



SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING AND ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY,  
The Federal University of Technology, Akure, Nigeria.

# 2017 SEET ANNUAL CONFERENCE

T H E M E :

INNOVATIVE AND ADAPTIVE TECHNOLOGY  
FOR NATIONAL DEVELOPMENT



THE HILLTOP AUDITORIUM,  
THE FEDERAL UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, AKURE.

11<sup>TH</sup> - 13<sup>TH</sup> JULY, 2017

*Proceedings of the 2017 Annual Conference of the School of  
Engineering & Engineering Technology (SEET)*

**The Federal University of Technology, Akure, Nigeria.**

*Edited by:*  
**P. G. Oguntunde**  
**S. P. Ayodeji**

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Cover Page	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	i
Table of Contents	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	ii
Conference Local Organising Committee & Planning Committee	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	xv

### **SUB-THEME: INNOVATIVE AND ADAPTIVE PROCESSING TECHNOLOGY FOR AGRICULTURAL FOODS**

- 1. THEORETICAL DESIGN OF A PLANTAIN PEELING MACHINE**  
E. O. Olutomilola, A. Omoaka and S. P. Ayodeji  
*Page 1 - 8*
- 2. DETERMINATION OF SOME PHYSICAL AND MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF TGX 1740-IF-SEED SOYBEAN IN RELATION TO PLANTER DESIGN**  
B. O. Soyoye, O. C. Ademosun and L. A. S. Agbetoye  
*Page 9 - 17*
- 3. DEVELOPMENT OF AN ORANGE JUICE EXTRACTING MACHINE FOR SMALL AND MEDIUM SCALE INDUSTRIES**  
J. Isa; E.A. Olukunle and O.J. Olukunle  
*Page 18 - 28*
- 4. INFLUENCE OF SUPPLEMENTAL IRRIGATION ON PHYSICAL PROPERTIES OF CASSAVA TUBERS UNDER VARYING TILLAGE PRACTICES AND FERTILIZER APPLICATION**  
Adetola, O. A., Olukunle, O. J. and Olubanjo, O.O.  
*Page 29 - 42*
- 5. STORAGE OF MAIZE (Zea mays) USING HERMETIC METHOD AND ANALYSES OF SOME QUALITY PARAMETERS**  
Orhevba, Bosede Adelola, Olorunsogo, Samuel and Idogwu, Esther Ijeoma  
*Page 43 - 54*
- 6. HYDRATION KINETICS OF TWO FINGER MILLETS VARIETIES**  
Oladele, Samouel O. and Jimoh, Kabir A.  
*Page 55 - 63*

**7. SOIL BIN INVESTIGATIONS OF DRAUGHT AND SOIL DISTURBANCE OF TILLAGE TOOLS: FUTA EXPERIENCE**

**Manuwa S. I.; Adesanya, A. S.; Adesina, A.; Sedara, A. M.; Tola, F. A.**

*Page 64 - 73*

**8. CASSAVA PROCESSING EFFLUENT CHARACTERIZATION AND IMPACT OF ON-SITE HANDLING TECHNIQUES IN OGUN STATE, NIGERIA**

**N.S. Lawal, A. A. Adebayo and O.O.S. Ojo**

*Page 74 - 81*

**SUB-THEME: INNOVATIVE AND ADAPTIVE RESEARCH IN ENERGY SYSTEMS - RENEWABLE AND NON-RENEWABLE**

**9. COMPUTER AIDED DESIGN AND DRAFTING OF PALM KERNEL SHELL COMBUSTING FURNACE FOR POWER GENERATION**

**K.O. Oladosu, B. Kareem, B.O. Akinnuli, T. B. Asafa, D.A. Ogunsola and M.O. Adeoti**

*Page 83 - 93*

**10. A REVIEW OF EMISSION CHARACTERISTICS OF DIESEL AND BIODIESEL BLENDS**

**Ilesanmi A. Daniyan, Emmanuel I. Bello, Tunde I. Ogedengbe and P. B. Mogaji**

*Page 94 – 105*

**11. NIGERIAN TRANSMISSION LINE FAULTS; A CASE STUDY OF ENUGU NEW-HAVEN 132/33KV TRANSMISSION LINE**

**Obi, P. I.; Emeghara, M. C. and Anerobi, P.**

*Page 106 - 119*

**12. CHARACTERIZATION AND PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF BIO-BASED PHENOL FORMALDEHYDE RESINS FROM DETARIUM SENEGALENSE J.F. GMEL BARK**

**Olayiwola Y.B, Fasina O, Oluyeye A.O, Lajide L. and Olayiwola V.A.**

*Page 120 -*

**13. SYNERGISTIC EFFECT OF CO-DIGESTING DIFFERENT MIX RATIOS OF WATER HYACINTH AND COW-DUNG FOR BIOGAS PRODUCTION**

**Ochuko Mary OJO, Josiah Oladele BABATOLA, Adesoji Adediran ADESINA, Adebisi Olayinka AKINOLA and <sup>4</sup> Olurinde LAFE**

*Page 132 - 138*

14. **ISSUES IN SOLAR ENERGY TECHNOLOGICAL DEVELOPMENT IN NIGERIA**  
T. I. Ogedengbe and T. M. Adamolekun  
*Page 139 - 148*
  
15. **STATUS, TREND AND POTENTIAL GENERATION OF RENEWABLE ENERGY FROM WASTE FOR SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT IN AFRICA: AN OVERVIEW**  
Ajibade F. O., Lasisi K. H., Otun T. F., Ojo A. O., Adewumi, J. R., Ojo, O. M. and Babatola, J. O.  
*Page 149 - 189*
  
16. **THERMALLY MODIFIED WOOD AS AN IMPROVED MATERIAL FOR CONSTRUCTION: A REVIEW**  
Iyiola, E.A., Olufemi B. and Owoyemi, J.M.  
*Page 190 - 200*
  
17. **EVALUATION OF THIN-LAYER DRYING MODELS FOR CAPSICUM CHINENSE USING SOLAR AND SUN DRYING METHODS**  
Aduewa, T. O.; Oladimeji T.; Atere A. O  
*Page 201 - 209*
  
