of China's construction wastes", *Construction Technology*, Vol. 128 pp.44-5.

Metro Waste Authority (2000), Commerical Programs - Construction and Demolition, available at:

Ognnhode E.B, Isa R.B., Hassan I.O., & Olaniyan O.A.

Development of programme time would advance technology and competence, manimage

www.metrowaste.com/commercial/construction.htmi.

- Rau, G. J and D.C, wooden, 1980. Environmental impact analysis handbook. Mc Graw Hill Inc. New York.
- Shingo, S (1989). A Study of the Toyota Production System from an Industrial Engineering TERROPA AND RECOMMENDATIONS Point of View. Productivity Press, USA
- Wong, E.O.W and Robin, C.P.M. (2004) Sustainable construction waste management in Hong Kong. Journal of construction mgt and economics. July. apacia sould be resemble, armenines no
- Wyatt, D.P. (1978). Material management, Part 1. Berkshire: The Chartered Institute Of Building, Berkshire, Empland, dr noite illand at perch vilatera, the bereau and at his and a burnan bynng hat also an element as stevitasangerial habitats (nature).