18. **VOLTAGE CONTROL IN NIGERIA 330KV TRANSMISSION LINES USING SERIES AND SHUNT CAPACITORS**  
Añanti J.E  
*Page 210 - 215*
  
19. **OVERVIEW OF APPLICATION OF FLEXIBLE ALTERNATING CURRENT TRANSMISSION SYSTEM IN NGERIA POWER SECTOR**  
Añanti, J.E.  
*Page 216 - 223*

**SUB-THEME: INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGY FOR EXPLORATION AND EXPLOITATION OF SOLID MINERALS**

20. **THE PHYSICO-CHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF SOME FINE AGGREGATES IN LOKOJA, KOGI STATE, NIGERIA**  
Akingbonmire, Samuel L., Omotayo, Oluwafemi O., Afolayan, J. O. and Olorunshola, Banjo A.  
*Page 225 - 233*

- 21. ROTARY DRILL PERFORMANCE ESTIMATION IN LIMESTONE FORMATION**  
**B. Adebayo**  
*Page 234 - 243*
- 22. PETROGRAPHY AND GEOCHEMICAL ALTERATION OF THE URANIUM ENRICHED GRANITOIDS IN KANAWA, WUYO-GUBRUNDE HORST, NORTHEASTERN NIGERIA**  
**Anthony Temidayo Bolarinwa and Saleh Ibrahim Bute**  
*Page 244 - 259*
- 23. PROFITABILITY AND PRODUCTIVITY ASSESSMENT OF ARTISANAL GOLD MINING IN OSUN STATE, NIGERIA**  
**Melodi, M. M.**  
*Page 260 - 267*
- 24. CHARATERISATION OF FANIBI LATERITE DEPOSIT FOR RECOVERY OF VALUABLE MINERALS**  
**D. T. Oloruntoba, O.O Alabi and B. Ajisafe**  
*Page 268 - 281*
- 25. EFFECTS OF MATERIAL HANDLING ON THE PRODUCTIVITY OF SELECTED QUARRIES IN ONDO STATE**  
**Thomas Busuyi Afeni, Oluwapelumi Andrew Adedapo and Bernice Adepeju Akinbile**  
*Page 282 - 291*

**SUB-THEME: APPLIED MATERIALS SCIENCE FOR LOW COST-HIGH PERFORMANCE INDUSTRIAL AND STRUCTURAL MATERIALS DEVELOPMENT**

- 26. DESIGN OF MELTING SUPPORT SIMULATION PROGRAM ADAPTED FROM MASS AND THERMAL ANALYSIS OF A LOCALLY DESIGNED CRUCIBLE FURNACE**  
**Saliu Ojo SEIDU and Adetunji ONIGBAJUMO**  
*Page 293 - 306*

- 27. SUITABILITY ASSESSMENT OF ADO-EKITI MOULDING SANDS**  
**Abegunde, A.J.; Ajewole P.O. and Omidiji, B.V.**  
*Page 307 – 320*
- 28. A REVIEW PROPOSAL ON THE APPLICATION OF NEUROGENETIC ALGORITHM TO MATERIAL SELECTION OF MACHINE PARTS**  
**N. G. Olaiya; P.K. Oke and P.B. Mogaji**  
*Page 321 - 332*
- 29. SYNTHESIS AND CHARACTERISATION OF BIO-LUBRICANT FROM PALM KERNEL OIL (RESPONSE SURFACE METHODOLOGY)**  
**Aliyu, M., Musa, U., Mohammed, I. S., Adam, A., Abdullahi, L., Abubakar, M. and Ndagi, B.**  
*Page 333 - 341*
- 30. EFFECTS OF BAMBOO LEAF ASH AND LOCUST BEANS POD ASH ADMIXTURES, AND THEIR MIXTURE PROPORTIONS ON AUTOGENOUS SHRINKAGE STRAIN AND COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH OF MORTAR**  
**C.M. Ikumapayi and S.L. Akingbomire**  
*Page 342 - 351*
- 31. ASSESSMENT OF EFFECTIVE NUGGET SIZE, NUGGET ROTATION AND FAILURE MODE OF FRICTION STIR SPOT WELDS OF AA2219-O ALLOY**  
**Ojo Olatunji Oladimeji and Emel Taban**  
*Page 352 - 362*
- 32. BENTONITE ENHANCED WASTE FOUNDRY SAND TREATED WITH RICE HUSK ASH AS A HYDRAULIC BARRIER IN WASTE CONTAINMENT SYSTEM**  
**J. Ochebo and K. J. Osinubi**  
*Page 363 - 373*
- 33. A REVIEW OF RECENT ADVANCES IN CUTTING TOOL LIFE OPTIMIZATION DURING TURNING OPERATIONS ON LATHE MACHINE**  
**Adamolekun T. M., Ogedengbe T. I., Awopetu O.O. and Dahunsi O. A.**  
*Page 374 - 384*

34. **MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF EPOXY MATRIX COMPOSITES REINFORCED WITH GARLIC PARTICLES**  
Oluyemi Ojo DARAMOLA, Isiaka Oluwole OLADELE and Olajide Samson AKINTAYO  
*Page 385 - 398*
35. **CORROSION ASSESSMENT OF SINTER DUPLEX STAINLESS STEEL COMPOSITES IN PINEAPPLE JUICE EXTRACT**  
Afolabi A.E.; Olaniran O., Borode J.O., Olubambi P.A.; Olaniran B.A. and Folorunso D.O.  
*Page 399 – 411*
36. **NANOSIZED WASTE PAPER ASH STABILIZATION OF LATERITIC SOIL FOR PAVEMENT CONSTRUCTION PURPOSES**  
Onyelowo, K. C.  
*Page 412 - 426*
37. **CORROSION STUDIES OF DUCTILE AND GREY CAST IRON USING MORINGA OIL AS AN INHIBITOR IN SEAWATER AND CASSAVA JUICE**  
Olaniran O., Olubunmi T.S., Olaniran B.A., Folorunso D.O. and Afolabi A.E  
*Page 427 - 435*
38. **CHARACTERIZATION OF BAUCHI GRAPHITE FOR CRUCIBLE PRODUCTION**  
Adeoti, M.O., Dahunsi, O. A., Awopetu, O. O.; Aramide, F.O., Abdul-Kareem, A.S. and Alabi, O.O.  
*Page 436 - 444*
39. **CHARACTERIZATION AND VALUE ADDITION TO SOME NIGERIAN CLAYS FOR HIGH TEMPERATURE APPLICATIONS**  
D.O. Folorunso, O. Olaniran, A. O. Olaseinde, S. Aribi, F. O. Aramide and K. K. Alaneme  
*Page 445 - 457*

**SUB-THEME: INNOVATIVE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY AND DATA MANAGEMENT FOR NATIONAL DEVELOPMENT**

40. **A HYBRID MICROPHONE AND COMPUTER SLIDE NAVIGATION SYSTEM**  
Ehigie, O. C. and Olaye, E.  
*Page 459 - 463*

41. **AN OPTIMIZED DROOP CONTROLLER FOR MICRO-GRID POWER QUALITY IMPROVEMENT USING ARTIFICIAL FISH SWARM ALGORITHM (AFSA)**  
Ibrahim Abdulwahab, Jibril Yusuf and Abdulrahman A. Olaniyan  
*Page 464 - 473*
42. **COMBINED DEEP CONVOLUTIONAL NEURAL NETWORK FEATURES FOR FINGERPRINT LIVENESS DETECTION: A FEASIBILITY STUDY**  
Y. Ibrahim, M. B. Mu'azu, E. A. Adedokun and Y. A. Sha'aban  
*Page 474 - 483*
43. **EVALUATION OF DIRECT-SEQUENCE CODE-DIVISION MULTIPLE ACCESS SYSTEM IN ADDITIVE WHITE GAUSSIAN NOISE ENVIRONMENT AND FADING CHANNELS**  
Udekwe C. N., Abumere I. O., Adeagbo E. O., Dahunsi F. and Ikpeze O. F.  
*Page 484 - 493*
44. **REDUCING END TO END DELAY VARIATION IN A GSM SWITCHING NETWORK**  
Ajibodu F.A. and Ojo B.A.  
*Page 494 - 504*

**SUB-THEME: INNOVATIVE SOLUTIONS TO ENVIRONMENTAL CHALLENGES FOR SUSTAINABLE NATIONAL GROWTH**

45. **EVALUATION OF ROAD TRAFFIC ACCIDENT ALONG AKURE-OWO, ONDO STATE, NIGERIA**  
Afolayan A., Adebara S. A., Oluwasola E. A. and Lasis K. H.  
*Page 505 - 517*
46. **YIELD RESPONSE TO VARIABLE WATER APPLICATION USING MICRO-SPRINKLER SYSTEM**  
Alao F., Alatise M.O. and Olanrewaju O.O.  
*Page 518 - 525*
47. **TERMITE-METHANE PRODUCTION, GUT MICROBES AND WASTES: A REVIEW**  
Akinmusere, O. K., Babatola, J. O., Lafe, O. and Ajayi, O. E.  
*Page 526 - 531*

48. **LYSIMETER DETERMINATION OF CROP COEFFICIENT OF DRIP IRRIGATED YOUNG JATROPHA CURCAS**  
Ewemoje T. A. and Fagbayide S. D.  
*Page 532 - 544*
49. **CONSTRUCTED WETLANDS, AN ECO-FRIENDLY TECHNOLOGY FOR SOIL AND WATER REMEDIATION: A REVIEW**  
Ayeni, Ifeoluwa Funmilola; Olanrewaju, Olawale Olugbenga; Alatise, Michael Olanrewaju  
*Page 545 - 561*
50. **EVALUATION OF SOIL ERODIBILITY UNDER DIFFERENT LAND USES**  
O. O. Olubanjo  
*Page 562 - 569*
51. **APPLICATION OF MATERIAL FLOW ANALYSIS TO SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT: A CASE STUDY OF LAGOS METROPOLIS**  
Christianah O. Ijagbemi and Henry K. Olajide  
*Page 570 -*
52. **CHARACTERIZATION OF MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE GENERATED IN AKURE METROPOLIS**  
Adewumi, J. R.<sup>1</sup>, Ajibade, F. O.<sup>\*1</sup>, Lasisi, K.H.<sup>1</sup> and Oguntuase, A. M.<sup>1,2</sup>  
*Page 584 - 595*
53. **SUSTAINABLE APPLICATION OF CONSTRUCTION AND DEMOLITION WASTE: A REVIEW**  
Omotayo, Oluwafemi O., Akingbonmire, Samuel L. and Ikumapayi Catherine M.  
*Page 596 - 611*

**SUB-THEME: INNOVATIVE MANAGEMENT AND MODELLING FOR SYSTEM OPTIMISATION TOWARDS NATIONAL DEVELOPMENT**

54. **IMPROVED QUALITY OF SERVICE (QOS) OF VOIP OVER (WLAN)**  
Ifijeh Ayodele Hephzibah and Ilesanmi B. Oluwafemi  
*Page 613 - 627*

55. **ALLOCATION OF LIMITED AVAILABLE EQUIPMENT BUDGET WITH EMPHASIS ON: MACHINE, ACCESSORY AND MISCELLANEOUS COSTS UNDER SPARE PARTS AVAILABILITY**  
Oluwaseun Oluwagbemiga Ojo and Basil Olufemi Akinnuli  
*Page 628 - 636*
56. **FROM INNOVATIVE DESIGN TO INNOVATIVE MANAGEMENT: A PRACTITIONER'S MODEL FOR BUILDING PROJECT SUCCESS IN NIGERIA**  
Ayodele Emmanuel IKUDAYISI and Victor Olufemi ADEGBEHINGBE  
*Page 637 - 650*
57. **OPEN PIT OPTIMIZATION AND PRODUCTION SCHEDULING OF OKOBO COAL MINE, CENTRAL NIGERIA**  
Anthony Temidayo Bolarinwa and Gideon Chidinma Nwafor  
*Page 651 - 664*
58. **SENSITIVITY OF GENERAL CIRCULATION MODELS FOR SIMULATING CURRENT CLIMATE IN ONDO STATE, NIGERIA**  
Yahaya OLOTU, Ayorinde A. OLUFAYO and Philip G. OGUNTUNDE  
*Page 665 - 675*
59. **LOCALLY MADE HALF BRIDGED RESISTANCE TYPE MOISTURE CONTENT PREDICTING DEVICE FOR GRAINS**  
Sadiq Sius LAWAL and Katsina Christopher BALA  
*Page 676 -*
60. **SIMULATION OF HEAVY METALS MOVEMENT AND CHANGE IN CONCENTRATION IN SHALLOW UNCONFINED AQUIFER IN NORTH CENTRAL NIGERIA USING VISUAL MOFLOW AND MT3DMS**  
Adeoye, Peter Aderemi, Musa John Jiya and Kuti, Abayomi Ibrahim  
*Page 684 - 699*
61. **BRIDGING RESEARCH-INDUSTRY DIVIDE IN SMALL AND MEDIUM SCALE FOOD AND BEVERAGE ENTERPRISES: EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE FROM SOUTHWESTERN NIGERIA**  
Dada A.D.; Ilori M.O. and Siyanbola W.O.  
*Page 700 - 713*

**62. COMPARISON OF EGUSI- MELON ACTUAL EVAPOTRANSPIRATION FROM  $ET_{O[PAN]}$  AND CROPWAT MODEL**  
**OYATI, E.N., OLOTU, Y, and OKAFOR, M.C.**  
*Page 714 - 722*

**63. A FEASIBILITY STUDY OF USING LIGHT FIDELITY WITH MULTIPLE UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES (UAVS) FOR INDOOR COLLABORATIVE AND COOPERATIVE NETWORKING**  
**B.O. Sadiq, A.E. Adedokun, M.B. Mu'azu and Y. A. Sha'aban**  
*Page 723 - 730*

**64. DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION OF EQUIPMENT FOR DETERMINATION OF TERMINAL VELOCITY FOR VARIOUS AGRICULTURAL SEEDS**  
**Oladimeji S.T., Oyerinde A. S., and Aduewa, T. O.**  
*Page 731 - 739*

**SUB-THEME: INNOVATIVE AND APPROPRIATE MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY FOR NATIONAL DEVELOPMENT**

**65. ASSESSMENT OF THE CAUSES OF ACCIDENTS IN THE WORKSHOP (A case study of South Western, Nigeria)**  
**Felicia O. Akerele, Adeyanju O. O., Peter L. Gabriel and Ebenezer O. Olowolaju**  
*Page 741 - 764*

**66. DEVELOPMENT OF AN IMPROVED VISUALIZED TEACHING-AID SIX-CYLINDER INTERNAL COMBUSTION ENGINE**  
**Mogaji, P.B., Babatunde, T. P., Obarotimi and Omoeffe, P.**  
*Page 765 - 773*

**67. DEVELOPMENT OF AN IMPROVED PLANK SAWING MACHINE**  
**P.B. Mogaji**  
*Page 774 - 789*

**68. A REVIEW ON ADDITIVE MANUFACTURING: LASER TECHNOLOGY METHODS, DISTINCTIONS AND APPLICATIONS IN MANUFACTURING ENGINEERING**  
**N. G. Olaiya, P.K. Farayibi and P.K. Oke**  
*Page 790 - 799*

69. **EFFECTS OF LUBRICANTS ON EXTRUSION LOAD DURING BACKWARD CUP EXTRUSION OF AA6063**  
**O. M. Ikumapayi, P. B. Mogaji, T.I. Mohammed, S.A. Afolalu, O.L Rominiyi, B.A. Adaramola**  
*Page 800 - 812*
70. **DEVELOPMENT OF A MULTIPURPOSE MIX-SIEVING MACHINE**  
**N. G. Olaiya; A. Oladugba; P.K. Oke and P.B. Mogaji**  
*Page 813 - 831*
71. **DEVELOPMENT OF AN ADAPTABLE PLATFORM FOR MICROSATELLITE PRODUCTION**  
**Mogaji, P.B.; Ayodeji, S.P. and Sobowale A.**  
*Page 832 - 847*
72. **DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION OF A REFRIGERATION SYSTEM FOR THE STORAGE OF FRESH MAIZE**  
**Caleb, O.O. and Akintunde, M.A.**  
*Page 848 - 855*
73. **DEVELOPMENT OF AN IMPROVED ELECTRICALLY OPERATED POPCORN MAKING MACHINE**  
**T. O. Olugbade**  
*Page 856 - 863*
74. **PRODUCTION CAPACITY AND TIME UTILIZATION OF SEQUENTIAL PROCESS PLANTS**  
**Olabanji Olayinka Mohammed**  
*Page 864 - 876*
75. **DESIGN AND FABRICATION OF AN ADJUSTABLE-FLOW HINDERED SETTLING EQUIPMENT FOR INCREASED MINERAL RECOVERY IN GRAVITY CONCENTRATION**  
**Ajaka E. O., Adesina A. E. and Adepitan T**  
*Page 877 - 885*
76. **OPTIMAL DESIGN OF A STAND ALONE PHOTOVOLTAIC MINI-GRID**  
**O. Agbonaye and O. F. Odiase**  
*Page 886 - 908*

**77. DEVELOPMENT AND CALIBRATION OF AUTOMATED CLASS A EVAPORIMETER**  
**OYATI, E.N., OLOTU Yahaya, IZUAGIE, F.I and AJAYI Adedayo**  
*Page 909 - 915*

**78. STRENGTHENING INNOVATIVE ADAPTIVE ENGINEERING EDUCATION FOR NATIONAL DEVELOPMENT**  
**Akinola, A. O.**  
*Page 916 - 922*

**SUB-THEME: INNOVATIVE AND LOW-COST MATERIALS FOR SUSTAINABLE NATIONAL HOUSING DEVELOPMENT**

**79. DEVELOPMENT OF PARTICLEBOARD FROM RICE HUSK AND POLYSTYRENE WASTES**  
**S. A. Abdulkareem and A. G. Adeniyi**  
*Page 924 - 931*

**80. INVESTIGATING THE INTEGRITY OF THE SUBSOIL AS FOUNDATION SUPPORT MATERIALS AT THE FEDERAL UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, AKURE, NIGERIA**  
**Ademeso, O. Anthony and Afelumo, J.A.**  
*Page 932 - 950*

**81. EVALUATION OF SULPHATES ATTACK ON CONCRETE**  
**Olaolu G. Fadugba; Bamitale D. Oluyemi-Ayibiowu and Adesola O. Adetukasi**  
*Page 951 - 958*

**82. GEOPHYSICAL AND GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION FOR FOUNDATION DESIGN OF A PROPOSED PETROLEUM TANK FARM IN LAGOS, NIGERIA**  
**Olabode, O. Olofinyo, Oluwaseun Franklin Olabode, and Sanni, Olayiwola Abideen**  
*Page 959 - 967*

**83. ALTERNATIVES TO CONVENTIONAL BUILDING MATERIALS IN THE CONSTRUCTION OF LOW HOUSING SCHEME FOR SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT**  
**Oyedepo O. J., Olanitori L. M., and Busari A.**  
*Page 968 - 982*

**84. ENGINEERING PROPERTIES OF CONCRETE MADE WITH SAWDUST AS PARTIAL REPLACEMENT OF SAND**

**Ubachukwu, O.A. and Silas, E.O.**

*Page 983 - 991*

**85. POTENTIALS OF WATER HYACINTH ASH IN PARTIAL REPLACEMENT OF CEMENT**

**Mohammed, I. S.; Aliyu, M.; Ibrahim, A. A.; Ndanaimi, Y. and Abdullahi, .L.**

*Page 992 - 997*

**SUB-THEME: GRANTMANSHIP AND FUNDING OF INNOVATIVE RESEARCH**

**86. LITERATURE REVIEW IN A RESEARCH PROCESS**

**Oluremi A. Abiolu**

*Page 999 – 1010*



**87. BIOGAS PRODUCTION FROM THE CO-DIGESTION OF COW DUNG AND POULTRY DROPPINGS USING A PLASTIC CYLINDRICAL DIGESTER**

**Simeon M. Imologie, Edache Julius and Eyeowa D. Adegoke**

*Page 1011 – 1020*

## **CONFERENCE LOCAL ORGANISING AND PLANNING COMMITTEE**

Prof. M. O. Alatise	Dean, School of Engineering & Engineering Tech. (SEET)
Prof. P. G. Oguntunde	Chairman, Conference Planning Committee
Dr. S. P. Ayodeji	Chairman, Technical Review Sub-committee
Dr. D. T. Oloruntoba	Chairman, Publicity and Logistic Sub-committee
Dr. I. O. Oladele	Chairman, Registration Sub-committee
Prof. A. A. Olufayo	Chairman, Annual Lecture Committee
Prof. O. J. Olukunle	Chairman, Fund Raising Sub-committee
Dr. O. O. Olubanjo	Chairman, Accommodation/Venue Sub-committee
Dr. A.A. Ponnle	Chairman, ICT Sub-committee
Dr. (Mrs) C. M. Ikumapayi	Chairman, Welfare Sub-committee
Dr. J.J. Popoola	Sub-Dean, SEET
Mr. Y. T. Olugboji	School Officer

## **CONFERENCE SECRETARIAT**

Mrs. Saheed Toyin	Administrative Assistant
Mrs. Olamide Ariwoola	ICT Assistant
Mr. Lekan Lawal	Editorial Assistant
Mr. Olatunde Victor	Graphic Design/ Editorial Assistant
Mr. Habeeb Yusuf	Technical Assistant

## BIOGAS PRODUCTION FROM THE CO-DIGESTION OF COW DUNG AND POULTRY DROPPINGS USING A PLASTIC CYLINDRICAL DIGESTER

<sup>1</sup>Simeon M. Imologie, <sup>1</sup>Edache Julius and <sup>2</sup>Eyeowa D. Adegoke

<sup>1</sup> Department of Agricultural and Bioresources Engineering, Federal University of Technology, Minna, Nigeria.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Agricultural and Environmental Engineering, University of Ibadan, Nigeria.

### ABSTRACT

This study was carried out to compare the rate and amount of gas produced from the co-digestion of two different substrates of cow dung and poultry droppings under anaerobic conditions. Biogas production from three(3) cylindrical bio-digesters containing cow dung, poultry dropping, and a mixture of cow dung and poultry droppings under an average temperature of 28 °c and a pH of 6.2 was examined. In each case, the feedstock was diluted with equal volume of water to form slurry. The digestion took place for a period of 35days. The biogas produced during this period was collected by balloon method and subsequently measured and recorded. The results obtained from this study shows that the co-digestion of cow dung and poultry droppings yielded a maximum volume of 2.62 cm<sup>3</sup>, while poultry dropping yielded 2.50 cm<sup>3</sup> and cow dung yielded 1.78 cm<sup>3</sup>. Thus, the co-digestion of the feedstocks gave a better gas production and the mean biogas yield was found to be significant (P<0.05) compared to each of the single substrate. This study has demonstrated that the co-digestion of cow dung and poultry droppings in a plastic cylindrical could be a cheap method for locally producing biogas for domestic purposes. It is however, recommended that gas production can be enhanced during hot seasons where higher temperature is easily attained.

**Keywords:** Biogas production, co-digestion, cow dung, poultry droppings, cylindrical digester

### 1. INTRODUCTION

There is considerable amount of renewable feed stocks in the form of animal manure, crop residues, food and food processing wastes in developing countries which can be utilized economically for biogas production while at the same time reducing the cost and environmental problems associated with land-filling (Sebola *et al.*, 2014). Nigeria is richly blessed with these feed stocks alongside various sorts of energy resources. According to World Energy Council (1993) the average solar radiation in Nigeria is 5.548kwh/m<sup>2</sup>/day. This amount of solar radiation encourages mesophilic temperature suitable for anaerobic digestion in biogas production. With recent increase in conventional fuel prices, the option of biogas is a worthy asset in Nigeria as it can substitute conventional fuel obtained from crude oil. Besides, the advanced methods of

refining conventional fuels from crude oils pose a serious threat not only to the environment but also on the health of the people (Speece, 1996; Sebola *et al.*, 2014). Again, over 80 percent of the Nigerian population live in the rural areas, and firewood is their main source of energy. The extensive use of this fuel has led to wood fuel crisis and environmental degradation, as the rate of felled trees is not matched with forest reclamation by reforestation and afforestation.

Biogas is produced from anaerobic digestion by anaerobic organisms; they do this by digesting the material inside an air tight system (Webber *et al.*, 2008). Biogas has a wide spectrum of application including the combustion engines, burners as well as gas turbines for electricity generation and cogeneration of heat and power (Olowoyeye, 2013). Anaerobic digestion is an innovation broadly utilized for treatment of natural waste for biogas generation due to its dual advantage of biomass waste utilization for energy production while simultaneously resolving ecological and agrochemical issues. The manure for biogas production does not reduce the value of fertilizer supplement as the necessary nitrogen and other substances remain in the treated sludge (Alvarez and Liden, 2008).

Several studies have been undertaken in anaerobic co-digestion of poultry droppings and cow dung. However, among other factors, the technicality of the processes involved in gas production coupled with the complexity of the most often reported bio-digesters, in terms of configuration and architecture, pose serious difficulties in the applicability of these systems, especially in rural settings (Chukwuma, 2013). Hence, this study was carried out to investigate the production of biogas from the co-digestion of poultry dropping and cow dung using plastic cylindrical digesters which can easily be adapted for use by rural farmers and other small scale users.

## **2. MATERIALS AND METHOD**

### **2.1 Materials**

Fresh cow dung and poultry droppings were collected from Sam's farm, Maikunkele, Minna, Niger state. Other materials which include mercury in glass thermometer for temperature reading, pH meter, gas pipe or hose for the transfer of gas produced, stirrer for agitation of the slurry in the bio-digester, and other materials for the purpose of this research were purchased from Minna, Niger State. Cow dung and poultry droppings were mixed in a container to smooth particles, before they were turned into the bio-digester container. The woody stirrer was constructed, incorporated into the bio-digester by using rubber tube to properly tighten it firm, and gum were used to seal the edges.

### **2.2 Methods**

#### **2.2.1 Slurry preparation**

The feedstock of cow dung and poultry dropping were collected fresh and mixed with a woody stirrer then turned into the bio-digester (Plate 1). The slurry for fermentation were adequately

prepared and mixed together with an equivalent amount of water at ratio 1:2 for maximum biogas production as described by Adeniran *et al.* (2014).



**Plate 1: Prepared slurry for feeding into digester**

### **2.2.2 Bio-digester Set up and Loading**

Three 15 liters dark cylindrical containers (Plate 2) were adopted as digesters to contain 100% cow dung, 100% poultry dropping and a mixture of poultry droppings and cow dung in the ratio of 1:1 respectively. Each digester was of diameter 20 cm and height 30 cm. The lid of each digester was bored in two places with hot iron to accommodate a 2 cm hose for gas outlet and a stirrer to enhance gas production. All Perforations were adequately sealed to prevent gas leakage.



**Plate 2: Experimental Set-up for Biogas Production**

A= Cow dung, B= poultry, C= Cow dung + Poultry

Adequate space was provided at the upper part of the fermentation chamber for the storage of the biogas produced before delivery through the hose. Each bio-digester was loaded with 2 kg of substrate in batch and a woody stirrer was used to frequently agitate the slurry to ensure proper mixture for enhanced gas production. The experiment was conducted at ambient conditions as there was no any form of control over temperature and pressure and no adjustment was made on pH.

### **2.2.3 Biogas Collection**

The gas produced was allowed to flow through the rubber hose, and into the balloon which was connected to the end of the hose that is attached to the top of the bio-digester. The pressure of the gas generated exacted pressure to the balloon which made it to rise. The diameter of the balloon was measured daily after it was compressed to a spherical shape and the volume of gas was calculated from equation of a sphere as presented in equation 1

$$V = \frac{4}{3}\pi r^3 \quad \text{eq. 1}$$


### **2.2.4 Data collection and Analysis**

The temperature was measured each day, by inserting a mercury-in-glass thermometer into the bio-digester and recorded. The pH reading were taken at an interval of two days, by releasing the clip on the hose to allow small portion of the slurry into a beaker, and measured with an electronic pH meter (Hanna instruments, HI196107, Italy). Volume of gas produced was calculated as described. The data collected were analyzed using SPSS 23.0 and Excel 7.0

## **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### **3.1 pH and Temperature of Digesters**

Several studies on anaerobic digestion of waste have shown that, among other factors, temperature of digestion and pH of substrates have strong influence on the rate of biogas production (Bitton, 1994; Chaiprasert *et al.* 2006; Chukwuma *et al.*, 2013; Tengku *et al.*, 2014). The pH and temperature from the digester containing a mixture of cow-dung and poultry wastes measured at a 2-day interval are presented in Figure 1.



**Figure 1: Temperature and pH variations with Retention Time**

The values of the pH of the substrates determined in this study are in the range of 6.1 to 6.4. Previous studies have reported that methanogens activity is optimum within a narrow pH range of 6.3-7.8 (Pan *et al.*, 2008; Tengku *et al.*, 2014). The range of temperature attained in this study is 25-29 °C (Figure 1). This range is below the temperature range of 35-37°C which has been reported for optimum biogas production in anaerobic digestion (Sebola *et al.*, 2014; Tengku *et al.*, 2014). This short fall in temperature is attributed to the rainfall season (July-August) in which the study was carried out. Thus, it was difficult to attain higher temperature as no measures were taken to control it. This fluctuation in temperature might have been responsible for the low pH range and consequently, inhibition of methanogenesis process (Tengku *et al.*, 2014).

### **3.2 Biogas Production of Substrates and Mixture**

The digesters performances in term of volume of biogas are presented in Table 1. Biogas production began for cow dung digestion on day 4; for poultry droppings digestion on day 3 while for the mixture gas production started within 24 hours. This observation agrees with previous studies by other researchers as it has been reported that poultry droppings degrade faster than cow dung (Marchaim, 1992). Thus, the relatively faster production of biogas from the mixture of cow dung and poultry (1:1) compared to any of the single substrate could be attributed to the optimum C/N ratio in this digester obtained by a balanced mixture of nitrogen rich substrate (poultry dropping) and cow dung that contains bacteria needed to kick start the anaerobic digestion (Chukwuma *et al.*, 2013). As clearly seen from Table 1, gas production was lower at the beginning of the process and at the end for all digesters. This follows a general trend of gas production in batch mode due to the microbial activities of methanogens responsible for biogas production (Gupta *et al.*, 2009; Rabah *et al.*, 2010).

**Table 1: Volume of Biogas (cm<sup>3</sup>) produced with the Feedstock in 35 Days**

<b>Day</b>	<b>Cow dung</b>	<b>Poultry</b>	<b>Cow dung+ poultry</b>	<b>Day</b>	<b>Cow dung</b>	<b>Poultry</b>	<b>Cow dung + poultry</b>
1	0.00	0.00	0.00	18	1.30	2.22	2.49
2	0.00	0.00	0.10	19	1.38	2.45	2.62
3	0.00	0.12	0.16	20	1.61	2.50	2.60
4	0.10	0.15	0.20	21	1.78	2.00	2.46
5	0.12	0.16	0.62	22	1.70	1.97	2.40
6	0.16	0.20	0.75	23	1.67	1.97	2.38
7	0.20	0.29	0.85	24	1.64	1.94	2.36
8	0.26	0.38	0.97	25	1.60	1.90	2.34
9	1.10	1.10	1.39	26	1.57	1.88	2.33
10	1.15	1.17	1.96	27	1.54	1.74	2.03
11	1.17	1.26	2.21	28	1.44	1.65	1.97
12	1.14	1.23	2.27	29	1.02	1.22	1.59
13	1.14	1.23	2.36	30	0.52	0.95	1.04
14	1.18	1.25	2.29	31	0.33	0.73	0.95

Day	Cow dung	Poultry	Cow dung+ poultry	Day	Cow dung	Poultry	Cow dung + poultry
15	1.18	1.58	2.36	32	0.21	0.44	0.67
16	1.22	1.82	2.38	33	0.00	0.12	0.52
17	1.25	1.98	2.42	34	0.00	0.00	0.09
18	1.25	2.03	2.46	35			

The gas production from the three digesters of this study cannot be said to follow a linear trend as several peaks were observed for both single digestions and co-digestions. The daily gas production varied as temperature and pH also varied (Table 1 and Figure 1). Thus the non-linear trend of the biogas production can be attributed to the effect of temperature fluctuations. The gas production for all substrates started from 0.00 cm<sup>3</sup> on day 1. For cow dung, a maximum of 1.78 cm<sup>3</sup> was attained on day 22 at a temperature of 29 °C while for poultry droppings; a maximum volume of 2.50 cm<sup>3</sup> was obtained on day 21. The highest volume of 2.62 cm<sup>3</sup> was achieved on day 20, at a temperature of 29 °C and pH of 6.3 (Figure 1) with the co-digestion of the two substrates at the ratio of 1:1. The highest volumes for all the substrates were achieved with the highest temperature during this study. This is an indication that higher volumes of biogas would be achieved with higher temperatures, thus the major limitation to this study was low temperatures due to weather condition of the season.

Tables 2 and 3 present the analysis of variance (ANOVA) and post-hoc test respectively, for biogas yield for the different substrate while Figure 2 compares the mean biogas yield for the three digesters. Table 2 shows that there is significant difference in the mean gas yield for the three substrates at the 95% probability. Table 3, on the other hand, showed that the mean difference (0.27714) between biogas yield from cow dung and poultry in separate digesters is not significant ( $P > 0.05$ ). However, there is significant difference between gas yields from the mixture of the two feed stocks compare to each of the single substrates. Thus biogas yield was significantly influenced by the co-digestion of the two substrates in a plastic cylindrical digester. This effect has been explained to be due to improvement of nutrient balance with mixture of waste for co-digestion which enhances sludge Solubilization, digestion, and biomethane production by ameliorating the antagonistic and synergistic effects of different sludges (Sebola *et al.*, 2014). This result also concord with the findings of Chukwuma *et al.* (2013) that the effect of co-digestion is synergistic and not antagonistic. It can be added here that the synergistic effect of co-digestion exist even at temperature and pH below the optimum values.

**Table 2: One Way Anova for Biogas Yield**

**SUMMARY**

<i>Groups</i>	<i>Count</i>	<i>Sum</i>	<i>Average</i>	<i>Variance</i>
Cow dung	35	31.93	0.912286	0.399542
Poultry	35	41.63	1.189429	0.651547
Cow dung + poultry	35	56.59	1.616857	0.822657

**ANOVA**


<i>Source of Variation</i>	<i>SS</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>MS</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>P-value</i>	<i>F crit</i>
Between						
Groups	8.819116	2	4.409558	7.060015	0.001344	4.81949
Within Groups	63.70736	102	0.624582			
Total	72.52648	104				

**Table 3: Post Hoc Tests for Multiple Comparisons of Mean Differences**

	(I) Feedstocks	(J) Feedstocks	Mean Difference (I-J)	Std. Error	Sig.	95% Confidence Interval	
						Lower Bound	Upper Bound
LSD	cow dung	poultry droppings	-.27714	.18892	.145	-.6519	.0976
		Poultry + cow dung	-.70457*	.18892	.000	-1.0793	-.3299
	poultry droppings	cow dung	.27714	.18892	.145	-.0976	.6519
		Poultry + cow dung	-.42743*	.18892	.026	-.8021	-.0527
	Poultry + cow dung	cow dung	.70457*	.18892	.000	.3299	1.0793
		poultry droppings	.42743*	.18892	.026	.0527	.8021


\*. The mean difference is significant at the 0.05 level.

Dependent Variable: Volume of Gas (Cm<sup>3</sup>)



**Figure 2: Mean Biogas Yield for the Substrates**

The plot of the biogas cumulative yield for the three substrates is shown in Figure 3. From the graph, it can be deduced that the co-digestion yielded the highest biogas cumulative volume of 56.5cm<sup>3</sup>, followed by poultry dropping of 41.63 cm<sup>3</sup> and cow dung of 31.93 cm<sup>3</sup>. It can be seen from Figure 3 that biogas production was generally low within the first few days of observation. This is generally the lag phase of microbial growth where microbial community (in this case methanogens) becomes established and accustomed to the environmental conditions within the digester. This phase is followed by exponential growth of the microbial population and then the stationary phase where little growth is seen, but living cells are maintained (Nopharatana *et al*, 2007; Jenna, 2010). The observation presented in Figure 3 clearly depict the activities of methanogens in the digesters.



**Figure 3: Biogas Cumulative Yield**

#### **4. CONCLUSION**

The results obtained from the study shows that cow dung yielded a maximum biogas volume of 1.78 cm<sup>3</sup>, while poultry dropping yielded 2.50 cm<sup>3</sup>. The co-digestion of cow dung and poultry dropping yielded a maximum biogas volume of 2.62 cm<sup>3</sup>, at a temperature of 29 °C and pH of 6.3. Thus, it can be concluded that the production of biogas from the co-digestion of cow dung and poultry dropping is feasible using a cylindrical digester which is affordable, locally available and requires little skill for setup and operation. It is, however, recommended that further studies should be carried out to determine the best mix ratio for these feed stocks; and the best temperature and pH ranges for optimum biogas yield using the plastic cylindrical digester.

#### **REFERENCES**

- Alvarez, R. and Lide'n, G. (2008).** The effect of temperature variation on biomethanation at high altitude, *Biores. Technol.*, 99: 7278-7284.
- Chukwuma, E. C. Umeghalu I. C. E. Orakwe L. C. Bassey E. E. and Chukwuma J. N. (2013).** Determination of optimum mixing ratio of cow dung and poultry droppings in biogas production under tropical condition. 8(18): 1940-1948
- Adeniran, K. A., Opara, M. and Iyanda, M.O. (2017).** Development of a Batch Type Bio-Digester. International Conference of Agricultural Engineering. AgEng 2014, Zurich, 6-10 July. Retrieved on 10<sup>th</sup> May, 2017 from <http://www.geysecos.es/geystiona/adjs/comunicaciones/304/C00820001.pdf>
- Olowoyeye J. (2013).** Comparative studies on biogas production using six different animal dungs. *Journal of Energy Technologies and Policy* 3(10): 1-5.
- Nopharatana, N., Pullammanappallil, P.C., and Clarke, W.P. (2007).** Kinetic and dynamic modeling of batch anaerobic digestion of municipal solid waste in a stirred reactor, *Waste management*, 27: 595-603.
- Webber, M. E., and Amanda D Cuellar (2008).** "Cow Power. In the News: Short News Items of Interest to the Scientific Community." *Science and Children* vol. 46.1: 13. Gale. Web. 1 October 2009 in United States.
- Sebola, R. Tesfagiorgis, H., and Muzenda E. (2014).** Production of Biogas through Anaerobic Digestion of various Wastes: Review. Intl' Conf. on Chemical, Integrated Waste Management & Environmental Engineering (ICCIWEE'2014) April 15-16, 2014 Johannesburg.
- Tengku R. Tuan Y., Hasfalina C. M., Nor' Aini A. R., & Halimatun S. H. (2014).** Optimization of Methane Gas Production From Co-Digestion of Food Waste and Poultry Manure Using Artificial Neural Network and Response Surface Methodology. *Journal of Agricultural Science*, 6(7): 27-37
- Speece, R.E. (1996).** *Anaerobic Technology for Industrial Wastewaters*, Archae Press, USA, 1996

- Gupta A, Chandra R, Subbarao PMV, Vijay VK (2009).** Kinetics of batch biomethanation process of jatropha and pongamia oil cakes and their co-digested substrates. *J. Scient. Ind. Res.* 68:624-629.
- Chaiprasert P, Pornpan P, Annon N, Birgitte A (2006).** Anaerobic Codigestion of Cassava Pulp and Pig Manure: Effects of Waste Ratio and Inoculum-substrate Ratio. The 2nd Joint International Conference on “Sustainable Energy and Environment (SEE 2006)” 21-23 November, Bangkok, Thailand
- Rabah AB, Baki AS, Hassan LG, Musa M, Ibrahim AD (2010).** Production of biogas waste at different retention time. *Sci. World J.* 5(4):2010.
- Marchaim U (1992).** Biogas Processes for Sustainable Development. Publications Division, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Viale delle Terme di Caracalla, Rome, Italy. Retrieved on 10<sup>th</sup> May, 2017 from <http://www.fao.org/docrep/t0541e/t0541e06.htm>.
- Pan, J., Zhang, R., El-Mashad, H. M., Sun, H., & Ying, Y. (2008).** Effect of food to microorganism ratio on biohydrogen reduction from food waste via anaerobic fermentation. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 33, 6968-6975.
- Bitton, G. (1994).** Wastewater Microbiology (Chap. 13). Wiley-liss, New York.
- Callaghan, F. J., Wase, D. A. J., Thayanithy, K., & Forster, C. F. (2002).** Continuous co-digestion of cattle slurry with fruit and vegetable wastes and chicken manure. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 22(1), 71-77.